From Conflict to Connection: Emotional Literacy and Cultural Responsiveness in Higher Education

Johnny Chan, University Canada West, Canada

The Southeast Asian Conference on Education 2026
Official Conference Proceedings

Abstract

This cross-disciplinary study interrogates the emotional and social dynamics underpinning college students' experiences of interpersonal conflict. Integrating both health and education perspectives and drawing insights from a qualitative research base-including self-reflection reports and semi-structured interviews-this paper explores how emotional literacy and cultural responsiveness serve as catalysts for constructive relationship management and student well-being. The analysis is situated within established frameworks of emotional literacy, conflict theory, and contemporary practices in higher education, centering concepts such as emotional interdependency and emotion-driven violations. Thematic findings reveal the critical roles of empathy, emotional reciprocity, and context-aware interventions in supporting resilience and relational repair. The paper advances evidence-based recommendations for educators and university administrators, including the promotion of empathy-building workshops, reflective dialogue practices, and integrated wellness curricula. By situating emotional literacy as central rather than supplemental to the higher education mission, and by embracing culturally responsive pedagogies, institutions can more effectively prepare students for global citizenship, personal agency, and peacebuilding in increasingly complex and polarized societies. Thus, this paper aligns with SEACE's themes of Global Citizenship and Education for Peace, advocating for a paradigm shift toward emotionally intelligent and culturally responsive educational communities.

Keywords: emotional literacy, cultural responsiveness, interpersonal conflict, higher education, emotional interdependency

iafor
The International Academic Forum
www.iafor.org

Introduction

The transition to higher education is often marked by profound emotional and social challenges. As students navigate new environments, relationships, and expectations, their capacity to manage emotions, resolve conflicts, and connect across diverse identities becomes foundational to their academic and personal success. Recent research demonstrates a surge in anxiety, loneliness, and burnout among college students, exacerbated by academic pressures, digital saturation, and global instability. Yet, the institutional response has often lagged behind these developments, with emotional support structures remaining fragmented, reactive, or marginal to the academic mission.

This paper bridges conceptual and practical divides by positioning emotional literacy-not as a supplementary or remedial concern-but as the structural core of resilient, culturally responsive higher education. Through integrated qualitative methodology, we explore how college students experience, interpret, and manage interpersonal conflict on campus. By examining patterns of emotional interdependency, expressions of negative affect, and the capacity for empathy, our findings highlight avenues for cultivating not only student well-being but also more just, effective, and inclusive learning environments.

Key questions addressed in this paper include:

- In what ways do emotional literacy and cultural responsiveness intersect to shape conflict experiences among college students?
- How do deficits in empathy and emotion-driven violations impede relationship quality and student flourishing?
- Which interventions can educators and administrators employ to cultivate emotionally intelligent, culturally adaptive communities aligned with the ideals of global citizenship and peace education?

By integrating current literature with original qualitative insights, we seek to inform both theory and praxis-building a case for systemic, cross-disciplinary reform in how emotional and relational skills are developed within higher education.

Literature Review

Emotional Literacy in Higher Education: A New Paradigm

Emotional literacy, encompassing the recognition, understanding, expression, and regulation of emotions, has emerged as a non-negotiable competence for thriving in the 21st-century classroom. While historically treated as an adjunct to “real” academic content, recent scholarship recognizes emotional literacy as foundational to learning, resilience, and well-being.

Studies stress clear distinctions between emotional literacy, social emotional learning (SEL), and emotional intelligence (EI). While SEL is generally programmatic, and EI is conceived as a measurable trait, especially in professional contexts, emotional literacy emphasizes a communicative, ongoing process grounded in language and critical self-social awareness.

With neuroscience revealing direct links between emotion regulation and cognitive function, the imperative for integrated emotional education intensifies. Emotional disturbances, such as test anxiety or family conflict, compromise learning engagement. Conversely, emotional

literacy equips students with tools for stress management, conflict navigation, and collaborative problem-solving-skills increasingly vital in unpredictable times.

Shortcomings of Current SEL Practices

Despite increased adoption of SEL frameworks, implementations are often fragmented and detached from core curricula. Weekly modules or isolated programs dilute SEL's effectiveness and risk reducing emotional engagement to mechanical, script-driven tasks. Teachers, often insufficiently trained, may treat SEL as a box-ticking exercise rather than as a foundation for learning and school culture.

Research underscores the profound impact of SEL when holistically integrated. The widely recognized CASEL framework emphasizes competencies like self-awareness, self-management, social awareness, relationship skills, and responsible decision-making-all directly linked to academic achievement and long-term life success (Darwich et al., 2025).

Curriculum Integration Across Disciplines

Integrated emotional literacy moves beyond isolated lessons, embedding empathy-building, emotional awareness, and resilience training into all disciplines. In humanities, reflective writing and analysis of character emotion arcs cultivates empathy. In STEM, frustrations become opportunities for studying perseverance, group problem-solving, and ethical dimensions of discovery. Even physical education, through teamwork and sportsmanship, provides rich affective learning experiences.

Pedagogically, there is a call to shift from content transmission to experiential, emotionally engaged learning. Project-based, collaborative, and reflective practices-journaling, role-playing, and dialogue-are proven to foster both emotional and intellectual growth (Schonert-Reichl & Roeser, 2016).

Cultural Responsiveness in Teaching

Culturally responsive teaching (CRT) recognizes and validates the cultural assets, traditions, and lived experiences that diverse student populations bring to campus assessment (Van Ingen et al., 2022). CRT challenges deficit models and promotes equity by integrating multicultural materials, diverse perspectives, and inclusive pedagogies. Effective CRT approaches involve self-reflection on instructor biases, active engagement with students' cultural backgrounds, and deliberate effort to diversify course content and assessment (Van Ingen et al., 2022).

Research suggests that culturally responsive emotional literacy creates space for divergent norms and expressions of emotion, while still emphasizing shared values of empathy and mutual respect. Family engagement, community partnerships, and acknowledgment of varied forms of emotional intelligence are integral to CRT's effectiveness (Hutchison & McAlister-Sheilds, 2020).

Interpersonal Conflict and Conflict Theory in Education

Conflict among college students is common, often stemming from miscommunication, clashing values, academic stress, and evolving cultural identities (Ceballos Vacas & Rodriguez Ruiz, 2023). Conflict theory, rooted in the work of Marx, Althusser, Bowles, and Gintis, views

educational institutions as sites of power negotiation, reproducing or challenging social inequalities through hidden curricula and differential access to cultural capital (Ferrare & Phillippo, 2023).

Within classrooms, conflict frequently manifests as interpersonal friction-student-student, student-faculty, or student-administration interactions. Critical to resolution is an understanding of "emotion-driven violations" (behaviors rooted in unmanaged affect) and "emotional interdependency" (mutual influence of emotional states within relationships). Healthy interdependence supports resilience and relational repair; unhealthy dependencies or conflict avoidance often erode trust and connection (Bhattacharjee, 2025).

Models of conflict management identify five main styles: integrating, avoiding, dominating, obliging, and compromising. Emotional intelligence-including skills such as empathy, self-regulation, and emotional awareness-is consistently associated with more adaptive, less destructive approaches (Shonk, 2023).

Emotional Interdependency and Reciprocity in Relationships

Contemporary relational theory emphasizes the value of balanced emotional interdependency, where partners provide mutual support, communicate openly, and co-regulate emotions (Mukhopadhyay, 2025). Emotional reciprocity-defined as the give-and-take of empathy, validation, and support-predicts trust, relationship satisfaction, and effectiveness in conflict resolution (Buunk & Schaufeli, 1999).

Research on college campuses finds that deficits in reciprocity, unidirectional dependency, or chronic suppression of emotional needs frequently underlie both persistent conflict and mental health challenges (Everhart, 2016).

Thematic Analysis in Studying Emotions and Conflict

Qualitative thematic analysis, particularly when attuned to emotional nuance, enables the identification of latent patterns and relational dynamics that may not be readily apparent in quantitative data. Emotion-sensitive thematic analysis emphasizes both explicit and implicit emotional content, capturing the interplay between cognition, affect, and behavior (Buetow, 2025).

Methodological reflexivity-critical self-awareness of the researcher's positionality and influence-enhances rigor and authenticity in qualitative studies of conflict, emotion, and culture (Ortlipp, 2008).

Campus Wellness and Integrated Mental Health Curricula

Increasingly, leading universities have designed campus-wide wellness initiatives and curricula that embed mental health, resilience, and self-care directly into courses, student life, and faculty development (Gross et al., 2010). Programs such as UCLA's Healthy Campus Initiative, Duke's DuWell, and the University of Southern California's "Thrive" course demonstrate the positive impact of holistic, systemic integration of wellness education (Gross et al., 2010). Research confirms improvements in student mental health, engagement, and academic outcomes.

Methodology

Research Design

This study adopts a cross-disciplinary, qualitative research design, employing both self-reflection reports and semi-structured interviews to explore how college students navigate interpersonal conflict and emotional dynamics on campus. The methodological approach is rooted in interpretive paradigms, emphasizing the co-construction of meaning and researcher reflexivity (Kalkbrenner et al., 2021). The research focus privileges depth over breadth, seeking to surface lived experiences, emotional patterns, and culturally shaped understandings of conflict.

Participants

Participants were undergraduate students from a diverse metropolitan university, representing multiple academic disciplines, cultural backgrounds, and years of study. Purposeful sampling ensured inclusion of students with varying experiences of campus conflict, self-reported engagement in wellness or emotional literacy programming, and fluency in different cultural contexts.

Data Collection

Self-Reflection Reports

Students submitted confidential written narratives reflecting on recent interpersonal conflicts, focusing on emotional triggers, responses, and outcomes. Prompts encouraged examination of self-regulation, empathy, emotional strategy, and the resolution or escalation of conflict.

Semi-Structured Interviews

In-depth interviews, lasting 45–60 minutes, were conducted individually. An interview guide covered topics such as conflict experiences, perceptions of emotional literacy, cultural influences, coping strategies, help-seeking behaviors, and suggestions for campus interventions. Interviews were audio-recorded and transcribed verbatim.

Ethical approval was secured from the university's research ethics board. Confidentiality, voluntary participation, and informed consent were rigorously maintained.

Thematic Analysis

The data were subjected to emotion-sensitive thematic analysis (Johnson et al., 2019):

1. **Initial Coding**: Both manifest (explicit) and latent (implicit) references to emotion, conflict style, empathy, and cultural responsiveness were identified.
2. **Theme Development**: Codes were grouped into clusters reflecting recurring emotional dynamics, relationship patterns, and links to conflict management strategies.
3. **Collaborative Reflexivity**: Multiple researchers reviewed and refined themes, engaging in ongoing reflexive dialogue regarding their interpretive frames, disciplinary backgrounds, and cultural contexts.
4. **Reporting**: Themes were contextualized within the broader literature and exemplified with illustrative quotations, with all identifying information removed.

Findings

Emotional Interdependency Shapes Conflict Management Styles

Analysis revealed a strong correlation between the degree of emotional interdependency students experienced in relationships and their preferred conflict management styles.

Secure and Adaptive Interdependency

Students in relationships characterized by healthy mutual support and open emotional sharing (interdependency) were more likely to use integrating/collaborative or compromising conflict management styles (Mukhopadhyay, 2025). They described feeling "safe to be vulnerable," "heard in conflict," and "able to work things out even when angry."

Emotional Over-Dependency (Codependence)

Where relationships tipped toward codependence or imbalances of emotional investment, students reported using more obliging or avoiding styles-often at the cost of suppressing their needs, leading to resentment and eventual relational breakdown (Ferrare & Phillippo, 2023).

Isolation and Detachment

A number of participants, especially those struggling with mental health or lacking social supports, reported excessive independence or avoidance, resulting in unresolved conflicts, loneliness, and decreased help-seeking.

Negative Emotion Expression and Emotion-Driven Violations

Students frequently recounted conflict episodes marked by intense negative emotion-anger, embarrassment, envy, or anxiety-that led to "emotion-driven violations" such as shouting, public humiliation, cold-shouldering, or online aggression. Notably, almost all such incidents were described in hindsight as "regrettable," with students identifying poor emotion regulation, lack of perspective-taking, or cultural misunderstanding as drivers. Themes included:

- Escalating Emotional Cycles: Once negative emotions were expressed in a heated or unsafe climate, emotions in the dyad or group often intensified (emotional contagion), making repair more difficult.
- Retrospective Insight: Through reflection, students described recognizing patterns-such as impulsivity, tendencies to "catastrophize," or misreadings of intent-highlighting the potential for emotional literacy education to prevent or de-escalate conflict.

Empathetic Communication as a Buffer

Students who described practicing empathetic communication-including "active listening," "checking in before reacting," or "trying to see their point of view"-reported more constructive and lasting resolution of conflict.

Not only did these dialogues result in apology, forgiveness, and relational strengthening, but students also reported increased self-confidence, trust, and academic engagement post-resolution. Conversely, the absence of empathetic communication was cited as a major cause of ongoing resentment or social withdrawal.

Emotional Reciprocity and Relationship Repair

Emotional reciprocity-a willingness to both receive and offer emotional support-emerged as a core indicator of relationship resilience in both peer and student-faculty interactions (Bunnk & Schaufeli, 1999). Relationships characterized by mutual validation, sharing of feelings, and flexible role-taking in times of stress demonstrated high rates of "relational repair" even after significant rupture. Students described these relationships as "safe harbors" and the individuals as "anchors" in campus life.

Cultural Dimensions of Emotional Literacy and Conflict

Cultural background significantly influenced students' perceptions and expressions of emotion, as well as their tolerance for direct versus indirect conflict styles. Some international students noted challenges in deciphering idiomatic expressions or interpreting emotional cues in academic English, while others cited cultural norms against open disagreement or emotional display.

Successful navigation of these differences depended on:

- Culturally responsive faculty who modeled inclusivity and created "brave spaces" for sharing.
- Peer networks or mentorship programs fostering cross-cultural dialogue, empathy, and mutual learning.

Interventions, Supports, and Systemic Gaps

Students described a spectrum of experiences with campus interventions:

- Empathy-building workshops, reflective dialogue groups, and integrated wellness curricula were viewed as highly beneficial, especially when made core to courses or required programming.
- Students who accessed peer counseling or campus wellness initiatives (particularly those with low barriers to entry and clear referral pathways) reported greater ability to manage stress and recover from conflict.
- However, many noted underutilization of services, lack of faculty awareness, or perceived stigma around emotional expression and mental health-seeking.

Discussion

Integrating Emotional Literacy as Foundational, Not Optional

Findings from both the literature and participant narratives converge on the necessity of centering emotional literacy as a foundational pillar of higher education-not as an enrichment or optional supplement. Neuroscience and educational research alike support the view that emotional regulation, empathy, and relationship skills are vital for academic engagement, resilience, and well-being.

Professional development for faculty and curriculum designers should treat emotional literacy as integral, informing everything from lesson planning to classroom assessment. Core principles include:

- Embedding reflective dialogue and emotional check-ins across disciplines.

- Providing explicit instruction in emotional vocabulary, perspective-taking, and conflict management.

Culturally Responsive Emotional Literacy

Effective implementation must be adapted to the cultural realities and diverse lived experiences of the student body. Culturally responsive pedagogies acknowledge that emotional norms, conflict styles, and help-seeking behaviors are not universal, and that effective emotional literacy education requires:

- Respecting students' home cultures and integrating diverse emotional narratives and expressions in coursework, readings, and dialogue.
- Training educators to recognize and respond to cultural differences in emotional expression and communication styles.
- Engaging families and communities as co-educators and allies in fostering emotional well-being.

The Role of Educators, Administrators, and Peer Networks

Educators are frontline architects of emotionally responsive environments. They model vulnerability, set expectations for respectful engagement, and use formative assessment to track relational dynamics and student well-being. Administrators must:

- Invest in professional development targeting both emotional literacy and cultural competence.
- Support policies that embed wellness outcomes into curricular and co-curricular programming.
- Develop partnerships with counselors, peer mentors, and community agencies to create a campus-wide "network of care" (Kalkbrenner et al., 2021).

Peer mentoring programs and student-led initiatives, such as empathy workshops or cross-cultural learning cohorts, complement formal instruction by providing spaces for practice, modeling, and mutual support (Everhart, 2016).

Reflective Dialogue and Transformative Conflict Engagement

Thematic analysis and empirical studies support the efficacy of reflective dialogue practices, including nonviolent communication and transformative mediation, in supporting constructive conflict engagement and repair. Core elements include:

- Facilitated spaces for sharing emotional narratives, active listening, and collaborative problem-solving.
- Structure for mirroring, validating, and reframing participants' emotional content, with a focus on empowerment and self-determination.
- Emphasis on learning and growth, rather than winning or "agreeing to disagree."

Such practices both prevent escalation and foster deeper connection, bridging divides and modeling skills essential for global citizenship and peacebuilding (Bhattacharjee, 2025).

Empathy-Building and Campus Wellness Initiatives

A growing number of universities now demonstrate the benefits of embedding coordinated wellness, resilience, and empathy-building interventions into curricular structures (Goss, Cuddihy and Michaud-Tomson, 2010). Examples include:

- Required credit-bearing wellness courses.
- Peer mentoring and support groups.
- Holistic health initiatives integrating physical, emotional, and social well-being.

Rigorous assessment shows that students completing such programs report higher engagement, reduced stress, and improved academic and relational outcomes.

Conclusion

Higher education stands at a crossroads: Will it continue to silo emotional and cultural development as marginal concerns, or will it embrace the paradigm shift necessary to equip the next generation for resilience, empathy, and constructive engagement in a complex world?

This study demonstrates that emotional literacy and cultural responsiveness are not "soft skills" but essential literacies for navigating conflict, sustaining well-being, and realizing the ideals of global citizenship and peace education. By centering experiential, culturally responsive emotional literacy throughout the curriculum, universities can break cycles of emotional isolation and conflict, supporting every student's flourishing.

Practical next steps include:

- Embedding emotional literacy into core curricula, with required elements for all students and faculty.
- Institutional investment in faculty and staff training in emotional and cultural competence.
- Adoption of campus-wide empathy-building workshops and reflective dialogue as standard practice.
- Rigorous, ongoing assessment of wellness outcomes and educational impact.

In embracing these changes, universities will not only address current crises of student well-being but also model the kind of adaptive, ethical, and compassionate citizenship that our interconnected future demands.

Declaration of Generative AI and AI-Assisted Technologies in the Writing Process

The author declares that Copilot, an AI-assisted writing software, was used in proofreading and refining the language used in the manuscript. The usage was limited to correcting grammatical and spelling errors and rephrasing statements for accuracy and clarity. The author further declares that, apart from Copilot, no other AI or AI-assisted technologies have been used to generate content in writing the manuscript. The ideas, design, procedures, findings, analyses, and discussion are originally written and derived from careful and systematic conduct of the research.

References

Bhattacharjee, T. (2025). Emotional trust, interpersonal dependency, and relationship dynamics: A psychological perspective. *Psychology of emotional manipulation: power, control, and resistance*, 186.

Buetow, S. (2025). Emotionally sensitive thematic analysis. *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, *22*(4), 775–792.

Buunk, B. P., & Schaufeli, W. B. (1999). Reciprocity in interpersonal relationships: An evolutionary perspective on its importance for health and well-being. *European review of social psychology*, *10*(1), 259–291.

Ceballos Vacas, E. M., & Rodríguez Ruiz, B. (2023). How do teachers and students deal with conflict? An analysis of conflict resolution strategies and goals. *RIE. Revista de Investigación Educativa*.

Darwich, L., DeBay, D., Forbes, L., & Mahfouz, J. (2025, January). Play, reflect, cultivate social and emotional learning: A pathway to pre-service teacher SEL through playful pedagogies. In *Frontiers in Education* (Vol. 9, p. 1478541). Frontiers Media SA.

Everhart, R. S. (2016). Teaching tools to improve the development of empathy in service-learning students. *Journal of Higher Education Outreach and Engagement*, *20*(2), 129–154.

Ferrare, J. J., & Phillippo, K. (2023). Conflict theory, extended: A framework for understanding contemporary struggles over education policy. *Educational Policy*, *37*(3), 587–623.

Goss, H. B., Cuddihy, T. F., & Michaud-Tomson, L. (2010). Wellness in higher education: A transformative framework for health related disciplines. *Asia-Pacific Journal of Health, Sport and Physical Education*, *1*(2), 29–36.

Gough, B. (2017). Reflexivity in qualitative psychological research. *The Journal of Positive Psychology*, *12*(3), 311–312.

Johnson, J., Bauman, C., & Pociask, S. (2019). Teaching the whole student: Integrating wellness education into the academic classroom. *Student Success*, *10*(3), 92–103.

Kalkbrenner, M. T., Jolley, A. L., & Hays, D. G. (2021). Faculty views on college student mental health: Implications for retention and student success. *Journal of College Student Retention: Research, Theory & Practice*, *23*(3), 636–658.

Mukhopadhyay, P. (2025). The Mind's Ascent: How Our Brain Built Reality for Survival. *The Mind's Ascent: How Our Brain Built Reality for Survival*.

Ortlipp, M. (2008). Keeping and using reflective journals in the qualitative research process. *The qualitative report*, *13*(4), 695–705.

Polignano, M., Narducci, F., de Gemmis, M., & Semeraro, G. (2021). Towards emotion-aware recommender systems: an affective coherence model based on emotion-driven behaviors. *Expert Systems with Applications*, *170*, 114382.

Ridley, N. (2017). *What is really going on in the group supervision of Emotional Literacy Support Assistants (ELSAs)-an exploratory study using thematic analysis* (Doctoral dissertation, University of Essex and Tavistock & Portman NHS Trust).

Shonk, K. (2023). Conflict-Management Styles: Pitfalls and Best Practices. *Program on Negotiation, Harvard Law School, 6th April*.