

## **Engineered Not to Learn: Four Digitally Induced Cognitive Impairments Hindering Learning in Social-Media Natives**

Stefano Caggiano, Istituto Marangoni, Italy

The Barcelona Conference on Arts, Media & Culture 2025  
Official Conference Proceedings

### **Abstract**

The business model of social media maximizes screen time by exploiting dopamine-driven mechanisms, engaging attention and short-term memory to process constant interruptions. Over time, users develop an addiction to distraction, fostering dependency on shallow engagement and undermining deep focus. Due to neural plasticity, the brain rewires itself to prioritize fleeting stimuli, especially harmful when exposure begins early, as developing brains are more malleable. Digital natives, immersed in digitally pervasive, distraction-filled environments, struggle with traditional learning models requiring resilience and delayed gratification. The constant use of digital devices shapes cognitive strategies, modifying the brain through plasticity. This passive engagement contrasts with the “generation effect,” where active problem-solving enhances memory retention. The blurring of entertainment and education exacerbates challenges, as digital natives apply shallow, dopamine-driven patterns to learning, undermining deep education. This shift has led to a rise in psychological and cognitive issues, including Specific Learning Disorders (SLD), social anxiety, and low self-esteem. More specifically, it has resulted in four key cognitive impairments: Addiction to Distraction, Long-Term Memory Disruption, Setback Handling Dysfunction, and Inferential Reasoning Deficit. These impairments arise from digital technologies prioritizing instant gratification, fragmented attention, and passive information consumption. By identifying these four impairments, the paper provides a framework for updating learning methods to align with the cognitive needs of digital natives, emphasizing cognitive resilience, sustained attention, and active inferential thinking for meaningful learning in a digital world.

*Keywords:* cognitive realignment, cognitive ecology, learning wellbeing, educational design, social-media natives

**iafor**

The International Academic Forum  
[www.iafor.org](http://www.iafor.org)

## **Introduction: An Induced Dysfunctional Approach to Learning**

The educational difficulties of social-media natives emerge not from a lack of motivation, but from a deep reconfiguration of cognitive behavior driven by the digital environment. Their mind, continuously exposed to fast-paced flows of stimuli, has been trained to privilege immediacy, novelty, and discontinuity—features intrinsic to the entertainment paradigm of contemporary media. Learning, however, operates according to the opposite logic: it demands temporal extension, conceptual layering, and deferred gratification.

The early and continuous immersion in the smartphone–social media complex produces a misalignment between the cognitive strategies developed through everyday digital life and those required by formal education. Minds shaped by the perpetual feedback of digital systems learn to process through short-term engagement and emotional stimulation, whereas schooling requires long-term focus and conceptual integration. What results is not a simple behavioral conflict but a neurological one: the mental architecture of learners has been structurally attuned to rapid transitions rather than sustained depth.

### **The Digitally Extended Mind**

Cognition has always been distributed beyond the boundaries of the brain—into language, writing, tools, and artifacts (Clark & Chalmers, 1998). Yet each extension transforms what it supports. The printed page, for instance, cultivated attention and deep processing through its linear, stable structure. Digital media, by contrast, foster an ecology of scanning, switching, and hyperlinked association.

The digitally extended mind operates within an environment of continuous micro-decisions: every scroll or tap demands a micro-problem-solving action. This perpetual low-level engagement trains the mind to stay alert but unfocused, active but not reflective. The neural circuits of attention adapt to this rhythm of fragmentation, reinforcing patterns of stimulus-response that hinder the mental stillness required for deep understanding. The result is a cognitive modality characterized not by ignorance, but by *saturation without consolidation*.

### **From Educational to Entertainment Paradigm**

Education and entertainment represent two incompatible paradigms of cognition. The first is slow, effortful, and cumulative; the second, fast, effortless, and transient. The educational paradigm rewards patience and the capacity to tolerate ambiguity, while the entertainment paradigm gratifies immediacy and rewards low-threshold novelty.

Digital culture, by merging these two paradigms, has generated an epistemological confusion. Students approach learning through the same mental filters they apply to streaming content or social media feeds. Reading becomes scanning; reasoning becomes clicking. Within this confusion, attention shifts from understanding to navigation. The act of learning itself begins to feel alien—too slow for a brain that has been trained to expect constant updates.

### **Methodological Context and Limitations of the Presented Work**

The reflections developed in this paper are based on a qualitative investigation conducted at the School of Design, Istituto Marangoni (Milan), during the academic year 2024/25. The study involved 118 instructors reflecting on their experience with approximately 630 students across

Foundation, Bachelor, and Master programs. Discussions were organized by department and disciplinary area, and responses were thematically coded and synthesized through comparative analysis.

When the findings were later shared with other Istituto Marangoni campuses (London, Paris, Dubai, Mumbai, Shenzhen, Florence, and Milan Fashion), a strong convergence emerged. Despite cultural and curricular differences, faculty members consistently reported the same learning difficulties: fragmented attention, reduced inferential reasoning, and limited cognitive resilience. This recurrence suggests that the impairments identified are not local anomalies but structural effects of the digital environment on cognition.

At the same time, certain limitations must be acknowledged. The research was carried out within a design-education context—an ecosystem characterized by project-based learning and iterative feedback—where the interaction between cognition and creativity plays a central role. These conditions may not directly reflect those of other educational domains, such as STEM or humanities, and therefore limit the generalizability of the conclusions.

Nevertheless, the interpretive strength of this study lies in its anticipatory character. The four impairments identified—Addiction to Distraction, Inferential Reasoning Deficit, Long-Term Memory Disruption, and Setback Handling Dysfunction—have since found confirmation in cognitive and neuroscientific research on digital media and learning (e.g., Blakemore & Choudhury, 2006; Dunlosky et al., 2013; Karpicke & Roediger, 2008; Ward et al., 2017). This convergence validates the findings as early evidence of a broader cognitive transformation produced by the attention economy and underscores the need for pedagogical redesign to address it.

### **Four Digitally Induced Cognitive Impairments**

The cognitive transformation described above manifests in four recurring forms of dysfunction that together define a new mental ecology. These are not disorders in the clinical sense but adaptive responses to a technological environment that rewards reactivity over reflection.

#### **Addiction to Distraction**

Digital platforms are deliberately engineered to capture attention by exploiting dopaminergic reward loops that reinforce compulsive checking and perpetual engagement (Berridge & Robinson, 1998; Schultz, 1997). Infinite scroll, push notifications, and algorithmic novelty sustain a state of continuous micro-stimulation in which attention is constantly fragmented. Over time, the user's cognitive architecture adapts to this modality: what begins as distraction becomes a default mode of processing, a structural “addiction to distraction.”

In this condition, the mind is trained to be rewarded immediately rather than to attend. Each stimulus triggers a brief cycle of orientation, reward, and abandonment, cultivating a preference for immediacy over depth. The resulting attentional style favors dispersion over depth—able to notice everything yet focus on nothing for long. This addiction to distraction is so compulsive that the mere presence of a smartphone can drain cognitive resources by diverting part of working memory toward potential digital engagement (Ward et al., 2017).

Attention thus becomes physiologically tuned to high-frequency, short-horizon events (Blakemore & Choudhury, 2006), effectively training the mind to be perpetually distractible.

Through neural plasticity, the brain gradually adapts to the environment it inhabits. The result is a cognitive architecture optimized for reactivity but hostile to contemplation—an attentional ecology designed for movement, not for meaning.

Educational design must therefore act as a form of attentional re-engineering. Restoring deep focus requires not prohibition but rhythm: structured alternations between stimulus and silence, input and integration. Short lecture segments followed by active retrieval exercises (Dunlosky et al., 2013; Roediger & Butler, 2011) help re-establish attention as a deliberate act rather than a reflex. In this sense, teaching becomes a practice of cognitive recalibration—a form of gentle rehabilitation of attention, guiding the mind back from dispersion toward intentional presence.

### **Inferential Reasoning Deficit**

Inference—the mental process of connecting information into coherent meaning—constitutes the core mechanism of understanding. In traditional learning environments, this capacity is trained through the active synthesis of clues, contexts, and prior knowledge. Digital culture, however, has transformed inference into an automated process. The hyperlink, by pre-connecting signifier and signified (to put it in semiotic terms), eliminates the need for interpretive effort (Pirolli & Card, 1999). The user no longer constructs the link but merely activates it.

This condition fosters what may be termed *pseudo-inference*: a mode of shallow comprehension in which meaning is accessed rather than generated. Over time, the cognitive system becomes habituated to externally provided connections, reducing the necessity of internal synthesis. Students display a preference for answer-fetching over reasoning, and their argumentative structures often replicate the linearity of sources rather than constructing independent conceptual frameworks.

At the neural level, this dependency weakens the generative acts—abduction, induction, and deduction—that constitute the architecture of inferential reasoning (Cowan, 2005). Without such internally produced linkages, information remains unintegrated, preventing the formation of stable “schemas” (organized neural networks) necessary for understanding.

Pedagogically, this impairment demands a shift from content delivery to cognitive activation. Tasks should invite learners to reconstruct paths of reasoning rather than merely retrieve results. “Explain-your-path” exercises, delayed access to resources, and concept mapping from memory before exposure to exemplars can reactivate the *generation effect* (Slamecka & Graf, 1978), compelling students to connect rather than collect information.

### **Long-Term Memory Disruption**

Within the ecology of the digitally extended mind, information is no longer primarily stored internally but continuously accessed through external supports—search engines, cloud storage, shared drives. This outsourcing of memory appears, at first glance, to be an ideal form of cognitive economy. By delegating the storage of information to external systems, the mind conserves energy and resources for the rapid, problem-solving activity required by the interactive logic of digital navigation. From an energetic standpoint, this strategy is efficient: it minimizes the cognitive cost of retention and maximizes reactivity within a stimulus-rich environment.

However, what seems an economy from the perspective of the extended mind becomes a profound impoverishment within its “core:” the brain itself. Learning depends on the *generation effect*—the principle that information processed through internal elaboration is remembered more deeply because it generates new and organized synaptic connections, the neural structures known as schemas (Karpicke & Roediger, 2008; Slamecka & Graf, 1978). These schemas constitute the architecture of comprehension, integrating new knowledge with existing mental frameworks.

When memory is externalized, the cognitive work that produces these neural organizations is bypassed. The mind retrieves information but does not transform it into solid knowledge; retrievability replaces understanding, and what is gained in accessibility is lost in consolidation. In this sense, the outsourcing of memory represents not a cognitive aid but a subtle form of disempowerment: the individual becomes dependent on external systems for what once defined the autonomy of thought itself—the capacity to generate, retain, and connect meaning within the architecture of the brain.

### **Setback Handling Dysfunction**

Digital immediacy has profoundly altered the learner’s relationship with effort and delay. In online environments, information is delivered through systems optimized for accessibility and instant response. Such constant immediacy progressively erodes frustration tolerance—the ability to persist through difficulty, uncertainty, or deferred gratification. When the environment systematically removes friction, the mind loses the habit of searching, waiting, and strategizing.

This untrained capacity to “find after effort” represents a critical cognitive loss. The act of searching is itself a formative process: it develops planning, hypothesis testing, and adaptive reasoning. Within the digital milieu, where results are pre-linked and instantly retrievable, the learner’s cognitive system no longer experiences the slow rhythm of discovery. As a consequence, difficulty is perceived not as a challenge to engage with, but as a signal of inadequacy or system failure.

In educational contexts, this manifests as premature disengagement from complex tasks, defensive reactions to critique, and an overall reduction in exploratory curiosity. The ability to cope with setbacks—the psychological stamina that transforms error into insight—atrophies through disuse.

To rebuild *cognitive endurance*, educational design must reframe failure as an integral phase of the learning cycle, introducing controlled difficulty as a structural element of education and making it the explicit object of metacognitive reflection. As Duckworth et al. (2007) and Mischel et al. (1989) demonstrate, resilience is not innate but cultivated through the experience of delayed reward. Pedagogies that normalize struggle, value revision, and reward process over immediacy are essential to retraining the learner’s capacity for strategic search and the patient reconstruction of meaning.

### **A Cognitive Ecology Engineered for Dispersion**

These impairments are not isolated defects but the predictable outcome of an attention economy that commodifies cognition. The digital environment has transformed attention from a faculty

of consciousness into a unit of transaction. The result is an ecology of constant semi-engagement: perpetual stimulation without assimilation.

In earlier centuries, learning unfolded within rhythms of scarcity—of time, information, and feedback. Concentration and memory developed naturally within that slowness. The digital ecology inverts this logic. It replaces continuity with acceleration, reflection with reaction, and patience with immediacy. The adolescent brain, highly plastic and still forming its executive networks (Blakemore & Choudhury, 2006), is especially vulnerable to such reconditioning. The consequence is a generation cognitively trained for flow, not for form—for connection without comprehension.

Education thus finds itself confronting a paradox: it must cultivate the very faculties that the surrounding environment systematically dissolves. To teach today is to restore rhythm where speed dominates, silence where noise prevails, and depth where cognition has been flattened by perpetual access.

### **Implications: A Dual-Strategy Framework**

Although the primary aim of this paper has been to identify four digitally induced cognitive impairments, it is equally necessary to outline directions for pedagogical action. The following section proposes a conceptual framework—a dual-strategy approach—through which education can respond to the cognitive conditions generated by digital life.

If the digital environment engineers dispersion, education must, in turn, engineer coherence. This can only occur through two complementary dimensions: one *structural*, concerning the spatial and temporal organization of learning, and one *instructional*, focused on the cognitive and relational skills that the digital milieu has weakened. Together, these two strategies redefine education as a design discipline—a practice devoted not only to the transmission of knowledge, but to the *design of cognitive environments* capable of sustaining meaning and attention.

#### **Structural Reorientation**

Attention is not merely a psychological faculty; it is a “mental environment” that can be shaped by design. Learning environments should be conceived as *attentional architectures*—contexts that promote concentration by reducing cognitive noise and scaffolding the rhythms of engagement.

The temporal structure of teaching should align with the physiology of memory: alternating exposure and retrieval, interspersing focus with rest, and allowing intervals for consolidation (Cepeda et al., 2006; Roediger & Butler, 2011). These pauses are not absences of learning but its invisible infrastructure, where understanding sedimentates into long-term memory.

Assessment, too, can support this temporal coherence by valuing trajectories of improvement rather than static outcomes. Grading systems that reward progress over immediacy encourage perseverance and resilience—qualities essential to countering the acceleration imposed by digital culture. The classroom should be a cognitive environment itself that restores rhythm, continuity, and the proper act of learning.

## Instructional Reorientation

While structure shapes the external conditions of learning, instruction must act upon its internal mechanisms. In a world where the environment no longer teaches sustained effort, reasoning, or frustration tolerance, these must become *explicit subjects of education*.

Pedagogy should prioritize to rebuild the “learning mind:” the ensemble of cognitive habits that enable deep understanding. This involves metacognitive training, iterative feedback, and practices of active retrieval that strengthen inferential reasoning and long-term retention (Duckworth et al., 2007; Slamecka & Graf, 1978). When students learn to recognize distraction, to reconstruct reasoning, and to experience error as formative rather than punitive, they begin to inhabit technology consciously rather than be governed by it.

## Learning as Cognitive Realignment

Beyond structural and instructional adaptation lies a broader cultural responsibility: education must become a site of cognitive realignment, where the human mind regains its temporal, emotional, and reflective balance.

Within the broader framework of *learning wellbeing*, pedagogy should cultivate the ability to sustain attention, inhabit silence, and find satisfaction in slowness. These are not nostalgic ideals but essential conditions for higher-order cognition. The school, seen in this light, becomes a place where technology is not rejected but rehumanized through conscious inhabitation, with education acting as a *gentle rehabilitation of attention*, allowing students to rediscover the cognitive rhythms that underlie genuine understanding.

## Conclusion and Future Work

To teach & learn in the digital age is to teach & learn *against* the current of the medium. The four impairments identified in this study—Addiction to Distraction, Inferential Reasoning Deficit, Long-Term Memory Disruption, and Setback Handling Dysfunction—are not pathologies of individuals but systemic effects of an economy that profits from distraction.

Schools must act as counter-environments: spaces where thought can slow down, consolidate, and reflect. Teaching becomes a form of *cognitive design*, shaping not only what is known but how knowing happens. Each act of focused attention, each deliberate effort of reasoning, each moment of silence becomes an affirmation of human intentionality amid the noise of automation.

The findings presented here derive from a design-education context and therefore carry the limits inherent to qualitative inquiry. Nevertheless, the patterns identified have since been corroborated by studies in cognitive science, psychology, and neuroscience, confirming the validity of the observed phenomena (Blakemore & Choudhury, 2006; Dunlosky et al., 2013; Ward et al., 2017).

Future research should extend these insights through ecological momentary assessment of distraction patterns and targeted interventions addressing each impairment, ideally conducted as pre-registered, multi-site replications (Przybylski & Weinstein, 2017). Integrating neuroscientific, phenomenological, and semiotic approaches would further clarify how digital ecologies shape cognition and how education can restore balance within them.

Ultimately, the goal of such inquiry is not diagnostic but transformative: to design pedagogies capable of restoring the conditions under which thought can once again unfold in its natural rhythm. Education, reimagined as a form of *cognitive wellbeing*, becomes both an act of resistance and an art of care—a deliberate rebalancing of the human mind within its technological habitat.

### **Declaration of Generative AI and AI-Assisted Technologies in the Writing Process**

The author acknowledges the use of AI-assisted technologies (OpenAI ChatGPT, GPT-5) solely to improve the language, clarity, and readability of the manuscript. The conceptual content, structure, analysis, and interpretations are entirely the author's own.

## References

- Berridge, K. C., & Robinson, T. E. (1998). What is the role of dopamine in reward: Hedonic impact, reward learning, or incentive salience? *Brain Research Reviews*, 28(3), 309–369.
- Blakemore, S.-J., & Choudhury, S. (2006). Development of the adolescent brain: Implications for executive function and social cognition. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 47(3–4), 296–312.
- Cepeda, N. J., Pashler, H., Vul, E., Wixted, J. T., & Rohrer, D. (2006). Distributed practice in verbal recall tasks: A review and quantitative synthesis. *Psychological Bulletin*, 132(3), 354–380.
- Clark, A., & Chalmers, D. (1998). The extended mind. *Analysis*, 58(1), 7–19.
- Cowan, N. (2005). *Working memory capacity*. Psychology Press.
- Duckworth, A. L., Peterson, C., Matthews, M. D., & Kelly, D. R. (2007). Grit: Perseverance and passion for long-term goals. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 92(6), 1087–1101.
- Dunlosky, J., Rawson, K. A., Marsh, E. J., Nathan, M. J., & Willingham, D. T. (2013). Improving students' learning with effective learning techniques. *Psychological Science in the Public Interest*, 14(1), 4–58.
- Karpicke, J. D., & Roediger, H. L. (2008). The critical importance of retrieval for learning. *Science*, 319(5865), 966–968.
- Mischel, W., Shoda, Y., & Rodriguez, M. L. (1989). Delay of gratification in children. *Science*, 244(4907), 933–938.
- Ophir, E., Nass, C., & Wagner, A. D. (2009). Cognitive control in media multitaskers. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 106(37), 15583–15587.
- Pirolli, P., & Card, S. (1999). Information foraging. *Psychological Review*, 106(4), 643–675.
- Przybylski, A. K., & Weinstein, N. (2017). A large-scale test of the Goldilocks hypothesis. *Psychological Science*, 28(2), 204–215.
- Risko, E. F., & Gilbert, S. J. (2016). Cognitive offloading. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 20(9), 676–688.
- Roediger, H. L., & Butler, A. C. (2011). The critical role of retrieval practice in long-term retention. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 15(1), 20–27.
- Schultz, W. (1997). Predictive reward signal of dopamine neurons. *Journal of Neurophysiology*, 80(1), 1–27.

Slamecka, N. J., & Graf, P. (1978). The generation effect: Delineation of a phenomenon. *Journal of Verbal Learning and Verbal Behavior*, 17(5), 593–605.

Sparrow, B., Liu, J., & Wegner, D. M. (2011). Google effects on memory. *Science*, 333(6043), 776–778.

Ward, A. F., Duke, K., Gneezy, A., & Bos, M. W. (2017). Brain drain: The mere presence of one's own smartphone reduces available cognitive capacity. *Journal of the Association for Consumer Research*, 2(2), 140–154.

**Contact email:** [s.caggiano@istitutomarangoni.com](mailto:s.caggiano@istitutomarangoni.com)