Breaking Barriers: Expanding Science Fair Participation Among Title I Middle and High School Students

Narasimha Shashidhar, Sam Houston State University, United States
Cihan Varol, Sam Houston State University, United States
Amar Rasheed, Sam Houston State University, United States

The IAFOR International Conference on Education in Hawaii 2026
Official Conference Proceedings

Abstract

The science fair has long served as a cornerstone of K-12 education, traditionally intended to foster inquiry-driven learning and inspire the next generation of STEM professionals. However, in this paper, we argue that the conventional science fair model, while pedagogically valuable, often perpetuates and amplifies systemic inequities related to socioeconomic status, parental capital, and access to mentorship. These barriers create an uneven playing field that disadvantages students from underserved and marginalized communities, thereby ultimately undermining the science fair's core educational mission. Our analysis explores the systemic challenges inherent in the current format and examines innovative, equity-focused models designed to dismantle these barriers. By incorporating systemic constructs such as university-community partnerships, alternative fair formats, microgrant funding, and the integration of informal learning, we posit that science fairs can be transformed from exclusive competitions into inclusive and effective learning vehicles that unlock the scientific potential of all students. This, we hope, will be an inviting model for STEM engagement among the underserved Title I middle and high school students.

Keywords: science fair, K-12, equity, integration, STEM engagement

iafor
The International Academic Forum
www.iafor.org

Introduction: The Imperative for Science Fair Reform

The science fair is a long-standing tradition in K-12 STEM education, established as a vital platform for students to engage in inquiry-driven learning, develop critical thinking skills, and cultivate a STEM identity. Its intended purpose is to provide an authentic opportunity for students to ask their own questions, conduct investigations, analyze data, and present their findings, mirroring the practices of professional scientists and engineers. This hands-on experience is designed to move learning beyond the classroom/textbook and into the realm of real-world problem-solving and discovery. Despite this goal, the current landscape of science fairs is fraught with challenges. The current model often reflects and reinforces broader educational inequities, creating significant barriers for students from underserved and marginalized communities. Research reveals that students in Title I and other high-needs schools face obstacles ranging from chronic underfunding, limited teacher support, and a pervasive lack of access to professional mentors. Furthermore, the heavy reliance on parental involvement can inadvertently privilege students from households with higher income and educational attainment, transforming the science fair from a measure of student ingenuity into a reflection of familial resources.

To realize the full potential of science fairs as transformative educational tools, we argue that a paradigm shift is required. To this end, in this paper, we posit that to continue promoting a model that systematically advantages some students while disadvantaging others constitutes a form of educational malpractice in the modern STEM landscape. The educational community must therefore move away from a structure that favors exclusivity and towards one built on intentional, equity-centered design. This reimagined approach necessitates robust mentorship networks, strong community/school partnerships, and innovative formats that lower barriers to entry and broaden participation. We begin this analysis by exploring the pedagogical foundations that make the science fair a valuable educational practice worth preserving and reforming.

The Pedagogical Framework of Science Fairs

To effectively reform the science fair, it is essential to first understand its formal educational value. When implemented effectively, science fairs are a high-impact pedagogical practice that aligns with rigorous academic standards and promotes critical thinking skills essential for success in college and future careers. This section analyzes the strategic alignment of science fair activities with established educational frameworks and their role in familiarizing students with the professional practices of STEM disciplines.

The science fair life cycle which consists of 1. preparation, 2. research, 3. production, 4. presentation, and 5. reflection, provides a natural framework for students to master key competencies outlined in national educational standards. This alignment ensures that participation is not merely an extracurricular activity but a direct application of core curriculum goals. In this section, we outline this alignment using two standards as key examples – Next Generation Science Standards (NGSS) and the Common Core State Standards (CCSS). This clearly does not serve an exhaustive list of comparisons among the myriad standards that exist but merely illustrates our point that science fairs serve a greater purpose than merely an extracurricular activity.

Table 1
Alignment With Educational Standards

Educational Standard	Alignment with Science Fair Activities
Next Generation Science Standards (NGSS)	The science fair life cycle provides a direct pathway for students to engage with the eight essential Science and Engineering Practices (SEPs). These practices include: 1. Asking questions and defining problems 2. Developing and using models 3. Planning and carrying out investigations 4. Analyzing and interpreting data 5. Using critical thinking techniques 6. Constructing explanations and designing solutions 7. Engaging in argument from evidence 8. Obtaining, evaluating, and communicating information
Common Core State Standards (CCSS)	Science fairs inherently promote key ELA and literacy skills emphasized by the CCSS. The research phase requires students to build knowledge through content-rich study and analysis, and the presentation phase necessitates that their reading, writing, and speaking are grounded in evidence. Specific standards are met when students conduct research, write informative texts describing their procedures, and present their findings coherently.

Beyond meeting specific standards, science fair participation facilitates the process of academic assimilation. This process opens a gateway for students into the professional world of academia and research. As they move through their projects, students become familiar with a wide array of academic terms such as hypothesis, variable, data, analysis, and correlation. These terms are certainly among a few that they will encounter throughout their academic careers. More importantly, they engage in the authentic work of scientists and engineers, which involves reading and interpreting formal texts like journal articles, and producing their own formal and informal communications, from lab notebooks to presentation boards and public speaking.

These pedagogical benefits underscore why science fairs remain a potentially powerful educational tool. However, the realization of these benefits is often impeded by systemic challenges that prevent equitable access and participation, examined in the following section.

Systemic Barriers to Equitable Participation in Science Fairs

Despite their pedagogical promise, traditional science fairs are often structured in ways that create an uneven playing field, particularly for students from high-needs schools and marginalized communities. These events can inadvertently convert a science fair event into showcases of privilege rather than platforms for universal student inquiry. Understanding these systemic barriers related to resources, parental support, and mentorship is the first step toward designing more equitable and inclusive models.

The Foundation of Inequity: Underfunded Schools and Overburdened Teachers

For many schools, particularly those designated as Title I or serving historically poor regions, the fundamental resources required to support a science fair are scarce. This creates a compounding cycle of disadvantages where a lack of financial investment directly leads to logistical and pedagogical shortcomings.

Chronic Underfunding

As noted by Necochea and Cline (1996), schools in underserved communities suffer from chronic underfunding, which limits their ability to purchase essential project materials, access equipment, or provide micrograpts to students who cannot afford supplies.

Logistical Challenges

This underfunding is a root cause of the severe logistical difficulties reported by teachers in high-needs schools. According to a study by Lakin et al. (2016), these challenges include finding adequate physical space for the event, recruiting enough qualified judges, and navigating complex competition guidelines.

Limited Teacher Support

The same study found that teachers in high-poverty schools provide less support for student projects. This is not due to a lack of will but is a consequence of systemic pressures, including larger class sizes, fewer resources, and a focus on standardized testing that leaves little time for intensive, project-based work.

The Double-Edged Sword of Parental Involvement

Parental support can be vital to a student's education, but its role in science fairs is complex and often undermines equity. This issue creates a perfect storm of inequity: in the very schools where teacher support is weakest due to systemic constraints (Lakin et al., 2016), the reliance on parental capital is greatest, thereby amplifying disadvantages. Research by Fields et al. (2022) distinguishes between "logistic support" (e.g., purchasing materials) and "substantive support" (e.g., helping choose a research topic or analyze data). The findings reveal a system where access to substantive help is heavily skewed by socioeconomic factors and the availability of social capital.

Key findings from the study reveal a systemic bias wherein:

- While 88% of parents provided logistic support, only 58% provided the more academically involved substantive support.
- A significant correlation exists between higher parental income and the ability to provide substantive support.
- Maternal education is a key factor, with parents holding a bachelor's degree or higher being significantly more likely to provide both logistic and substantive support.

These statistics confirm that a reliance on parental involvement systematically advantages students from higher-income, higher-education households. When project work is expected to happen at home, the science fair becomes a competition of parental capital rather than student merit.

The Mentorship and Cultural Gap

Access to mentors with STEM expertise is crucial for developing ambitious projects, yet this is another area of significant disparity. Necochea and Cline (1996), identify limited access to mentorship as a primary barrier for students in underserved communities, who are less likely to have family or community contacts in STEM fields who can serve as potential mentors. This is compounded by what the body of literature terms "cultural disconnects", where the traditional structure and expectations of a science fair may not align with the lived experiences and cultural backgrounds of students from diverse populations.

To effectively analyze these multifaceted barriers and later evaluate potential solutions, in this paper, we adopt the five equity frames developed by the National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine (NASEM, 2024):

1. Reducing Gaps Between Groups: Addressing disparities in achievement, interest, or representation between different social groups based on factors like race, gender, or social class.
2. Expanding Opportunity and Access: Focusing on ensuring all students have access to the necessary material and social resources to learn, including well-prepared educators, a network of adult and peer supporters, and high-quality curricular experiences.
3. Embracing Heterogeneity: Engaging with the diverse concerns, lived experiences, and identities of students who have been marginalized in STEM, and valuing their different ways of thinking and being.
4. Learning and Using STEM to Promote Justice: Centering STEM as a tool for addressing social and socioecological issues.
5. Sustainable Futures Through STEM: Cultivating equitable and thriving social and ecological futures that support both human and ecological well-being.

To this end, the combination of resource disparities, inequitable parental capital, and a lack of mentorship creates a system that fundamentally violates the NASEM frames of expanding opportunity and access and reducing gaps between groups. This reality necessitates a fundamental reimagining of the science fair model, focusing on intentional strategies to create a truly equitable experience.

Reimagining the Science Fair: Models and Strategies for Equity and Engagement

In response to the systemic barriers of the traditional science fair, educators, researchers, and community partners are developing innovative models and support systems to create more inclusive and engaging experiences. This section shifts from analyzing problems to evaluating solutions, exploring actionable strategies that dismantle inequities and cultivate a richer STEM learning ecosystem for all students.

Cultivating Mentorship and University-Community Partnerships

Closing the mentorship gap identified by Necochea and Cline (1996), is a critical step toward equity. While guides for motivated individuals, such as the one from Rishab Academy (Nagarajan, 2024), offer practical steps for finding a mentor within a flawed system, a truly equitable approach requires systemic solutions that institutionalize mentorship. The seven steps for students include:

1. Identifying project interests to target the right experts.
2. Reaching out to teachers and professors.

3. Utilizing online platforms dedicated to mentorship.
4. Joining science clubs and community organizations.
5. Attending science fairs and workshops to network.
6. Using social media like LinkedIn to connect with professionals.
7. Leveraging formal mentorship programs.

The university partnership model proposed by Necochea and Cline (1996), provides the necessary systemic solution. By establishing formal mentorship networks between the universities and local K-12 Title I schools, one can directly address the mentorship gap in underserved communities. Such partnerships remove the burden from individual students and teachers to build their own networks and instead provide institutional access to culturally responsive mentors, expert knowledge, and university resources, thereby leveling the playing field.

Innovative and Alternative Fair Formats

Rethinking the very structure of the science fair can make it more accessible and impactful. The "Flipped Science Fair" model, detailed by Lewis et al. (2023), offers a compelling case study. In this format, the roles are reversed: children act as judges, and graduate student researchers are the presenters. This model directly dismantles barriers identified in Section 3. By shifting the locus of activity to a community space and positioning children as authorities, it mitigates the "double-edged sword of parental involvement" (Fields et al., 2022) and helps overcome the "cultural disconnects" (Necochea & Cline, 1996) of traditional science fairs. Its dual benefits are empowering children as valued contributors and providing graduate students with crucial science communication training, particularly when the audience members are much younger than them which calls for an increased command over exposition and grasp over the material. Another thought that was highlighted was to develop partnership with local city public libraries. This was shown to be a key strategic element, lowering barriers to entry by hosting the event in a familiar, accessible community space rather than on a university campus.

Other alternative formats also broaden the appeal of science fairs:
- Kids Inquiry Conference: Replaces the competitive model with a collaborative one similar to professional science conferences, where students share discoveries with peers.
- Invention Convention: An engineering-focused event where students identify a need and follow the research and development process to create an invention.
- School Maker Faire: An interdisciplinary showcase where students display projects or lead hands-on activities in any area where they have developed expertise, from science and engineering to art and craft.

Building a Systemic Ecosystem of Support

Equity requires a multi-faceted support system that addresses financial, logistical, and resource-based needs.

Financial Resources

The Clear Creek Education Foundation's (CCEF) "Science Fair Innovative Grant (SFIG)" program is a prime example of a targeted intervention. This program offers microgrants of up to $500 directly to secondary students to purchase materials and enhance their projects, removing the financial burden that exacerbates inequity (Clear Creek Education Foundation, 2025).

In-School Project Work

To counter inequities stemming from varied home environments, and parental social capital, Fields et al. (2022) strongly recommend making project work an in-school activity. This ensures all students have access to teacher guidance, materials, and time, regardless of parents' availability or resources.

Free Digital and Organizational Resources

A robust ecosystem of free resources is available to support students and teachers. We list a few for reference in this section: Organizations such as the Society for Science (https://www.societyforscience.org/), Science Buddies (https://www.sciencebuddies.org/), and the Synopsys Outreach Foundation (https://outreach-foundation.org/) provide extensive online libraries of project ideas, lesson plans, and guidance (Santa Clara Valley Science and Engineering Fair Association, Jan 2026.). Science Buddies offers a "Topic Selection Wizard" to help students find projects, while the Society for Science provides free access to science news and associated curricula to thousands of public schools.

Integrating Formal and Informal STEM Learning

Effective science fairs should not exist in a vacuum. K-12 students spend only 18.5% of their waking hours in formal learning environments, highlighting the importance of "lifelong and lifewide learning" across settings like libraries, museums, and home (STEM Teaching Tools, 2026). The most impactful science education connects these different spheres into a cohesive "STEM Learning Ecosystem". The Flipped Science Fair's partnership with the public library is a prime example of this integration, intentionally connecting a university's academic resources with a community-based informal learning institution. By building these bridges, educators can create more sustained, relevant, and equitable learning pathways for all students.These innovative models and systemic supports demonstrate that a more equitable and engaging science fair is not just a theoretical ideal but an achievable reality.

Discussion and Implications

The evidence presented in this paper points toward a clear and urgent need to evolve the science fair from an isolated, often inequitable competition into an integrated, collaborative, and essential component of a community's STEM learning ecosystem. The traditional model, with its heavy reliance on out-of-school work and parental resources, is no longer sufficient to meet the needs of a diverse student population. The implications of this conclusion are significant for all stakeholders involved in STEM education.

For K-12 Educators and Administrators

The findings challenge schools to rethink the fundamental structure of their science fairs. As recommended by Fields et al. (2022), administrators should consider making fairs mandatory, in-school events to ensure universal participation and level the playing field. This requires allocating sufficient curricular time, providing materials, and actively building partnerships with outside organizations to supply the mentorship and resources that may be lacking internally.

For University and STEM Professionals

Higher education institutions and STEM professionals have both an opportunity and a responsibility to contribute to a more equitable K-12 pipeline. The models from Virginia Tech (the "Flipped Science Fair") demonstrate the powerful impact of such an engagement. Such partnerships not only benefit K-12 students but also provide valuable communication and leadership training for graduate students and faculty.

For Community Organizations and Foundations

Community partners are critical agents in bridging equity gaps. The roles played by public libraries in providing a neutral and accessible venue and the Clear Creek Education Foundation in offering direct financial microgrants are indispensable. These organizations can provide flexible resources, funding, and community trust needed to support initiatives that schools alone cannot sustain.

Revisiting the NASEM equity frames, the innovative models discussed actively address multiple dimensions of equity. University mentorships, library partnerships, and microgrants directly contribute to "Expanding Opportunity and Access" by bringing high-quality STEM resources and experiences to students in underserved communities. Furthermore, by empowering children as judges in the Flipped Science Fair and valuing their diverse perspectives, these models help in "Embracing Heterogeneity", showing students that their voices and experiences are valued within the scientific community. By moving away from a single, rigid format, these approaches create a more just and inclusive vision for STEM engagement.

Conclusion

The traditional science fair, while rooted in the noble goal of fostering scientific inquiry, is insufficient for today's diverse and complex educational landscape. Its structure often amplifies existing societal inequities, creating barriers that prevent countless students from fully participating and benefiting. The central argument of this paper is that fundamental redesign is necessary, one that shifts the focus from individual competition to collaborative, community-supported learning.

The widespread adoption of the equitable and innovative practices discussed throughout this analysis offers a clear path forward. By establishing formal mentorship programs, embracing alternative fair formats, providing direct financial support, and integrating in-school and out-of-school learning, the educational community can dismantle long-standing barriers. The evidence is unequivocal: incremental adjustments are insufficient. The path forward requires systemic restructuring guided by the principles of equity, collaboration, and inclusion. By reimagining science fairs as tools for equity rather than exclusivity, we can finally unlock the scientific potential and brilliance of every single learner.

Author's Note

Our objective in this article is to reimagine the science fair through an examination of the pedagogical value it provides and to explore the inherent equity barriers in the secondary school education system. We then outline potential innovative models for a more inclusive approach to STEM engagement among Title I middle and high school students.

Declaration of Generative AI and AI-Assisted Technologies in the Writing Process

The authors declare that Grammarly, an AI-assisted writing software, was used in proofreading and refining the language used in the manuscript. The usage was limited to correcting grammatical and spelling errors and rephrasing statements for accuracy and clarity. The authors further declare that, apart from Grammarly, no other AI or AI-assisted technologies have been used to generate content in writing the manuscript. The ideas, design, procedures, findings, analyses, and discussion are originally written and derived from careful and systematic conduct of the research.

References

Clear Creek Education Foundation. (n.d.). CCEF: *Science Fair Innovative Grant (SFIG).* https://www.clearcreekeducationfoundation.org/programs/science-fair-innovative-grants/

Fields, E., DeLisi, J., Kook, J., Winfield, L., & Levy, A. J. (2022). Parent Involvement in the Science Fair: Helping Students or Hindering Equity? *School Community Journal*, *32*(2), 249–272.

Institute for Science + Math Education. (n.d.). *How can we better understand and work toward equity in STEM education?* (STEM Teaching Tools, Issue #101).

Lakin, J. M., Ewald, M. L., Davis, V. A., Cobine, P. A., & Landers, A. L. (2016, June). Challenges and Benefits of Introducing a Science and Engineering Fair in High-Needs Schools (Work in Progress). In *2016 ASEE Annual Conference & Exposition*.

Lewis, A. L., O'Malley, G., Palissery, G. K., Hensley, A., López_Lloreda, C., Perez, C., & Bueren, E. K. (2023). Flipped Science Fair Invites Children to Judge Graduate Student Posters Through a University-Community Partnership. *The Journal of STEM Outreach*, *6*(1).

Nagarajan, V. (July 25, 2024). *7 Steps to Find Your Perfect Mentor for Science Fair Success*. RishabAcademy. https://www.rishabacademy.com/blog/7-steps-to-find-your-perfect-mentor-for-science-fair-success

National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine. (2024). *Equity in K-12 STEM education: Framing decisions for the future*.

Necochea, J., & Cline, Z. (1996). A case study analysis of within district school funding inequities. *Equity and Excellence in Education*, *29*(2), 69–77.

Santa Clara Valley Science and Engineering Fair Association. https://science-fair.org/

Contact emails: nks001@shsu.edu
cxv007@shsu.edu
axr249@shsu.edu