

***Cultivating a Research-Fostering Culture in Higher Education Institutions:
The Importance and Best Practices of Quality Assurance***

Karima Almazroui, Mohamed bin Zayed University for Humanities, United Arab Emirates
Malika Shatnawi, Mohamed bin Zayed University for Humanities, United Arab Emirates

The Asian Conference on Education & International Development 2024
Official Conference Proceedings

Abstract

In the context of national higher education systems, institutions play a pivotal role in advancing research agendas and fostering innovation, thus contributing substantially to societal progress. Central to this endeavor is the cultivation of an institutional ethos that prioritizes research as a cornerstone of academic excellence. This research endeavors to illuminate the critical importance of nurturing such a culture within higher education institutions, emphasizing its profound implications for national development. Through an exploration of quality assurance practices, this study elucidates the mechanisms by which leading institutions establish and sustain a research-centric environment. By delving into the nuanced strategies employed by these institutions, this research not only highlights the pivotal role of research in generating novel insights and addressing societal challenges but also underscores its significance as a catalyst for transformative change. The findings of this study hold substantive implications for policymakers, institutional leaders, and stakeholders within the higher education landscape. By disseminating these insights, this research equips stakeholders with empirically grounded strategies and best practices for fostering a robust research culture within their respective institutions. In doing so, institutions can position themselves as vanguards of academic excellence, poised to drive innovation and contribute meaningfully to national and global discourse. This research serves as a scholarly beacon guiding higher education institutions toward the cultivation of a research environment characterized by rigor, innovation, and societal relevance. By embracing the insights garnered from this study, institutions can chart a course toward excellence, furthering their impact on the academic landscape and advancing the frontiers of knowledge dissemination and discovery.

Keywords: Quality Assurance, Higher Education Institutions, Research, Innovation, Institutional Culture

iafor

The International Academic Forum
www.iafor.org

Introduction

Research in higher education institutions contributes to the growth of knowledge by generating new insights, theories, and discoveries, as well as validating existing knowledge and theories, which provides a solid foundation for further development (Acero, 2017). Furthermore, it catalyzes innovation by fostering the development of new ideas, technologies, and solutions that can address societal challenges and drive economic growth (Клімова, 2019). Thus, higher education institutions must create a culture that fosters research.

However, cultivating this kind of environment is not a simple task. It requires the collaboration and commitment of various stakeholders within the institution, along with the implementation of effective practices and quality assurance mechanisms. One effective approach is using quality assurance frameworks (Abbo & Bashir, 2020), which are vital for the growth and survival of higher education institutions.

Quality Assurance Measures for Research

To ensure the quality of research in higher education institutions, it is essential to have a robust quality assurance framework in place. This framework should include evaluation, self-evaluation, and peer review processes (Thondhlana & Garwe, 2021).

Evaluation of research practices is crucial to identifying areas of strength and weakness. Self-evaluation allows institutions to reflect on their research practices, find gaps, and develop strategies for improvement. Peer review involves the assessment of research by external experts in the field to ensure its quality and rigor (Lu et al., 2018).

In their study, Garwe et al. highlight the importance of national quality assurance frameworks in promoting valuable research and innovation. Their research suggests that self-evaluation and peer review can enhance the quantity and quality of research and development within higher education institutions (Garwe et al., 2015).

Impact of Research Quality on University Reputation

Research plays a crucial role in a university's reputation. It demonstrates intellectual vitality; academic excellence; and the institution's commitment to advancing knowledge, pushing boundaries, and making significant contributions to society (Dill, 2009).

According to Vernon and Momani (2018), research output and impact are two components of university rankings (Vernon & Momani, 2018). Therefore, institutions that prioritize research and foster a culture that supports it are more likely to excel in these rankings. By developing a repository of effective research practices, institutions can improve their ranking (Vernon & Momani, 2018).

Additionally, research performance has a significant impact on funding (Attalla et al., 2022). Universities with a strong research track record are more likely to attract external funding and investments, which can further support and enhance their research endeavors. In countries like the United Kingdom and New Zealand, research quality frameworks have become an integral part of funding allocation and building a university's reputation. These frameworks assess the impact and effectiveness of research conducted within the institution, ensuring that

it meets high standards of quality. As governmental demands for accountability in education increase, the importance of research quality becomes paramount (Frey & Rost, 2010).

Research Aims and Objectives

This research aims to shed light on the best practices for creating a culture that fosters research in higher education institutions. The objectives of this research are as follows:

1. Identify the key elements of an institutional culture that promotes and supports research.
2. Evaluate the effectiveness of quality assurance measures: key performance indicators (KPIs).
3. Analyze the challenges and obstacles faced by higher education institutions in cultivating a research-friendly culture.
4. Examine the strategies and initiatives implemented by successful higher education institutions to foster a culture of research.
5. Demonstrate best practices and effective approaches to developing a research culture.

Methodology

This research will adopt a qualitative research method. Qualitative research allows for an in-depth exploration of the subject matter and provides a deeper understanding of the experiences, perspectives, and behaviors of individuals within higher education institutions. To meet research aims and objectives, an in-depth analysis of the literature on institutional culture and research practices will be conducted. This will provide a comprehensive understanding of the current state of research in this area.

Furthermore, the research will analyze the transparency of research culture through examining the research practices of institutions. Institutional culture plays a crucial role in supporting and promoting research within higher education institutions. By examining the strategies and initiatives implemented by successful institutions, we can identify best practices and effective approaches that can be adopted by other institutions.

The findings of this research will contribute to the development of a repository of effective practices for creating a culture that fosters research in higher education institutions and provides valuable insights for higher education institutions looking to enhance their research culture.

Findings

1. Key Elements of an Institutional Culture That Fosters Research

Based on the research findings, several key elements were identified that contribute to an institutional culture that promotes and supports research.

Adherence to the Research Quality Framework

One important aspect of cultivating an institutional culture that fosters research is the establishment of a research quality framework. This framework provides a set of guidelines, standards, and processes that ensure the quality and integrity of research conducted within the institution (Rivera et al., 2017). Research quality frameworks are essential for promoting

research excellence and innovation in higher education institutions. These frameworks serve as a roadmap for researchers, providing clear expectations and guidelines for conducting high-quality research (Kiparoglou et al., 2021).

Research quality frameworks should achieve the following:

- Establish clear criteria for evaluating the quality of research, such as methodological rigor, relevance, and impact.
- Implement a peer review process for research evaluation, involving external experts in the field to provide objective assessments.
- Incorporate mechanisms for ongoing evaluation and improvement of research practices.
- Recognize the role of institutional culture in driving research quality and fostering a supportive environment for researchers (Alves & Pinheiro, 2022).

It is essential to adhere to a framework as it helps ensure consistency and transparency in the research process. This, in turn, builds trust and confidence among researchers, institutional stakeholders, and the wider academic community. Furthermore, the implementation of a research quality framework can lead to improvements in the quantity and quality of research and development in higher education institutions (Thondhlana & Garwe, 2021).

National quality assurance frameworks play a crucial role in promoting research and innovation in higher education institutions. Not only do these frameworks ensure the credibility and reliability of research conducted, but they also play a significant role in funding decisions, reputation building, and career advancement for researchers and institutions. In addition, the incorporation of a peer review process in research evaluation adds an extra layer of objectivity and rigor. Furthermore, a research quality framework should not be seen as a static document, but rather as a dynamic tool that evolves and adapts to the changing needs and advancements in the research landscape (Konar & Hussain, 2018).

Resources Available to Faculty Members

To create a research-focused culture, universities must empower their faculty members and recognize their role in driving research activities. Faculty members are at the forefront of research initiatives, and their expertise and dedication are essential for fostering a culture that prioritizes research. Universities should provide resources and support for faculty members to engage in research activities, such as offering grants and funding opportunities, providing access to state-of-the-art facilities and equipment, and facilitating collaborations with industry partners and external research institutions. By investing in faculty development programs, universities can enhance the research capabilities of their faculty and encourage them to pursue innovative research projects.

In addition, universities should create a supportive environment that nurtures collaboration and knowledge sharing among faculty members (Yang, 2007). This can be achieved through organizing research forums, conferences, and seminars where faculty members can present their work and exchange ideas with colleagues from diverse disciplines.

Building research-related skills and promoting career success are other ways to cultivate a research-focused culture by ensuring that academics have the necessary skills and support to successfully carry out their research (Srivastava et al., 2016). This includes providing training and professional development opportunities for faculty members to enhance their research

skills, such as research methodology, data analysis, and writing research proposals. By investing in development programs, universities can help their faculty members stay up to date with emerging research methodologies and technologies (Kataoka et al., 2017). Furthermore, universities should create reward systems that recognize and applaud research achievements. By incentivizing research through awards, grants, and promotion opportunities, universities can encourage faculty members to engage in research activities and strive for excellence (Crowe & Boe, 2019).

Universities should view the management of academics' research careers as an institutional responsibility. This means encouraging them to pursue high-quality research projects and providing guidance on publication strategies and research project management. By creating interdisciplinary research centers and research seminars, universities can provide a platform for faculty members to present their work, exchange ideas, and collaborate on multidisciplinary projects. This not only enhances the research culture within the institution but also promotes collaboration and knowledge sharing among researchers (Sari & Muam, 2020).

Clear Policies and Procedures

Institutional policies and procedures are also crucial in fostering a research culture. Clear and transparent policies regarding research expectations, funding opportunities, grants, and ethical considerations provide a framework for faculty members to engage in research activities. These policies should minimize barriers and support faculty in their research endeavors, such as by providing teaching relief for research-active faculty, offering competitive research grants, and streamlining administrative processes related to research activities (Sasson, 2014).

Infrastructure and Resources

Investing in infrastructure and resources includes providing state-of-the-art research facilities, equipment, and technology that support various types of research methodologies. By developing these research practices and initiatives, institutions can create an environment that values and supports research excellence (Makkar et al., 2015).

Additionally, a research repository serves as a central hub for documenting and sharing successful research practices and initiatives (Serman & Clark, 2017). This repository can include a variety of resources such as faculty learning activities, practice sharing program exercises, open and discoverable content, research data for sharing and re-use, and scholarly output. By establishing such a repository, institutions can provide their faculty and staff with easy access to valuable information and resources that can enhance their research endeavors (Serman & Clark, 2017).

Research Support Services

By offering robust support services, institutions demonstrate their commitment to supporting and facilitating research, making it easier for faculty members to excel in their endeavors. Examples of these services include:

- **Research assistance:** Institutions can offer dedicated research librarians who can help researchers navigate and access relevant literature, databases, and other resources needed for their research.

- Grant support: Institutions can aid with grant applications, including guidance on finding and applying for funding opportunities, as well as help with budgeting and completing application forms (Lopes et al., 2021).
- Research ethics support: Institutions can establish ethics review boards or committees to ensure that research projects adhere to ethical guidelines and regulations.
- Data management support: With the increasing importance of data-driven research, institutions can offer training and resources to help researchers effectively manage and store their data (Goddiksen et al., 2023).
- Publication support: Institutions can provide resources and guidance on publishing research findings, including assistance with manuscript preparation, journal selection, and navigating the peer review process (Jahan et al., 2018).

Student Involvement in Research Initiatives

Student involvement in research initiatives is vital for cultivating a research culture within higher education institutions. By involving students in research activities, institutions can foster a sense of curiosity, critical thinking, and intellectual growth among their student body. Moreover, student involvement in research initiatives provides them with valuable hands-on experience and the opportunity to apply their theoretical knowledge to real-world problems (Hanna-Benson et al., 2020).

This engagement not only enhances their learning experience but also helps to develop a pipeline of future researchers and innovators. To effectively involve students in research initiatives, higher education institutions should consider implementing the following strategies:

- Create opportunities for undergraduate and graduate students to participate in research projects alongside faculty members. This can be achieved through research internships, undergraduate research programs, and graduate assistantships.
- Design research-focused courses or modules that involve students in conducting independent research studies or collaborating on ongoing research projects.
- Establish a mentorship program where experienced researchers or faculty members guide and support students in their research journey.
- Provide resources and support for students to present their research findings at conferences or publish their work in academic journals.
- Implement a reward and recognition system to acknowledge and celebrate student contributions to research (Levin, 2004).

2. Evaluating the Effectiveness of Quality Assurance Measures: Key Performance Indicators (KPIs)

Evaluating the effectiveness of quality assurance measures in cultivating a research culture requires the use of key performance indicators to help measure and monitor the progress and impact of research activities within higher education institutions (Ball and Halwachi, 1987). Some KPIs that can be used to evaluate the effectiveness of quality assurance measures in fostering a research culture include:

- Number of research publications: This KPI measures the quantity of research publications produced by faculty and students.
- Research funding: This KPI tracks the amount of external research funding secured by the institution and its faculty.

- Percentage of faculty and students engaged in research: This KPI assesses the level of involvement and participation in research activities among faculty and students.
- Number of collaborations and partnerships: This KPI measures the extent to which faculty members and institutions engage in collaborative research efforts with external partners.
- Research impact: This KPI evaluates the tangible outcomes and societal impact of research conducted by faculty and students, such as citations, patents, and real-world applications (Jager et al., 2017).

3. Obstacles to Cultivating a Research-Friendly Culture

While the importance of research quality in higher education institutions is well-established, there are several challenges and obstacles that these institutions face in creating a culture that fosters research, including:

- Limited funding: Adequate funding is crucial for conducting research and ensuring its quality. Higher education institutions often have limited financial resources, which can restrict the scope and impact of research activities.
- Limited resources and infrastructure: Higher education institutions must provide researchers with the necessary resources and infrastructure to carry out their work effectively. Insufficient laboratory equipment, outdated technology, and limited access to research databases can hinder research productivity (Shewchuk & Cooper, 2018).
- Heavy teaching and administrative loads: Faculty members are often burdened with heavy teaching loads and administrative responsibilities, leaving them with limited time and energy to dedicate to their research.
- Inadequate incentives and recognition: While research is an integral part of an academic's career, the supporting policies and incentives may not be powerful enough to encourage academics to fully engage in research activities.
- Competition and lack of collaboration: The competitive nature of the higher education sector can create a culture where academics prioritize their success over collaborative research efforts. To overcome these challenges, higher education institutions must develop a repository of effective practices for promoting a culture that fosters research (Landicho, 2020).

4. Strategies Implemented by Successful Institutions

To cultivate a research culture, higher education institutions can adopt several best practices and effective approaches from other universities. Here we will examine institutions that have successfully created a research culture.

- A. Stanford University: Stanford has prioritized cultivating a research culture by providing extensive research support practices and resources. They offer research funding, grants, and fellowships to support faculty and student research projects. In addition, Stanford University hosts research presentations and symposiums, where researchers can showcase their work and engage in discussions with peers (Makkar et al., 2023).
- B. Harvard University: Harvard is known for its strong research culture and commitment to fostering innovative research across disciplines through various initiatives, such as research training and workshops, research symposiums and conferences, and collaborations with industry partners. They also encourage public scholarship and engagement by organizing public lectures, forums, and exhibitions to disseminate research findings to a wider audience (Julie, 2015).

- C. Massachusetts Institute of Technology: MIT has a renowned research culture, driven by its commitment to innovation and interdisciplinary collaboration. This is done through efforts such as promoting research engagement through seminars, workshops, and conferences; providing research support services and resources; and fostering collaboration between researchers and industry partners (Dowson, 2016).
- D. University of California, Berkeley: UC Berkeley is dedicated to cultivating a research culture that fosters interdisciplinary collaboration and innovation. They have established research centers and institutes that facilitate collaboration across different departments and disciplines. These research centers provide a platform for researchers to come together, share ideas, and collaborate on projects of mutual interest (Li, 2017).
- E. University of Oxford: Oxford's strong research culture is ingrained in its institutional fabric. The university places a high value on research excellence and supports researchers through various initiatives, including research funding opportunities, grants, and fellowships to support research projects across disciplines (Evans, 2016). These initiatives not only provide financial support but also create a collaborative environment for researchers to thrive.

Developing an institutional culture that fosters research is essential for universities to stay at the forefront of innovation and contribute to advancements in knowledge and society. Transparency of research practices and a strong research culture are key components of developing an institutional culture that fosters research (Khan et al., 2015).

5. Learning From Best Practices and Effective Approaches to Developing a Research Culture

In higher education institutions, the benchmark for research excellence transcends mere quantitative metrics or impact measurements. True research excellence manifests when institutions furnish the requisite resources, infrastructure, and comprehensive support systems that empower researchers to excel in their endeavors. This section of the research endeavors to delve into the elucidation of best practices aimed at facilitating such an environment conducive to scholarly achievement and innovation:

- F. **Establish Clear Goals for Research:** This includes setting targets for research output, publication rates, and research funding.
- G. **Promote a Supportive Environment:** This involves creating opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration, providing access to research funding and resources, and promoting a culture of knowledge sharing and mentorship (Johnson & George, 2020).
- H. **Implement Quality Assurance Mechanisms:** These mechanisms should not only focus on external standards and processes but also involve self-evaluation and peer review. By integrating self-evaluation and peer review, institutions can assess the quality of their research practices and identify areas for improvement.
- I. **Invest in Infrastructure:** This includes providing state-of-the-art laboratories, research equipment, and technologies that enable researchers to conduct cutting-edge research.
- J. **Provide Professional Development Opportunities:** This ensures that researchers are equipped with the necessary skills and knowledge to excel in their research endeavors (Ahmady et al., 2009).

- K. **Collaborate With Industry, Government Agencies, and Other Research Institutions:** These partnerships can provide researchers with additional resources, funding opportunities, and access to real-world applications for their research.
- L. **Foster Mentorship:** A cooperative culture where experienced researchers provide mentoring support to early career researchers is crucial. By sharing their experiences and expanding their networks, early career researchers can benefit greatly in terms of knowledge exchange and research production (Dominguez et al., 2022).

Conclusion

The culmination of this research underscores the paramount significance of cultivating an institutional culture that nurtures research endeavors and delineates optimal strategies for achieving this objective. A holistic approach is imperative, one that encompasses various facets such as fostering interdisciplinary collaboration, facilitating professional development avenues, securing governmental funding, bolstering infrastructural investments, embedding research within the curriculum, and curating a repository of efficacious best practices.

This research elucidates that by placing a premium on research initiatives, higher education institutions can forge an environment conducive to innovation and adept problem-solving. Such an environment not only fosters the growth and advancement of individual scholars but also elevates the institution's stature within the academic realm. Furthermore, the integration of robust research practices serves as a linchpin for ensuring the attainment of quality benchmarks and, consequently, enhances the institution's standing in academic rankings.

In essence, this research serves as a clarion call for every higher education institution aspiring for excellence. By heeding the insights gleaned from this study and implementing the recommended best practices, institutions can fortify their research culture, thereby fostering a dynamic environment that fosters academic rigor, cultivates innovation, and secures a prominent position among their peers.

References

- Abbo, U., & Bashir, A. (2020, January 1). Quality Assurance Practices, Training Needs, and International Students Mobility: Analysing the Nexuses. <https://scite.ai/reports/10.32890/mmj.20.2016.9038>
- Acero, L H. (2017, January 1). Bridging Instruction, Research and Community Involvement — Health, Environment Awareness Lecture Caravan — San Beda College Manila, Philippines. <https://scite.ai/reports/10.18178/ijesd.2017.8.3.952>
- Ahmady, S., Changiz, T., Brommels, M., Gaffney, F A., Thor, J., & Masiello, I. (2009, April 28). Contextual adaptation of the Personnel Evaluation Standards for assessing faculty evaluation systems in developing countries: the case of Iran. <https://scite.ai/reports/10.1186/1472-6920-9-18>
- Aithal, S. (2016, December 4). ABCD Analysis of Recently Announced New Research Indices. <https://scite.ai/reports/10.47992/ijmts.2581.6012.0007>
- Aithal, S., & Kumar, P S. (2020, August 18). Global Ranking and Its Implications in Higher Education. <https://scite.ai/reports/10.19085/sijbpg070301>
- Alves, R B C., & Pinheiro, P S. (2022, July 25). Factors Influencing Tacit Knowledge Sharing in Research Groups in Higher Education Institutions. <https://scite.ai/reports/10.3390/admsci12030089>
- Attalla, S M., Hanafy, N A., Akter, M., & Kumar, K A. (2022, May 4). Challenges to Research Activities among Academic Clinicians at the International Medical School, Management and Science University, Malaysia. <https://scite.ai/reports/10.9734/acri/2022/v22i330276>
- Authors, V. (2003, October 1). IRRODL Volume 4, Number 2. <https://scite.ai/reports/10.19173/irrodl.v4i2.1086>
- Authors, V. (2006, September 28). IRRODL Volume 7, Number 2. <https://scite.ai/reports/10.19173/irrodl.v7i2.1094>
- Ball, R., & Halwachi, J. (1987). Performance indicators in higher education. Higher education, 16(4), 393-405.
- Besselaar, P V D., & Sandström, U. (2019, March 27). Measuring researcher independence using bibliometric data: A proposal for a new performance indicator. <https://scite.ai/reports/10.1371/journal.pone.0202712>
- Bispo, M D S. (2017, April 1). EDUCATING QUALITATIVE RESEARCHERS IN MANAGEMENT: TOWARD PERFORMATIVE JUDGEMENTS. <https://scite.ai/reports/10.1590/s0034-759020170205>
- Boruah, B B. (2022, November 15). Challenges and possibilities in the academic libraries of assam in promoting positive climate and culture in the educational institutes in the current scenario. <https://scite.ai/reports/10.18231/j.ijlsit.2022.017>

- Cabral, A. P., & Huet, I. (2014). Assessment of research quality in higher education: contribution for an institutional framework. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 116, 1528-1532.
- Chénéchal, M L., Duval, T., Gouranton, V., Royan, J., & Arnaldi, B. (2019, November 15). Help! I Need a Remote Guide in My Mixed Reality Collaborative Environment. <https://scite.ai/reports/10.3389/frobt.2019.00106>
- Crowe, J., & Boe, A. (2019, December 13). Integrating Undergraduate Research into Social Science Curriculum: Benefits and Challenges of Two Models. <https://scite.ai/reports/10.3390/educsci9040296>
- Dill, D. D. (2009). Convergence and diversity: The role and influence of university rankings. *University rankings, diversity, and the new landscape of higher education*, 97-116.
- Doerflinger, F C., Able, A J., & Loveys, B R. (2019, May 6). Authentic Undergraduate Research in Plant Science: The Importance of Mentor-Student Relationships. <https://scite.ai/reports/10.30722/ijisme.27.04.003>
- Fiani, A., Prastanti, A D., Nugroho, A D., Putri, N S., Sari, R E., & Setyaningsih, R. (2022, December 27). Intercultural Challenges Among English Language Teaching Students in Inbound and Outbound Programs of Indonesia's Ministry of Education and Culture. <https://scite.ai/reports/10.31539/leea.v6i1.4457>
- Frey, B. S., & Rost, K. (2010). Do rankings reflect research quality? *Journal of Applied Economics*, 13(1), 1-38.
- Garnweidner-Holme, L., Lieberg, H S., Irgens-Jensen, H., & Telle-Hansen, V H. (2021, October 27). Facilitators of and barriers to collaboration between universities and the food industry in nutrition research: a qualitative study. <https://scite.ai/reports/10.29219/fnr.v65.7874>
- Garwe, E. C. (2015). Student voice and quality enhancement in higher education. *Journal of Applied Research in Higher Education*, 7(2), 385-399.
- Goddiksen, M P., Johansen, M W., Armond, A C., Clavien, C., Hogan, L., Kovács, N., Merit, M T., Olsson, I A S., Quinn, U., Borlido-Santos, J., Santos, R., Schöpfer, C., Varga, O., Wall, P J., Sandøe, P., & Lund, T B. (2023, January 12). "The person in power told me to"—European PhD students' perspectives on guest authorship and good authorship practice. <https://scite.ai/reports/10.1371/journal.pone.0280018>
- Goopy, S., & Kassan, A. (2019, January 1). Arts-Based Engagement Ethnography: An Approach for Making Research Engaging and Knowledge Transferable When Working With Harder-to-Reach Communities. <https://scite.ai/reports/10.1177/1609406918820424>
- Guzmán-Acuña, T D J., & Martínez-Arcos, C. (2015, June 8). The Effectiveness of Mexico's Faculty Improvement Program (Promep) in Public State Universities. <https://scite.ai/reports/10.14507/epaa.v23.1446>

- Hanna-Benson, C., Kroeze, S L., Gandhi, R., Haffie, T., & Wahl, L M. (2020, October 29). Students as partners in collaborative course design and educational research. <https://scite.ai/reports/10.15173/ij sap.v4i2.4237>
- Hina, K., & Ajmal, M. (2016, December 31). Quality Assurance and Enhancement Mechanism in Tertiary Education of Pakistan: Recent Status, Issues and Expectations. <https://scite.ai/reports/10.30971/pje.v33i1.13>
- Jager, P D., Frick, L., & Spuy, P V D. (2017, March 29). Developments in the production of economics PhDs at four research-intensive universities in South Africa. <https://scite.ai/reports/10.17159/sajs.2017/20160117>
- Jahan, F., Siddiqui, M A., ZAl, K., RFMAI, R., & Ahuja, A. (2018, January 1). Factors influencing quality of academic research: perception of faculty researchers at Oman Medical College. <https://scite.ai/reports/10.15761/mca.1000127>
- Jawad, S., Jamshaid, I., & Wahab, F. (2015). Quality culture in higher education institutes: perspective of different stakeholders. VFASST Transactions on Education and Social Sciences, 6(2).
- Johnson, K P., & George, T. (2020, July 30). Supporting Inclusive Teaching Practice within a UK Further and Higher Education context. <https://scite.ai/reports/10.21100/compass.v13i2.1034>
- Kappi, M., Madhu, S., & Biradar, B S. (2021, July 1). EVALUATION OF THE INDIAN TOP 10 PHARMA EDUCATION INSTITUTIONS RESEARCH OUTPUT LISTED BY NATIONAL INSTITUTIONAL RANKING FRAMEWORK (NIRF) 2020: A SCIENTOMETRIC STUDY. <https://scite.ai/reports/10.22159/ijpps.2021v13i7.41709>
- Kataoka, M., Ozawa, K., Tanioka, T., Kodama, T., Tamura, Y., & King, B. (2017, January 1). Japanese University Teachers' Depression Status and Its Influence Factors. <https://scite.ai/reports/10.4236/ojpsych.2017.73017>
- Khan, I A., Khalil, U., & Iftikhar, I. (2015, January 1). Educational leadership: educational development and leadership programs in selected countries and pakistan. <https://scite.ai/reports/10.5961/jhes.2015.129>
- Kiparoglou, V., Brown, L A., McShane, H., Channon, K M., & Shah, S A A. (2021, November 27). A large National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Biomedical Research Centre facilitates impactful cross-disciplinary and collaborative translational research publications and research collaboration networks: a bibliometric evaluation study. <https://scite.ai/reports/10.1186/s12967-021-03149-x>
- Kirpes, C., Hu, G., & Sly, D P. (2022, February 22). The 3D Product Model Research Evolution and Future Trends: A Systematic Literature Review. <https://scite.ai/reports/10.3390/asi5020029>
- Клімова, Г П. (2019, December 19). THE CONDITIONS OF INNOVATIVE CLIMATE FORMATION IN HIGHER EDUCATION. <https://scite.ai/reports/10.21564/2075-7190.43.187834>

- Konar, R., & Hussain, K. (2018, January 1). Investigating the expenditure and experience of international conference delegates visiting Malaysia. <https://scite.ai/reports/10.5038/2640-6489.3.2.1034>
- Kruesi, L., Burstein, F., & Tanner, K. (2019, February 22). With open science gaining traction, do we need an Australasia PubMed Central (PMC)? A qualitative investigation. <https://scite.ai/reports/10.1371/journal.pone.0212843>
- Landicho, C J B. (2020, January 1). Research Attitudes, Motivations, and Challenges of STEM Education Researchers. <https://scite.ai/reports/10.46328/ijte.v3i1.21>
- Levin, B. (2004, October 17). Making research matters more. <https://scite.ai/reports/10.14507/epaa.v12n56.2004>
- Liu, B., & Sun, J. (2018, January 1). Research on Extension and Application of Teaching Reform Achievements in Universities. <https://scite.ai/reports/10.2991/iceemt-18.2018.68>
- Liu, M., Chung, J H., Li, J., Robinson, B., & Gonzalez, F. (2022, July 27). A Case Study of Community—Academic Partnership in Improving the Quality of Life for Asthmatic Urban Minority Children in Low-Income Households. <https://scite.ai/reports/10.3390/ijerph19159147>
- Lopes, J T., Gomes, S., Oliveira, J L., & Oliveira, M C. (2021, June 1). The Role of Open Innovation, and the Performance of European Union Regions. <https://scite.ai/reports/10.3390/joitmc7020120>
- Lu, M., Yang, M., & Li, X. (2018, January 1). A Study on Peer-Assessment Based on Collaborative Out-of-Class Learning. <https://scite.ai/reports/10.18178/ijiet.2018.8.10.1133>
- Makkar, S R., Turner, T., Williamson, A., Louviere, J J., Redman, S., Haynes, A., Green, S., & Brennan, S E. (2015, December 1). The development of ORACLe: a measure of an organisation's capacity to engage in evidence-informed health policy. <https://scite.ai/reports/10.1186/s12961-015-0069-9>
- Mare, I., Kramer, B., Hazelhurst, S., Nhlapho, M D., Zent, R., Harris, P L., & Klipin, M. (2022, August 30). Electronic Data Capture System (REDCap) for Health Care Research and Training in a Resource-Constrained Environment: Technology Adoption Case Study. <https://scite.ai/reports/10.2196/33402>
- Martin, B. R. (2011). The Research Excellence Framework and the 'impact agenda': are we creating a Frankenstein monster? *Research evaluation*, 20(3), 247-254.
- Muñoz-Venturelli, P., González, F., Urrutia, F., Mazzon, E., Navia, V., Brunser, A M., Lavados, P M., Olavarría, V V., Almeida, J P B., Guerrero, R., Rojo, A., Gigoux, J., Vallejos, J., Conejan, N C., Esparza, T H., Escobar, A., Soto, A., Pontes-Neto, O M., Arauz, A., . . . Anderson, C S. (2022, June 13). Stroke care and collaborative academic research in Latin America. <https://scite.ai/reports/10.21149/12803>

- Ngoc, N. M., & Tien, N. H. (2023). Quality of Scientific Research and World Ranking of Public and Private Universities in Vietnam. *International journal of public sector performance management*.
- Nunes, G S., Adami, S L., Pellenz, M M., Rigo, D., Estivalet, R A., Diel, A P., Benincá, I L., & Haupenthal, A. (2022, January 11). Toward scientific dissemination of undergraduate theses in physical therapy programs – a cross-sectional study. <https://scite.ai/reports/10.1186/s12909-021-03087-8>
- Pakkies, N E., & Mtshali, N G. (2016, February 25). Students' views on the block evaluation process: A descriptive analysis. <https://scite.ai/reports/10.4102/curationis.v39i1.1516>
- Perry, M., Hopson, L R., House, J B., Fischer, J., Dooley-Hash, S., Hauff, S J., Wolff, M., Sozener, C B., Nypaver, M M., Moll, J., Losman, E., Carney, M., & Santen, S A. (2015, November 12). Model for Developing Educational Research Productivity: The Medical Education Research Group. <https://scite.ai/reports/10.5811/westjem.2015.9.27306>
- Plessis, A D., & Küng, E. (2019, January 1). Deliberating ways in which diversity in skills capacity of schools violates learners' rights: Possible solutions. <https://scite.ai/reports/10.4102/aosis.2019.bk158.07>
- Poudel, R C. (2021, December 31). Implementation of National Science Technology and Innovation Policy 2019: Assessment of Challenges in Government Organizations of Nepal. <https://scite.ai/reports/10.3126/njst.v20i2.45779>
- Reza, M I H. (2016, July 1). Sustainability in Higher Education. <https://scite.ai/reports/10.1177/2158244016665890>
- Rivera, S C., Kyte, D., Aiyegbusi, O L., Keeley, T., & Calvert, M. (2017, August 9). Assessing the impact of healthcare research: A systematic review of methodological frameworks. <https://scite.ai/reports/10.1371/journal.pmed.1002370>
- Rosilius, M., Rosilius, M., Bräutigam, V., & Bräutigam, V. (2021, December 27). A Brief Review of Our Agile Teaching Formats in Entrepreneurship Education. <https://scite.ai/reports/10.3390/su14010251>
- Sari, I P., & Muam, A. (2020, December 31). Building interdisciplinary research group for Vocational College Universitas Gadjah Mada. <https://scite.ai/reports/10.21831/jpv.v10i3.32099>
- Sasson, I. (2014, September 18). The Role of Informal Science Centers in Science Education: Attitudes, Skills, and Self-efficacy. <https://scite.ai/reports/10.3926/jotse.123>
- Sayed, S A., & Edgar, D. (2019, January 28). The Role of Leadership Competencies in Supporting the Al Nahda University for Becoming a Learning Organization: A New Qualitative Framework of the DLOQ. <https://scite.ai/reports/10.5430/ijba.v10n2p43>

- Sayidah, N., Ady, S U., Supriyati, J., Sutarmin, S., Winedar, M., Mulyaningtyas, A., & Assagaf, A. (2019, June 28). Quality and University Governance in Indonesia. <https://scite.ai/reports/10.5430/ijhe.v8n4p10>
- Shewchuk, S., & Cooper, A B. (2018, January 1). Research Impact, the 'New Academic Capital': An Environmental Scan of Research Impact Indicators and Resources for the Humanities and Social Sciences across 32 Countries. <https://scite.ai/reports/10.3844/jssp.2018.55.64>
- Srivastava, T K., Waghmare, L S., Rawekar, A., & Mishra, V P. (2016, January 1). Fostering Educational Research among Medical Teachers: Evaluation of a Faculty Development Program in India. <https://scite.ai/reports/10.7860/jcdr/2016/22564.9115>
- Sterman, L B., & Clark, J A. (2017, November 1). Citations as Data: Harvesting the Scholarly Record of Your University to Enrich Institutional Knowledge and Support Research. <https://scite.ai/reports/10.5860/crl.78.7.952>
- Supriyadi, T., Saptani, E., Rukmana, A., Suherman, A., Alif, M., & Rahminawati, N. (2020, November 1). Students' Technological Literacy to Improve Academic Writing and Publication Quality. <https://scite.ai/reports/10.13189/ujer.2020.082238>
- Tessema, T T., Alando, A G., Mekonnen, E B., Debele, F A., Bamud, J A., Abessa, T G., & Lema, T B. (2021, February 4). Enhancing the ethical conduct of a longitudinal cluster-randomized trial of psychosocial stimulation intervention for children with complicated severe acute malnutrition through Rapid Ethical Assessment: a qualitative study. <https://scite.ai/reports/10.1186/s12910-021-00578-7>
- Thondhlana, J., & Garwe, E C. (2021, January 1). Repositioning of Africa in knowledge production: shaking off historical stigmas introduction. <https://scite.ai/reports/10.5871/jba/009s1.001>
- Torous, J., & Nebeker, C. (2017, February 8). Navigating Ethics in the Digital Age: Introducing Connected and Open Research Ethics (CORE), a Tool for Researchers and Institutional Review Boards. <https://scite.ai/reports/10.2196/jmir.6793>
- 20, C., Nikina-Ruohonen, A., & Stukalina, Y. (2022). Fostering research with societal impact in higher education institutions: a review and conceptualization. *Social Innovation in Higher Education*, 153.
- Vernon, M. M., Balas, E. A., & Momani, S. (2018). Are university rankings useful to improve research? A systematic review. *PloS one*, 13(3), e0193762.
- Wu, C. (2022, April 11). Research on the Internationalization Strategy of Higher Education under the Background of Network Education. <https://scite.ai/reports/10.1155/2022/9352275>
- Zahra, D. N. (2019). Development of Islamic education curriculum model Curriculum 2013 (K13). *AL-HAYAT: Journal of Islamic Education*, 3(1), 38-52.

Zapalska, A M., McCarty, M., Young-McLear, K C., & Kelley, T. (2017, July 24).
Advancing Effective Leaders: An Example of the Management Education at the US
Coast Guard Academy.
<https://scite.ai/reports/10.31532/interdiscipeducpsychol.1.1.002>