ODD Self-Efficacy Test Academic Self-Efficacy Among College Students

Dorothy Kay M. Clay, Ateneo de Naga University, Philippines Odette E. Esteve, Ateneo de Naga University, Philippines Deborah M. Relucio, Ateneo de Naga University, Philippines

The Asian Conference on Education & International Development 2022 Official Conference Proceedings

Abstract

It has long been considered that persons with a high level of self-efficacy can tackle even the most difficult tasks. As a result, the harder the activity, the more self-confidence and selfcontrol they have, and the more successful they will be. The ODD Self-Efficacy Test is a 100-item survey designed to test college students' self-efficacy. With a 4-point Likert scale, this test has three subscales: time management, efficiency/productivity, and disposition. These subscales are concerned with how students respond to difficult academic settings, such as school pressures and the stresses of all study-related activities. Furthermore, this was pilot tested among 360 college students from chosen universities in Camarines Sur and Albay, and content validated by three psychology professionals. The test's factor structure was analyzed using confirmatory factor analysis, resulting in a questionnaire that was reduced from a 100item to a 48-item questionnaire with nine components. When the final 48 items were tested for internal consistency using Cronbach's Alpha, it was established that components' Time Management, Efficiency, Drive, Consistency, Productivity, and Confidence had adequate to good reliability. While Optimism, Disposition, and Prudence, on the other hand, may have limited applicability, but they are not reasons to discard the test based solely on its size or reliability coefficient. Disposition has the lowest reliability (N = 3; =.483), whereas Time Management has the highest reliability (N = 12; =.83). This means that individual differences in test scores are due to "real" differences in the attributes under examination, with chance errors accounting for the remainder.

Keywords: Self-Efficacy, Academics, College Students, Time Management, Efficiency, Drive, Consistency, Productivity, Confidence, Optimism, Disposition, Prudence



Introduction

The ODD Self-Efficacy Test is a 48-item survey designed to measure the level of selfefficacy among college students in Camarines Sur and Albay. The name of this test is derived from the authors' initials: Odet, Dorothy, and Debbie. According to Bandura & Freeman (1999), Self-efficacy refers to the person's particular set of beliefs that determine how well one can execute a plan of actions in prospective situations. It pertains to one's capabilities to organize and execute these courses of actions required to manage such perspective situations. Moreover, self-efficacy refers to the beliefs about one's capabilities to learn or perform behaviors at designated levels (Bandura, 1986). It refers then to a person's belief in his/her ability to succeed in a particular situation.

In this 21st century, learning has been the strongest pillar of an individual achieving their desired goals. It is now apparent that everything is running on a modernized term, making a millennial do various things and ways to cope with the present. One of these coping strategies can be seen in a student's academic life. As the changes can be apparent, self-efficacy is readied to aid the students to be more encouraged, thus taking up new challenges in life through constant learning. It does not merely mean to be a motivational concept, but it supports an individual, like students, in achieving goals and their desired targets with the best if not the superior performance.

The objectives of this test are to:

1. Determine whether the college students (17 and above) in Camarines Sur and Albay have a generally good self-efficacy in life or not.

2. Help the schools know and monitor the student's efficaciousness and productivity amidst the college work demands.

3. Assist the schools' officials to resolve issues or find ways to help those students with a low level of self-efficacy in general, and that they may become what society wants them to be, responsible and motivated individuals.

Area	Components	Item Placement w/ Reversed Scores	No. of Items	Percent of the Items
	Time Management	1 - 9	9	19%
	Efficiency	10 - 16	7	15%
	Drive	17 - 22	6	13%
	Consistency	*23 - *31	9	19%
Self-Efficacy	Productivity	32 - 35	4	8%
	Optimism	*36 - *38	3	6%
	Confidence	39 - 41	3	6%
	Disposition	*42 - *44	3	6%
	Prudence	45 - 48	4	8%

Below is the table of specification. Included are the items measuring self-efficacy.

The table of specifications of the ODD Self-Efficacy Test shows that there are 48 questions regarding some situations that are being encountered by college students. The test developers choose nine subscales which are Time Management, Efficiency, Drive, Consistency, Productivity, Optimism, Confidence, Disposition, and Prudence.

Table 1. Table of Specification

Validation

The ODD Self-Efficacy test was initially composed of a 100-item scale that the authors determined following the definition of subscales. These were designed to be self-rated using the 4-point Likert scale (I Strongly Agree that this is me, I Agree that this is me, I Disagree that this is me, and I Strongly Disagree that this is me) depending on how it best describes the respondents. The test was then reviewed for content validity of item construction by a panel of three consisting of two Psychologists and a Psychometrician. Based on their content validity, items were revised accordingly.

The authors conducted a pilot testing on 360 respondents who are college students from selected Colleges/Universities in Camarines Sur and Albay. These respondents completed the test online through google forms. The profile of the respondents is summarized using descriptive statistics. Results showed that there was a total of 63.1% Female, 30.8% Male, and 6.1% LGBTQ+, whose ages range are notable for 17 to 20 years old with 53.3%. Furthermore, these respondents were divided from colleges and universities from the provinces of Albay (33.6%) and Camarines Sur (66.4%), with Universidad de Sta. Isabel as the university with the greatest number of respondents for the pilot testing, with 31.7% of 360 respondents.

To achieve the construct validity of the ODD Self-Efficacy Test, Confirmatory Factor analysis was utilized to assess the factor structure essential to the 100-item ODD Self-efficacy test. Results of the sampling adequacy measure of this instrument reported a high KMO of 0.887, and Barlett's test sphericity ($\chi 2 = 7906$, degrees of freedom = 1653) yielded a statistically significant p = 0.000, which suggests that variables are associated and therefore appropriate for extraction.

				(Componen	nts			
ITEM	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
1	0.408								
2						0.480			
8									0.426
11						0.618			
12				0.432					
13					0.614				
14					0.580				
15						0.654			
16			0.427						
17			0.748						
18			0.795						
19			0.651						
20				0.544					
22				0.567					
23					0.520				
25									
32			0.478						
33				0.480					
34									0.540

37								0.469
41	0.520							
42	0.638							
43	0.676							
44	0.708							
46							0.407	
47		0.449						
51								0.487
52								
55						0.570		
57				0.678				
58				0.732				
59	0.456				0.435			
62	0.484							
63								
67		0.550						
69				0.545				
70	0.579							
72	0.504							
76		0.525						
78						0.488		
80		0.733						
83						0.700		
85			0.676					
86							0.660	
88				0.427			0.426	
89				0.521				
90		0.524						
92		0.647						
95		0.669						

Extraction Method: Principal Component Analysis

Rotation Method: Varimax with Kaiser Normalization

a. Rotation converged in 19 iterations

Table 2. Confirmatory Factor Analysis

Using Confirmatory Principal Component Factor analysis with Varimax Extraction and Kaiser Normalization Rotation was conducted. 52-items reduced the initial 100-item questionnaire to become a 48-item questionnaire with nine factors/components.

The table presents the items with a minimum .40 factor magnitude. Initially, the table consisted of 19 components. However, some items did not meet the > 0.350-factor loading cut-off, and after deleting components with only one to two items, components were reduced to 9 components with 48 items. Also, item 90 in component 8 was later on removed because it was not after the theme of the item loading. Components 1 to 9 consist of items that are included in the final 48-item inventory.

The final 48 items after the factor analysis of the 100 items ODD Self-Efficacy Test is illustrated below. Together with the statements from the test, the table also presents the factor loadings, Percentage of Variance, Mean and Standard Deviations of each item. There was a total of 9 subscales of the final 48-item ODD Self-Efficacy test.

Factor Loadings, Eigenvalues, Percentages of Variance, Mean and Standard Deviations of the 48-item Self-Efficacy Test

Self- Efficacy Component 1 Time Management Eigenvalues: 12.15; Percentage of Variance: 19.2%	FL	М	SD
1 I can allot enough time in a day to study	0.408	2.84.	709
2 I can prioritize and work on my requirements even without deadlines	0.520	2.95.	774
3 I see to it that I can study every week.	0.638	2.81.	725
4 I see to it that I read my notes every now and then.	0.676	2.61.	720
5 I make sure to use my time productively.	0.708	2.93.	691
6 I can still be productive even on weekends, holidays or vacations.	0.456	2.79.	848
7 I can manage my time wisely.	0.484	2.74.	756
8 I am certain that I will not waste my time doing unproductive stuff.	0.579	2.57.	735
9 I am able to use my vacant time to study or work on my requirements.	0.504	2.77.	726
Table 3. Time Management			

The first component, Time Management, is consisted of items 1 to 9. Statements from all of the items indicate how an individual organize his/her time between various activities concerning productivity, accomplishment of necessary tasks, and the ability to multitask.

Self- Efficacy			
Component 2 Efficiency	FL	Μ	SD
Eigenvalues: 4.75; Percentage of Variance: 9.37%			
10 I believe that my skills will enable me to accomplish many things.	0.449	3.19	.626
11 I can remain calm when facing difficulties because I can rely on my	y0.550	3.04	.713
coping abilities.			
12 When I plan, I want to go over the smallest details	0.525	3.17	.648
13 During challenging and critical situations, I can usually think of	a0.733	2.98	.646
solution.			
14 I can plan ahead about the things I should work on.	0.524	3.07	.672
15 I find it easy to make alternative plans if my previous plan failed.	0.647	2.93	.656
16 When confronted with a problem, I can immediately think of a solution.	0.669	2.89	.679
Table 4. Efficiency			

The second component, Efficiency, is composed of items 10 to 16. These items state the ability of an individual to do tasks well, with minimum quantity of wasted time, effort, finances, and materials. These items concerned are distractions and unpreparedness.

Self- Efficacy			
Component 3 Drive	FL	М	SD
Eigenvalues: 2.56; Percentage of Variance: 9%			
17 Regardless of school demands and problems, I foresee that	I will0.427	3.38	.744
graduate on time.			
18 I can finish a task alone before the set deadline.	0.748	3.29	.655
19 I find means to finish a task/project before the deadline.	0.795	3.25	.646
20 I can balance academic and social life.	0.651	3.04	.673
21 I am confident that I can attend to every academic priority.	0.478	2.85	.752
22 I am able to submit requirements on time.	0.676	3.09	.756
Table 5 Drive			

Table 5. Drive

The third component, Drive is composed of items 17 to 22. These items state the need of an individual to satisfy or complete a school task or assignment regardless of demands and problems that arise. These items concerned is success-oriented.

Self- Efficacy		
Component 4 Consistency FL	Μ	SD
Eigenvalues: 2.27; Percentage of Variance: 20.5%		
23 When I sleep late working with my requirements, I become lazy the0.432	1.99	.872
next day to accomplish other school-related tasks.		
24 I cannot focus on a test at hand when I have problems. 0.544	1.93	.779
25 I am having difficulty managing my time allotment for school-related0.567	2.01	.777
tasks.		
26 I cram most of the time. 0.480	2.18	.902
27 I find it difficult to feel motivated to work on my requirements when 0.678	2.02	.881
people don't support me.		
28 I am not capable of doing my assignments along with my chores at 0.732	2.24	.854
home.		
29 I am easily discouraged by changes in plans, deadlines, etc. 0.545	2.08	.826
30 When faced with a dilemma, I find it tough to decide. 0.427	1.92	.702
31 I lose track of my social life because of academic demands. 0.521	2.21	.849
Table 6 Consistency		

Table 6. Consistency

The fourth component, Consistency is composed of items 23 to 31. These items refer to how the students keep positive attitudes and habits despite facing a lot of demands and other concerns in life. These items concerned about how consistent an individual in accomplishing things even if there are changes in plans or deadlines, and can do decision-making in a conflicting situation.

Self- Efficacy Component 5 Productivity	FL	М	SD
Eigenvalues: 1.65; Percentage of Variance: 5.50%			
32 When working on my academic responsibilities, I can i	ignore0.614	2.69	.794
distractions.			
33 I am able to be productive most of the time.	0.580	2.66	.748
34 I can do school-related things enthusiastically.	0.520	2.75	.706
35 I can still be productive even on weekends, holidays or vacations.	0.435	2.79	.848

 Table 7. Productivity

The fifth component, Productivity is composed of items 32 to 35. These items refer on the ability of individuals to produce results, while also being responsible and enthusiastic in situations where there are distractions.

Self- Efficacy			
Component 6 Optimism	FL	Μ	SD
Eigenvalues: 1.47; Percentage of Variance: 2.85%			
36 I procrastinate a lot.	0.480) 1.96	.798
37 I over think about minor things.	0.618	3 1.79	.844
38 I find it difficult to work when I feel lazy.	0.654	1.57	.676
T-h1-9 Outinging			

Table 8. Optimism

The sixth component, Optimism is composed of items 36 to 38. These items state how an individual can do tasks while viewing things from the general perspective, being attentive even to minute details despite the struggles and challenges in situations. These items concerned how an individual can recover quickly from setbacks and disappointments from certain situations.

Self- Efficacy Component 7 Confidence Eigenvalues: 1.4; Percentage of Variance: 3.42%	FL M	SD
 39 I am confident that I can pass most of my exams. 40 Compared to other people, I can perform outstandingly 41 I am confident that I can excel in my exams this semester. 	0.5702.98 0.4882.56 0.7003.02	.739 .784 .775
Table 9. Confidence	0.7005.02	.115

The seventh component, Confidence is composed of items 39 to 41. These items refer to an individual's sense of self-belief that he/she is capable of doing an outstanding performance. These concerns how they tend not to give up quickly because they believe that they are capable of achieving something.

Self- Efficacy			
Component 8 Disposition	FL	Μ	SD
Eigenvalues: 1.21; Percentage of Variance: 2.87%			
42 It is impossible for me to work on my requirements with distractions.	0.407	2.13	.810
43 I feel guilty when I know that I can get higher scores.	0.660	1.99	.892
44 When faced with a dilemma, I find it tough to decide.	0.426	1.92	.702
Table 10. Disposition			

The eighth component, Disposition is composed of items 42 to 44. These items state the individual's readiness or tendency to act in a certain way, even if there are distractions to make decisions, even in challenging situations.

Self- Efficacy			
Component 9 Prudence	FL	Μ	SD
Eigenvalues: 1.18; Percentage of Variance: 3.40%			
45 I am able to recite or answer my teacher whenever I am asked.	0.426	3.00	.706
46 I am inherently able to find solutions to my problems.	0.540	2.98	.622
47 I can make alternative plan, if Plan A fails.	0.469	3.18	.680
48 I can always get back on my feet whenever I encounter problems.	0.487	3.08	.678
Table 11 Prudence			

And with the last component, Prudence is composed of items 45 to 48. These items refer to the concerns of an individual for the consequences of his/her actions and decisions. The items concerned is about having practical reasoning.

Reliability

The second part of the analysis is the reliability testing. The Reliability of a test is often defined as the extent to which the scores on the test are free from error. The final 48-items were tested for internal consistency using Cronbach's Alpha. It was found that, Time Management (N = 12; α = .833), Efficiency (N = 7; α = .783), Drive (N = 6; α = .801), Consistency (N = 9; α = .785), Productivity (N = 4; α = .750), and Confidence (N = 3; α = .727) are the components with adequate to good reliability. On the other hand, Components' Optimism (N = 3; α = .550), Disposition (N = 3; α = .483), and Prudence (N = 4; α = .624) may have limited applicability, but they are not the basis for solely rejecting the test based on their size or reliability coefficient (these components were reversed scored). Component 1, Time Management has the highest reliability (N = 9; α = .83), while Component 8, Disposition has the lowest reliability (N = 3; α = .483). This implies that the individual differences in the test scores are attributable to "true" differences in characteristics under consideration and the extent to which they are attributable to chance errors. Furthermore, a low value for alpha may mean that there are not enough items in the component.

Correlation

Variable	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
1Time Management	1	0.470	0.506	0.169	0.726	0.212	0.562	-0.053	0.481
2 Efficiency	0.470	1	0.464	0.029	0.447	0.041	0.544	-0.133	0.591
3 Drive	0.506	0.464	1	0.211	0.485	0.064	0.502	-0.057	0.435
4 Consistency	0.169	0.029	0.211	1	0.232	0.543	0.161	0.560	0.027
5 Productivity	0.726	0.447	0.485	0.232	1	0.198	0.512	-0.029	0.433
6 Optimism	0.212	0.041	0.064	0.543	0.198	1	0.159	0.364	0.029
7 Confidence	0.562	0.544	0.502	0.161	0.512	0.159	1	-0.015	0.468
8 Disposition	-0.053	-0.133	-0.057	0.560	-0.029	0.364	-0.015	1	-0.093
9 Prudence	0.481	0.591	0.435	0.027	0.433	0.029	0.468	-0.093	1
Ν	360								

The third stage of analysis in this test construct is the Correlation testing between the components. The statistical result is shown below:

Note. *p<.05, **p<.01, ***p<.001

Table 12. Correlation Matrix

The relationship between the components was explored through correlation analysis. Components 1 Time Management, 2 Efficiency (r=0.470, p<0.001), 3 Drive (r=0.506, p<0.001), 4 Consistency (r=0.562, p<0.001), 5 Productivity (r=0.726, p<0.001), 6 Optimism (r=0.212, p<0.001), 7 Confidence (r=0.470, p<0.001), and 9 Prudence (r=0.481, p<0.001) are found to have a significant relationship with the central construct, Self-Efficacy, while Component 8, Disposition (r=-0.053, p=0.316), was found to be a negative/inverse correlation. Component 5, Productivity, was found to be most strongly correlated with Self-Efficacy (r=0.726, p<0.001), while Component 8, Disposition, was the least correlated (r=-0.053, p=0.316). All total component 8, Disposition, was the least correlated (r=-0.053, p=0.316). All total component scores (r=0.785, p=<0.001) from the 360 respondents held moderate to high correlations with Self-Efficacy.

Scoring

This test will utilize a 4-Point Likert Scale that will measure college students' self-efficacy in selected schools in Camarines Sur and Albay. This will be designed and administered in Google Forms, which will be sent in virtual means to the target participants of the test.

The items found in each subscale will be respectively rated, while others in negative statements will be scored in reverse. Each item is given a weighted score from one (1) to four (4). Hence, the ODD Self-Efficacy Test will be scored using the 4 - Point Likert Scale where: 4 = I Strongly Agree that this is me; 3 = I Agree that this is me; 2 = I Disagree that this is me, and 1 = I Strongly Disagree that this is me.

In specific, four as "I strongly agree that this is me" having a value of 4 points means that the individual can fully and completely relate to the statement or situation given; 3 as "I agree that this is me" has a value of 3 points, where the individual can relate to the statement provided; 2 as "I disagree that this is me" means that the individual does not agree with the statement; that he/she cannot relate with the given situation, it has a value of 2 points; while, one as "I strongly disagree that this is me," with a value of 1 point means that the individual cannot at all relate to the given situation.

In reverse scoring, it is the same as the scoring of the regular items/constructs, and the only difference is that they are stated in reverse and are presented in negative statements.

To gain the self-efficacy level of the students, the average of all the weighted scores will be determined. One hundred forty-seven (147) points will be the maximum scores, while ninety-three (93) points become the minimum.

The Mean of the participant's rating will be interpreted according to the following interpretation: 3-4 – High Self-Efficacy; 1-2 – Low Self-Efficacy.

The scoring will be done using SPSS and JAMOVI. This is a scientific platform that makes computation easier using the latest development in statistical measurements.

INTERPRETATION

To identify the scores to be interpreted, means scores of the students are determined by adding the numerical value of their respective answers. The lower mean score is commensurate with the lower level of self-efficacy of the students.

MAIN CONSTRUCT

Self-Efficacy

High (121 to 147): A person with a high self-efficacy level is capable of overcoming schoolrelated tasks and challenges where they are able to address them with efficiency. They are able to think positively in spite of the difficulties encountered.

Low (93 to 120): A person with low self-efficacy level tends to be of lesser or no confidence at all in overcoming the school-related challenges, having difficulty in addressing the situation at hand. There is a reflection of weaker commitment to accomplish a task, difficulty in making decisions or failure to get back after setbacks. There is a lack of determination to accomplish tasks and assignments.

SUBSCALE

Self-Efficacy through Time Management

High (121 to 147): A person with a high self-efficacy level can overcome school-related tasks and challenges where they can address them with efficiency. They can think positively despite the difficulties encountered.

Low (93 to 120): A person with a low self-efficacy level tends to be of lesser or no confidence at all in overcoming the school-related challenges, having difficulty in addressing the situation at hand. There is a reflection of weaker commitment to accomplish a task, difficulty making decisions, or failure to get back after setbacks. There is a lack of determination to accomplish tasks and assignments.

Self-Efficacy through Efficiency

High (31.6 to 28): The person can accomplish many tasks in a given period. Despite limitations and interruption, the person can be productive and finish his tasks on time and adequately.

Low (7 to 31.5): The person finishes little or no work at all.

Self-Efficacy through Drive

High (16 to 24): The individual has a more profound interest in the activities they participate in. They can satisfy themselves by completing school tasks regardless of unexpected situations that arise. The individual can finish their task on or before its due date while also maintaining a good balance of work and life priorities.

Low (6 to 15): The person quickly loses the spirit in personal abilities to do well in their school-related tasks.

Self-Efficacy through Consistency

High (28 to 36): The person tends to form a more substantial commitment to their interests and school-related activities.

Low (9 to 27): The person tends to avoid dealing with challenging tasks; he/she tends to postpone tasks and homework, which results in procrastination. Have low levels of commitment to things/situations.

Self-Efficacy through Productivity

High (11 to 16): The person can produce great results with his/her school responsibilities and be enthusiastic in situations where there are distractions, or even can work during holidays, weekends or vacation.

Low (4 to 10): The person tends to fail in living up to the academic expectations; low on accomplishment results. There is the consequent unwillingness of the individual to exert effort.

Self-Efficacy through Optimism

High (7.6 to 12): The individual can recover quickly from academic setbacks and disappointments. They can accept that some things are out of their control, but they can still focus on the things that matter - the person views challenging situations or problems as something/tasks to be mastered.

Low (3 to 7.5): The person tends to focus on personal failings and adverse outcomes.

Self-Efficacy through Confidence

High (7.6 to 12): The individual has a great sense of self-belief that he/she can do an outstanding performance in school, such as passing an examination.

Low (3 to 7.5): The individual doubts his / her capacity and skills to achieve his / her desired academic/personal goals. He/she believes that complex tasks and situations are beyond his / her capability.

Self-Efficacy through Disposition

High (7.6 to 12): The person can have a positive frame of mind and adjust to changes in school-related tasks and assignments, is organized, makes appropriate decisions to achieve what is set to process, and is encouraged to do so.

Low (3 to 7.5): The person tends to be disorganized, disoriented, and tends to make ineffective decisions, especially when confronted with challenging and complex decision-making related to school tasks and assignments.

Self-Efficacy through Prudence

High (11 to 16): The individual forms practical reasoning that help him/her resist the impulse to satisfy short-term pleasures at the expense of long-term goals.

Low (4 to 10): The person tends to be narrow-sighted, lacks concern for the consequences of one's action and decisions.

Conclusion

To summarize, people with a high level of self-efficacy have long been seen to be capable of completing even the most difficult tasks. As a result, the more difficult the activity, the more self-assurance and self-control the individual has, and the more effective they are.

The researchers hope this test will be used in future studies, and that it will help empower students' academic self-efficacy by assisting in determining how successfully one can carry out a strategy in a situation, as well as identifying an individual's ability to plan and carry out steps necessary to deal with their situations. Furthermore, to assist others in becoming more aware of the obstacles that students experience, allowing them to be understood and encouraged rather than being driven to make judgements in order to achieve their desired goals and targets with the greatest, if not the best, performance.

References

- Afsaneh, H. R., Gunnel, J., Suzanne, W., & Kajsa, L. H. (2019). Time management skills in relation to general self-efficacy and parental sense of competence in individuals with and without cognitive disabilities. *Cogent Psychology*, *6*(1), 1655981.
- Akbari, O., & Sahibzada, J. (2020). Students' self-confidence and its impacts on their learning process. *American International Journal of Social Science Research*, 5(1), 1-15.
- Alhadabi, A., & Karpinski, A. C. (2020). Grit, self-efficacy, achievement orientation goals, and academic performance in University students. *International Journal of Adolescence and Youth*, *25*(1), 519-535.
- Alqurashi, E. (2016). Self-efficacy in online learning environments: A literature review. *Contemporary Issues in Education Research (CIER)*, 9(1), 45-52.
- Ballen, C. J., Wieman, C., Salehi, S., Searle, J. B., & Zamudio, K. R. (2017). Enhancing diversity in undergraduate science: Self-efficacy drives performance gains with active learning. *CBE—Life Sciences Education*, 16(4), ar56.
- Bandura, A., Freeman, W. H., & Lightsey, R. (1999). Self-efficacy: The exercise of control.
- Bandura, A. (1986). Social foundations of thought and action. *Englewood Cliffs, NJ*, 1986(23-28).
- Blanco, Q. A., Carlota, M. L., Nasibog, A. J., Rodriguez, B., Saldaña, X. V., Vasquez, E. C., & Gagani, F. (2020). Probing on the Relationship between Students' Self-Confidence and Self-Efficacy while engaging in Online Learning amidst COVID-19. *Journal La Edusci*, 1(4), 16-25.
- Conner, T. W. (2012). College Student Disposition and Academic Self-Efficacy. *Online Submission*.
- Cyril, A. V. (2015). Time Management and Academic Achievement of Higher Secondary Students. *Journal on School Educational Technology*, *10*(3), 38-43.
- Dodson, J. R. (2014). The Impact of Online Homework on Class Productivity. *Science Education International*, 25(4), 354-371.
- Ennis, R. H. (1996). Critical thinking dispositions: Their nature and assess ability. *Informal logic*, *18*(2).
- Galindo-Domínguez, H., & Bezanilla, M. J. (2021). Promoting Time Management and Self-Efficacy Through Digital Competence in University Students: A Mediational Model. *Contemporary Educational Technology*, 13(2), ep294.
- Garrin, J. M. (2014). Inspiring change: Exercise self-efficacy, dispositional optimism, and perceived stress in college seniors.

- Getachew, K., & Birhane, A. (2016). Improving students' self-efficacy and academic performance in Applied Mathematics through innovative classroom-based strategy at Jimma University, Ethiopia.
- Görgens-Ekermans, G., & Steyn, R. (2016). Optimism, self-efficacy and meaningfulness: A structural model of subjective well-being at work. *Management Dynamics: Journal of the Southern African Institute for Management Scientists*, 25(4), 34-51.
- Hull, C. L. (1943). Principles of behavior (Vol. 422). New York: Appleton-century-crofts.
- Kader, F. A. H. A., & Eissa, M. A. (2015). The Effectiveness of Time Management Strategies Instruction on Students' Academic Time Management and Academic Self Efficacy. Online Submission, 4(1), 43-50.
- Kassinove, H., & Sukhodolsky, D.G. (1995). Optimism, pessimism, and worry in Russian and American children and adolescents. *Journal of Social Behaviour and Personality*, *10*, 157–168.
- McLoughlin, C. & Lee, M. J. W. (2008). The Three P's of Pedagogy for the Networked Society: Personalization, Participation, and Productivity. *International Journal of Teaching and Learning in Higher Education, v20 n1 p10-27.*
- Morton, S., Mergler, A., & Boman, P. (2014). Managing the transition: The role of optimism and self-efficacy for first-year Australian university students. *Australian Journal of Guidance and Counselling*, 24(01), 90-108.
- Nadinloyi, K. B., Hajloo, N., Garamaleki, N. S., & Sadeghi, H. (2013). The study efficacy of time management training on increase academic time management of students. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, *84*, 134-138.
- Obuku, E. A., Lavis, J. N., Kinengyere, A., Ssenono, R., Ocan, M., Mafigiri, D. K., ... & Sewankambo, N. K. (2018). A systematic review on academic research productivity of postgraduate students in low-and middle-income countries. *Health research policy and systems*, *16*(1), 1-8.
- Patron, H., & Lopez, S. (2011). Student effort, consistency, and online performance. *Journal* of Educators Online, 8(2), n2.
- Perkins, K. E. (2018). *The integrated model of self-confidence: Defining and operationalizing self-confidence in organizational settings* (Doctoral dissertation).
- Powell, L. M., & Wimmer, H. (2016). Evaluating students' perception of group work for mobile application development learning, productivity, enjoyment and confidence in quality. *Information Systems Education Journal*, 14(3), 85.
- Schunk, D. H. (1985). Self-efficacy and classroom learning. *Psychology in the Schools*, 22(2), 208-223.
- Schunk, D. H. (1989). Self-efficacy and achievement behaviors. *Educational psychology review*, *1*(3), 173-208.

- St Aimee, C. (2020). Relationship Between Virtual Employee Engagement, Self-Efficacy, and Productivity.
- Terry, K. P., & Doolittle, P. E. (2008). Fostering self-efficacy through time management in an online learning environment. *Journal of Interactive Online Learning*, 7(3), 195-207.
- Versland, T. M. (2016). Exploring self-efficacy in education leadership programs: What makes the difference? *Journal of Research on Leadership Education*, 11(3), 298-320.
- Wang, C. H., Harrison, J., Cardullo, V., & Xi, L. (2017). Exploring the relationship among international students' English self-efficacy, using English to learn self-efficacy, and academic self-efficacy. *Journal of International Students*, 8(1), 233-250.
- Williams, A., Goh, J., Willis, C., Ellison, A., Brusuelas, J., Davis, C., & Law, E. (2017, September). Deja vu: Characterizing worker reliability using task consistency. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Human Computation and Crowdsourcing* (Vol. 5, No. 1).
- Yusuf, M. (2011). The impact of self-efficacy, achievement motivation, and self-regulated learning strategies on students' academic achievement. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, *15*, 2623-2626.
- Zimmerman, B. J. (2000). Self-efficacy: An essential motive to learn. *Contemporary* educational psychology, 25(1), 82-91.

Contact email: dclay@gbox.adnu.edu.ph esteveodette@gmail.com drelucio@gbox.adnu.edu.ph