

Does South Korea's Exporting Success Conflict with its Paris Agreement Goals of Reducing Greenhouse Gas Emissions by 37%?

Rachel Lee, Yongsan International School of Seoul, Republic of Korea
Douglas Klein, New Jersey City University, United States

The European Conference on Sustainability, Energy & the Environment 2019
Official Conference Proceedings

Abstract

South Korea is one of the most polluted countries in the world - in 2016, Korea had 76 days with bad air quality and only 45 days with good air quality. Medical studies conclude that polluted air can cause serious health problems such as stroke, heart disease, lung cancer and other ailments. Particulate matter floating in the air smaller than 10 micrometers profoundly threatens children and asthmatics and can be easily absorbed into the bloodstream of adults. The Republic of Korea or ROK is a signatory to the Paris Agreement, which aims to reduce greenhouse gas emissions below the current Business As Usual (BAU) emissions 37% by 2030. The ROK Ministry of Environment currently oversees Korea's pollution monitoring and regulations; however, attaining the Paris Agreement goals may be difficult considering the nature of Korea's export-oriented economy. The ROK is the 5th largest exporter of goods in the world - the resulting success and trade surplus has significantly increased per capita income and improved standards of living. An atmospheric test using measuring equipment provided by NASA found that half of the air pollution in Korea originates from industry, power generation, buildings and transportation vehicles. The fact that the majority of South Korea's air pollution comes from within makes proactive solutions possible. This paper will investigate the path forward examining how industrial contributors to air pollution in Korea will work with NGO actors, environmental experts and government officials to achieve the ambitious Paris Agreement goals.

Keywords: South Korea Air Pollution, Particulate Matter Harm, Paris Agreement signatories – South Korea, Republic of Korea Ministry of Environment - role in enforcing Paris Agreement, China and South Korean Air Pollution, Political implications of air pollution in South Korea, ROK trade surplus correlation with increased air pollution, Katowice Climate Change Package

iafor

The International Academic Forum
www.iafor.org

Introduction

In 2018, 17,000 people died in South Korea because of air pollution, according to the "State of Global Air 2019" report published by the Health Effects Institute (Nam, 2019).

Air pollution is identified as the cause of one third of deaths from stroke, lung cancer, and heart disease (World Health Organization, 2019). In 2017, South Korea was designated as one of the most polluted countries in the world, with estimated costs to the country of \$9 billion annually. According to the website AirView, three of the most polluted cities in the world are in South Korea, including the capital Seoul (Harris and Buseong, 2017).

The fundamental cause of health problems in both humans and animals attributed to air pollution is from particulate matter suspended in the air smaller than 10 micrometers, or PM10. These microscopic substances pose great risks because it's possible for the particulates sized PM10 and smaller to infiltrate into the bloodstream or to the lungs, penetrating deep into the respiratory and circulatory systems. This may cause damage to the lungs, heart, and brain (WHO, 2019).

Particulate matter represents a harsher threat when children and asthmatics are impacted. After studying the hospitalization and health records of children, medical experts identified detrimental health effects from the air pollution measured in South Korea's population centers and concluded it's a problem that must be addressed with more care and attention. (Lee, Kim, Song, Hong, Cho, et al, 2002)

Air Pollution in South Korea

According to published environmental research, South Korea ranks amongst the most polluted countries in the world. In 2018, Norwegian researchers found Seoul to have the worst carbon footprint out of 13,000 world cities, with inhabitants producing 276.1 metric tons of carbon dioxide a year (Babe, 2018). Noelle Selin, Associate Professor of Engineering Systems and Atmospheric Chemistry at the Massachusetts Institute of Technology states that a carbon footprint is the "amount of carbon dioxide (CO₂) emissions associated with all the activities of a person or other entity (e.g., building, corporation, country, etc.)." (Selin, 2010)

Poor air quality is a major topic of concern for the Republic of Korea's population - in a 2017 national survey, South Koreans identified fine dust and air pollution as their No.1 stressor in life. (Guardian newspaper, 2018). In fact, Koreans are more worried about getting sick from pollution than they are about nuclear weapons proliferation in North Korea. (Guardian newspaper, 2018). The media reported that in 2016, Korea had 243 days with moderate air quality, 76 days with bad air quality, and only 45 days with good air quality. (Haas, 2018). This leads many average Koreans to wear air masks when outside.

Long-term impact on health

There are unknowns about the future effects air pollution has on long-term health but evidence does suggest that there is a direct connection between air pollution with

higher rates of cancer, stroke, heart disease, and respiratory diseases (Nunez, 2019). Currently the most widely-reported example of the long-term effects that harmful particulates have on humans long-term is the poor state of health from the responders who attempted to rescue victims and retrieve remains at the World Trade Center catastrophe in 2001-2002 - policemen, firemen, rescue paramedics and the drivers of busses and trucks- are still developing cancer 18 years later. (Herbst, 2019).

Some 40,000 of these workers have developed health conditions, including 10,000 responders and volunteers diagnosed with various cancers associated with exposure to the toxins, according to Dr. Michael Crane, medical director of the World Trade Center Health Program at the Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai in Manhattan. (Herbst, 2019). Nearly 18 years after the Sept. 11, 2001, terrorist attacks, more than 2,000 people have died of an illness related to the attacks. (Goldberg and Tracy, 2018).

That figure was provided to the Seattle Times last year by The 9/11 Victims Compensation Fund and World Trade Center Health Program. Officials at each organization told the Seattle news outlet there are no records the number of people who died from 9/11-related illness, but said, at the time, the number was likely close to 2,100. (Goldberg and Tracy, 2018)

It is expected that by the 20th anniversary of 9/11, more people will have died from 9/11-related illnesses than the 2,700 who died at the Twin Towers that day. (Goldberg and Tracy, 2018)

Effects of Air Pollution on Children and Animals

The air quality conditions at the World Trade Center for the days, weeks and months after 9/11/01 were not normal but the high rate of illness, cancer and premature death proves that particulate matter is very dangerous. Studies have been conducted examining the health threats of air pollution to children. A published report found that 543,000 children younger than the age of five die annually from respiratory disease caused by air pollution (World Health Organization, 2019). Research concluded that maternal exposure to air pollution during pregnancy is related to adverse birth outcomes such as early fetal loss, preterm delivery, lower birth weight, and more (Schwartz, 2004). Thus, air pollution is a health threat to society as a whole rather than a single age group. The negative health effects of air pollution are seen not only in humans, but also in animals. In fact, animals are more vulnerable to this issue than humans (Pal, M., Yirgalem, M., Anberber, M., Giro, B., Dasguta, R. 2015). Because animals are not as well equipped to protect themselves from air pollution as humans are, they are naturally much more vulnerable to this presence of particulate matter (Pal, M., Yirgalem, M., Anberber, M., Giro, B., Dasguta, R. 2015). The harmful gases inhaled with contaminated air are believed to affect animals in the same manner as humans, leading to organ damage in the long run (Pal, M., Yirgalem, M., Anberber, M., Giro, B., Dasguta, R. 2015). This is a problem that affects farm animals and pets alike, causing disease such as acute bronchiolitis, emphysema, and heart failure (Pal, M., Yirgalem, M., Anberber, M., Giro, B., Dasguta, R. 2015).

Regulations

In 2015, the government of South Korea (ROK) signed the Paris Agreement, joining most of the world's nations. The aim of the Paris Agreement is to reduce greenhouse gas emissions by 37% below Business As Usual (BAU) emissions by 2030. Because compliance with the Paris Agreement would ensure that roughly a million lives be saved annually by 2050 purely as a result of reductions in air pollution, it has revealed itself to be one of the most critical aspects of South Korean regulation concerning air pollution (World Health Organization, How Air, 2019).

South Korea did not get off to a good start after signing the Paris Agreement – in the very next year, 2016, the non-governmental agency Climate Tracker found that the ROK increased emissions per capita and labeled the country's efforts as “inadequate” (Mattheson, 2016). Figure below shows the grey circles are the 2020 targets, with actual emissions much higher than the progress goal designated by the Paris Agreement.




Figure 1. South Korea increased emissions in 2016 after signing the Paris Agreement (Mattheson, 2016)

The RoK's Ministry of Environment oversees South Korea's regulations concerning pollution monitoring and prevention, working with the National Legislature to enact policies that are designed to protect the environment. However, the Ministry will have a practical challenge hitting the quantitative targets of the Paris Agreement for the following profound reason: South Korea generates approximately 52% of its air pollution domestically, with the other half drifting over from the second largest economy in the world - The People's Republic of China - from the West across the China Sea and from its neighbor on the Korean peninsula the Democratic People's Republic of Korea, most often referred to as North Korea. (Da-sol, 2017)

According to the landmark atmospheric tests of air quality using measuring equipment provided by NASA, domestic air pollution in the RoK originates from industry, power generation, buildings and transportation vehicles. (Hu, 2017) The single largest contributor to air pollution is coal-fired power plants. South Korea is home to 54 coal-fired power plants, which account for 30 percent of the country's power generation (Chung, 2019)).

One of the coal-fired power plants in South Chungcheong Province is a major source of nitrogen compounds and sulfur dioxide which have chain chemical reactions in the air generating more fine dust and ozone (Lee, S. 2017). However, the role that these power plants play in polluting the nation cannot be denied (Lee, S. 2017). Thus, in July 2016, South Korea's Trade Minister announced that the country would target the closure of 10 coal-fired plants by 2025, while also replacing turbines at several power plants in order to increase efficiency and reduce emissions (Lee, S.2017). The government also stated that existing coal-fired power plants will be more tightly regulated through tougher emissions standards (Lee, K. 2017)).

The success of these regulations remain unclear as of the writing of this report. In all, the South Korean government can be said to take a moderately active role in placing regulations to reduce air pollution. However, based on the research we conducted for this paper, we do not believe that the implemented regulations are drastic enough to create significant changes in the number of days of poor atmospheric air quality.

To adhere to the standards presented by this agreement, the South Korean government has made a variety of attempts, including installment of bike-sharing stations, enactment of fines on specific diesel-fueled vehicles and the discontinuation of government parking lots (Babe, 2018).

However, many of these measures have had little success. In 2019, seven major cities in South Korea suffered record high concentrations of harmful PM 2.5 particles, leading to popular backlash over current regulation (McCurry, 2019). Following this crisis, the national assembly passed a series of bills in March to provide authorities access to emergency funds for measures to mitigate these high concentrations, such as the installation of air purifiers in classrooms (McCurry, 2019). It is unclear how effective this new regulation will turn out to be.

China

China is commonly identified as the greatest cause of Korea's air pollution, but as the NASA study concluded, less than half of Korea's poor air quality can be attributed to China. The study determined that approximately 48% of pollution originated outside of the country, from the following regions - 22% from China's Shandong Province, 9% from North Korea, 7% from Beijing, 5% from Shanghai, and a combined 5% for the three regions of China's Liaoning Province, Japan and the West Sea. (Sa-sol, 2017)

Academic experts also believe that the public blames China unfairly. The government is sitting idly by while passing the buck to China," said Kim Shin-do, a professor of environmental engineering at the University of Seoul. (Harris and Beseoung, 2017). Professor Kim's assessment of China's share of the pollution blame is 20%. (Harris and Beseoung, 2017).

The results are expected to silence those who blamed China the most for Korea's bad air quality. Some people even filed a compensation suit against the Chinese government earlier this year (Kim S., 2019). South Korea must acknowledge its own prominence in the harsh air pollution of South Korea rather than assigning blame solely to its neighbors (Hu, 2017). A 2018 study by the Ministry of Environment

found 97 per cent of Korean adults felt physical or psychological pain due to dust. Sixty per cent thought the problem was “serious” while another 30 per cent thought it was “extremely serious” (Lee, D. 2019). The National Assembly took action in February and March of 2019, passing several bills that paved the way for a 3 trillion won (US\$2.65 billion) emergency fund to tackle the problem and designated fine dust as a social disaster. A second law, the Special Act on the Reduction and Management of Fine Dust, granted the government the ability to limit the use of vehicles, coal-fired power stations and building sites (Lee, D. 2019).

From the research we conducted we could not find evidence that there is a substantive plan for using alternative fuels for buses, trams and trucks in South Korea in the near future, such as biofuel or liquefied natural gas.

As in many democratic countries with multiple political parties, when a national crisis occurs, the issue becomes politicized. South Korean President Moon Jae-in (Democratic Party) proposed a joint project with China to use artificial rain to clean the air in his country, but the Chinese Foreign Ministry Mr. Lu responded "I wonder if the South Korean side has any basis that its smog is from China," noting that fine dust readings have been higher in Seoul than in Beijing recently. "All countries realize that the cause is very complicated" (Telegraph Staff, 2019).

Na Kyung-won, the floor leader of the conservative Liberty Korea Party, called for Mr. Moon to designate the current levels of air pollution a national disaster. For President Moon, the dirty air appears to be eroding his approval rating, which dropped to 44% in March, 2019 from a peak of 81% in June 2017, according to data from Gallup Korea (Lee, D. 2019).

Is Coal to blame for the fine dust particles?

The 52% of air pollution generated domestically was created by the coal-fueled power industry, diesel cars/buses, heating homes, apartments and office buildings and diversified industrial manufacturing. According to the comprehensive report measuring CO₂ emissions for all world countries, South Korea is ranked 9th amongst all nations in Fossil CO₂ emissions. The top 10 nations on the list contributed 67% of the planet’s CO₂ gases (Muntean, et al 2018).

As noted above, South Korea is the 9th largest producer of CO₂ but there are many countries in the world in addition to South Korea - especially in Europe - that have smog and pollution because coal is the primary source of power generation plants and a significant percentage of workers rely on coal production and/or economically rely on its supply chain.

This is the reason why the location of the last meeting of the UN Climate talks was significant - Katowice, Poland, which is in the heart of the Silesia Coal region. There is significant tension between the advocates of preventing further climate change and those that want to protect the jobs that coal creates - and also the wealth that a country like South Korea generates from its economic engine. The end result of the December 2018 negotiations in Poland was a document that became known as the Paris Rulebook (Evans and Timberley, 2018).

What's in the Paris Rulebook?

Nearly 200 countries signed the Declaration at the end of the Katowice conference pledging to follow a 156-page rulebook for implementing the landmark Paris Agreement on climate change. (Cuddy, 2018) The landmark Paris Agreement 2015 deal aims to limit global temperature rises to "well below" two degrees Celsius - the temperature target goal at Katowice in 2018 was 1.5 degrees. (Cuddy, 2018) The UN says the new Rulebook guidelines "promote trust among nations that all countries are playing their part in addressing the challenge of climate change" (Cuddy, 2018). A consensus was finally reached when ministers managed to break a deadlock between Brazil and other countries over the accounting rules for the monitoring of carbon credits, deferring much of the discussion to next year (Cuddy, 2018). (A discussion of carbon credits follows in the next section).

Specifically:

The 256-page common rulebook, known as the Katowice Climate Change Package, is split into thematic sections. It details how countries should monitor and report their greenhouse gas emissions and the efforts they're taking to reduce them, and how they will update their emissions plans (Cuddy, 2018). Poor countries also secured assurances on getting financial support to help them cut emissions adapt to changes and pay for damages (Cuddy, 2018).

Guidelines in the package also explain how to conduct the "Global Stocktake" of the effectiveness of climate action in 2023 (Cuddy, 2018). The process for establishing new targets on finance from 2025 onwards to support developing countries (Cuddy, 2018).

Korea's Success as a Net Exporter of Goods leads to increased Wealth

After the Cold War period ended, South Korea initiated a government-backed export policy which led to great success. Since 2008, Korea has only had two quarters with a trade deficit. The trade surplus hit a high of \$12BN in 2016 (Trading Economics Reference site, 2019).

The trade surplus has declined since then, but over the last 10 years, one metric of wealth, GDP per Capita has risen sharply. In 2009, GDP per Capita was \$20,843 - in 2018, it was \$31,335, an increase of 50% (Trading Economics Reference site, 2019). Koreans now are ranked 28th in the world as measured by GDP per Capita wealth (Trading Economics Reference site, 2019). The data proves that Koreans export success increased the economic well-being of the average citizen, who has more money to pay for heating apartments and to travel by bus and car. Rising wealth per person leads to the ability to pay for the natural resources that create carbon dioxide - this is the core problem that conflicts with achieving the Paris Agreement targets.

Car Sales in South Korea, 2008-2018

In 2008, Koreans bought 1.2 million cars and light trucks (Dement, 2019). Sales rose steadily and hit a peak of 1.8 million in 2015(Dement, 2019). In the last three years, sales have fallen by less than 1%, with 1.78 million cars and light trucks sold in 2018(Dement, 2019). This means that it's reasonable to conclude that the pollution

generated from private motor vehicles should not be increasing in the very near future. (Dement, 2019)

Year Units Sold in South Korea

2018	1.784.614
2017	1.761.404
2016	1.795.215
2015	1.800.984
2014	1.629.763
2013	1.511.931
2012	1.516.300
2011	1.553.062
2010	1.541.433
2009	1.439.546
2008	1.200.283

Data from (Dement 2019)

What about the innovation of trading carbon credits?

Several emissions-trading schemes (ETSs) have been established to reduce CO₂ emissions, such as the EU ETS, California-Quebec ETS, and New Zealand ETS, among others (Choi and Qi, 2019). The ETS provides a way to reduce pollutant emissions using market measures, which is more scientific and effective than compulsory administrative measures (Choi and Qi, 2019). The main compliance tools under the carbon ETS involve directly decreasing CO₂ emissions and purchasing emission allowances, and thus, power plants must be well-versed in these two measures' costs and benefits (Choi and Qi, 2019).

Korea's coal-fueled power plants have the potential to play a key role in reducing carbon emissions, as they account for 43% of the nation's electricity generation and approximately 25% of its total carbon emissions (Choi and Qi, 2019). The energy and electricity-generation sectors collectively account for 43.6% of the ETS market's total quota, and thus, the Korean ETS' effectiveness should be based on that of the coal-fueled power industry (Choi and Qi, 2019).

Korea is the 11th largest economy world-wide and consumed approximately 2.2% of the world's total primary energy in 2017, making it the eighth-largest global energy consumer. Moreover, Korea accounted for 2.3% of global coal consumption in 2017, or sixth worldwide (Choi and Qi, 2019). To shoulder more of its various responsibilities—from curtailing global carbon emissions to relieving burdens on the domestic environment—the Korean government established its “low carbon-green growth” national carbon-reduction policy in 2009, then passed a law mandating decreased national CO₂ emissions by 37% below business as usual (BAU) levels by 2030 (Choi and Qi, 2019).

Proposed Ideas that can make a substantive change in air pollution

The Korean government should strengthen its regulations to more effectively implement a green economy. Policymakers could impose a carbon tax; substantially

decrease the carbon emissions-free quota; and provide more incentives, especially to the energy-intensive, resource-saving coal-fueled power plants. The common “man in the street” in Seoul wants to hold China responsible, as scientists have attributed roughly 50% of harmful particulate matter pollution drifting over from multiple regions of China. However, it will not be easy to craft a mutually agreeable solution with the Communist power.

(Choi and Chao, 2019)

Conclusion

This paper highlighted a conundrum that the citizens of South Korea face. The success of the government-backed economic programs which emphasized investments in manufacturing export goods was successful in creating a positive balance of trade, which accrued to the average worker as measured by significant increases in the per capita GDP. Companies that are selling goods at a profit want to produce more – this led to an increase in industry-related air pollution. Workers with higher wages can afford to keep the heat on in their residences – this led to an increase in CO₂ emissions. And a stronger economy means more cars and trucks on the road, which also leads to an increase in air pollution.

In 2019, only four years after the signing of the Paris Agreement, South Korea experienced a “national emergency” because of persistent air pollution, according to the President. The National Legislature took action in the Spring, but it’s too early to judge the policy implementation effective. It is hard for observer who have studied the issue of South Korea to be optimistic at this time that the country can reduce it’s CO₂ emissions 37% in 11 years.

References

- Babe, A. (2018). High Flying Ideas? Betting on Technology, the South Korean Capital Deploys Drones to Fight Smog. *US News and World Reports*, Retrieved from <https://www.usnews.com/news/world/articles/2018-08-08/seoul-turns-to-technology-to-battle-air-pollution>
- Choi, Y. and Qi, C., (2019) Is South Korea's Emission Trading Scheme Effective? An Analysis Based on the Marginal Abatement Cost of Coal-Fueled Power Plants, *Journal of Inha University*, Incheon, Korea
- Chung, J. 2019, June 18 South Korea fires up on renewables, to close more coal plants, *Reuters*, retrieved at <https://www.reuters.com/article/us-southkorea-energy-renewable-analysis/south-korea-fires-up-on-renewables-to-close-more-coal-plants-idUSKCN1TJ0JK>
- Cuddy, A. (2019) What is the COP24 climate change rulebook and why do we need it? Euronews answers, *EuroNews*, retrieved from <https://www.euronews.com/2018/12/16/what-is-the-climate-change-rulebook-adopted-at-cop24-and-why-do-we-need-it-euronews-answer>
- Da-sol, K. (2017, July 19), Half of fine dust from Korean sources: study, *The Korea Herald*, retrieved from <http://www.koreaherald.com/view.php?ud=20170719000994>
- Demant, Bart, CarSalesBase.com Blog, 2019, retrieved at <http://carsalesbase.com/totalmarket-sales-country/south-korea-car-sales-data/>
- Evans, S and Timberley, J (2018, December 18), COP24: Key outcomes agreed at the UN climate talks in Katowice, Carbon Brief, retrieved at <https://www.carbonbrief.org/cop24-key-outcomes-agreed-at-the-un-climate-talks-in-katowice>
- Ferreira, J. (2019) South Korea Balance of Trade, *Trading Economics*, Retrieved at <https://tradingeconomics.com/south-korea/balance-of-trade>
- Goldberg, N. and Tracy, T (2018, September 10) So many deaths from 9/11-related illnesses, victims' fund may run out of money, originally published in The Daily News (New York), retrieved on <https://www.seattletimes.com/nation-world/so-many-deaths-from-9-11-related-illnesses-victims-fund-may-run-out-of-money/>
- Haas, B. (2018, May 15) South Koreans more worried about air pollution than Kim's nukes, *The Guardian*, retrieved at <https://www.theguardian.com/world/2018/may/16/south-koreans-more-worried-about-air-pollution-than-kims-nukes>
- Harris, B. and Buseong, K. (2017, March 29) South Korea joins ranks of world's most Polluted countries, *Financial Times*, retrieved from <https://www.ft.com/content/b49a9878-141b11e7-80f4-13e067d5072c>
- Herbst, D. (2019) 'We Are Seeing People Dropping Like Flies': Meet the 9/11 First

Responders Now Fighting for Their Own Lives, *People.com*, retrieved at <https://people.com/politics/911-responder-deaths-fight-congress-victims-compensation-fund/>

Hu, Elise, (2017) Armed With NASA Data, South Korea Confronts Its Choking Smog, *National Public Radio*, retrieved at <https://www.npr.org/sections/parallels/2017/10/10/552264719/armed-with-nasa-data-south-korea-confronts-its-choking-smog>

KIM JAEWON, (2019, April 2) 'Killer dust' pollutes South Korea's relations with China, *Nikkei Asian Review*, retrieved at <https://asia.nikkei.com/Spotlight/Asia-Insight/Killer-dust-pollutes-South-Korea-s-relations-with-China>

Kim, S., 2017, July 19) 52% of fine dust generated in Korea, *Korea Times*, retrieved at <http://koreatimes.co.kr/www/common/printpreviews.asp?categoryCode=371&newsId x=233295>

Lee, David (2019, March 15), China is to blame for South Korea's air pollution. Really?, *South China Morning Post*, retrieved from <https://www.scmp.com/week-asia/healthenvironment/article/3001741/china-blame-south-koreas-air-pollution-really>

Lee, J.T., Kim, H., Song, H., Hong, Y.C., Cho, Y.S., Shin, S.Y., Kim, Y.S. (2002). Air Pollution and Asthma Among Children in Seoul, Korea. *Epidemiology*, 13(4), 481-484. Retrieved from https://journals.lww.com/epidem/Fulltext/2002/07000/Air_Pollution_and_Asthma_Among_Children_in_Seoul,18.aspx

Mattheson, South Korea leads list of 2016 climate villains, *Climate Home News*, retrieved From https://www.climatechangenews.com/2016/11/04/south_korea_climate_villains/

McCurry, J. (2019, March 13) 'Social disaster': South Korea brings in emergency laws to tackle dust pollution, *The Guardian*, retrieved at <https://www.theguardian.com/environment/2019/mar/13/social-disaster-south-korea-brings-in-emergency-laws-to-tackle-dust-pollution>

MUNTEAN M., GUIZZARDI D., SCHAAF E., CRIPPA M, Fossil CO2 emissions of all world countries - 2018 Report, *EU Fossil Hub*, retrieved at <https://ec.europa.eu/jrc/en/publication/eur-scientific-and-technical-researchreports/fossil-co2-emissions-all-world-countries-2018-report>

Nam, S. (2019,4,4. Air pollution causes 17,000 deaths in S. Korea in 2017: Study. Yonhap News Agency, Retrieved from <https://en.yna.co.kr/view/AEN20190404010800315>

Nunez, C. (2019) Air pollution, explained, *National Geographic*, retrieved at <https://www.nationalgeographic.com/environment/global-warming/pollution/>

Pal, M., Yirgalem, M., Anberber, M., Giro, B., Dasguta, R. (2015) Impact of Environmental Pollution on Animal Health, *Journal of Natural History*, Vol. 11, No 1, retrieved at https://www.researchgate.net/publication/327871070_Impact_of_environmental_pollution_on_animal_health

Schwartz, Joel, 2004, Air Pollution and Children's Health, *American Association of Pediatrics*, 2004, retrieved at https://pediatrics.aappublications.org/content/113/Supplement_3/1037.short

Selin, N. (2010, May 25). *Carbon Footprint*. Retrieved from <https://www.britannica.com/science/carbon-footprint>

Telegraph Staff, 2019, South Korea proposes artificial rain project to combat Seoul air pollution, retrieved at <https://www.telegraph.co.uk/news/2019/03/06/south-korea-proposes-artificial-rain-project-combat-seoul-air/>

Contact email: dougleinteacher@gmail.com