

Graphic Design in Architectural Education: A Pedagogical Model and SWOT Analysis

Marie Bajnarová, Brno University of Technology, Czech Republic

The Asian Conference on Arts & Humanities 2026
Official Conference Proceedings

Abstract

Higher education in Architecture and Urbanism traditionally combines technical, creative, and cultural competencies, with design studios, drawing, and construction-focused project work as the dominant methods. The rapid development of digital technologies and visual tools, however, opens new opportunities for effective concept communication and project presentation. The course Presentation Techniques integrates graphic design into architectural education to develop students' digital and visual literacy, critical thinking, and the ability to translate complex information into visual forms. The theoretical framework is based on visual and critical thinking, which supports the interpretation and presentation of architectural ideas. Visual thinking enables students to understand spatial and material aspects, while critical thinking develops their ability to reflect on design choices and justify decisions in relation to aesthetic, cultural, and practical considerations. The methodology involved a SWOT analysis to evaluate the teaching model, combining modules on bitmap graphics, vector graphics, and multi-page document creation. The analysis assessed internal factors and external influences to inform recommendations for pedagogy. Results indicate that the model fosters visual literacy, creativity, student autonomy, and professional preparedness. Key challenges include the time-intensive nature of the course, varying digital skills among students, and high demands on educators. Recommendations include strengthening individual support, integrating reflection and critical evaluation, balancing digital and traditional methods, and extending the course to a full academic year. This approach demonstrates that combining graphic design with digital tools not only enhances technical competencies but also develops visual thinking, aesthetic sensibility, and the ability to communicate architectural concepts effectively.

Keywords: digital tools, visual thinking, graphic design, education

iafor

The International Academic Forum
www.iafor.org

Introduction

Higher education in the field of architecture and urbanism has traditionally operated at the intersection of technical, creative, and cultural competencies. Teaching methods have long been dominated by design studio work, technical drawing, and material or structural approaches to architectural design. However, the rapid evolution of digital technologies and visual tools has introduced new possibilities for the effective communication of concepts, project presentation formats, and the design process itself. The integration of graphic design into architectural education, specifically within the Presentation Techniques course, fosters not only students' digital literacy but also strengthens their visual literacy, critical thinking, and the creative capacity to transform diverse types of information into a clear, communicative form. Exercises in graphic design software provide a framework through which students learn to articulate their creative visions, both in a professional context and within the public sphere. To evaluate this teaching model, a SWOT analysis was employed to strategically consider the internal and external factors influencing its effectiveness. The SWOT approach is particularly valuable when formulating the strategic development of a course, reflecting on its didactic effectiveness, and defining opportunities for pedagogical innovation (Gurl, 2017).

Theoretical Overview: Visual and Critical Thinking in Graphic Design Education

Visual and critical thinking represent key competencies in architectural education, enabling students to comprehend, organize, and interpret complex information related to space, materials, users, and cultural contexts. Visual thinking is inherently linked to interpretation and representation. Visuality encompasses processes of visual perception (specifically the capacity to observe, retain, analyze, and learn through visual stimuli). Visualization, meanwhile, refers to the transformation of phenomena or processes into forms that allow for visual interpretation. Through this mechanism, abstract or latent properties of a given phenomenon become visible and comprehensible (Spousta, 2001).

For architecture students, developing visual thinking involves acquiring a visual language, mastering compositional principles, and learning to connect visual and textual layers into communicative formats. These skills are essential not only within creative processes but also when presenting future projects to professional audiences, clients, and interdisciplinary collaborators. Furthermore, visual thinking enhances aesthetic sensitivity, fostering the ability to conceptualize architectural ideas and translate them into a coherent visual form. In academic discourse, visual thinking is understood as the capacity to generate mental imagery and utilize it to solve complex or problematic situations. Closely related is visual literacy, which includes interpreting visual content, understanding its nuances, and using it as a primary means of communication. These theoretical approaches underscore the importance of visual imagination in both the learning process and the acquisition of new knowledge (Spousta, 2001).

Critical thinking complements this visual dimension by providing the analytical capacity to formulate questions, evaluate arguments, and make decisions in ambiguous situations. As a cornerstone of contemporary education, it demands the ability to analyze data, derive sound conclusions, and make informed choices. Crucially, its development must occur within the context of specific tasks and situations; it is most effective when paired with creative thinking and applied directly to problem-solving (Huitt, 1998).

In architectural pedagogy, critical thinking should be nurtured through reflection and argumentation, such as during studio project discussions. Critical reflection is indispensable for evaluating the alignment between an architectural intention and its visual or spatial representation. This mode of thinking empowers students to formulate distinct design positions, justify material or typological choices, and engage meaningfully with context.

The integration of graphic design and digital tools into the curriculum serves as an effective conduit for connecting the visual and critical components of architectural education. When working within graphic design software, students must actively select and organize information, reflect on presentation methods, and systematically transform visual data to align with an architectural concept. An essential, non-negotiable element of this process is critical reflection, which provides vital feedback and strengthens future strategic thinking. Within higher education, critical thinking is increasingly treated as a mechanism for elevating professional practice rather than merely accumulating knowledge. Reflection is an integral component of learning that underpins planning, decision-making, and evaluation. Consequently, a reflective approach fosters greater student autonomy, enabling individuals to assess their own work, cognitive patterns, and applied strategies.

In professional education, critical reflection becomes a vehicle for self-evaluation, understanding complex visions, and cultivating professional expertise (Smith, 2011). In this sense, graphic design becomes not only a technical skill but also a pedagogical tool that fosters the abstract, argumentative, and visual modes of thinking essential to the architectural profession.

Methodology

The main objective of this article is to present, theoretically anchor, and subsequently critically evaluate an innovative teaching model that integrates graphic design into architectural education. The text aims to demonstrate how the systematic connection of digital tools with visual production develops not only students' technical competencies but also their critical thinking, aesthetic sensibility, and capacity for clear spatial communication.

The paper is structured to transition from theoretical foundations to specific didactic conclusions. The subsequent section, Theoretical Overview, provides a theoretical framework regarding the significance of visual and critical thinking in graphic design education and its specific role within architectural education. This chapter interprets the processes of visual perception and the interpretation of architectural ideas. Concurrently, it analyzes critical reflection as an essential tool for evaluating the relationship between authorial intent and its final graphic representation. This is followed by the Methodology chapter, which describes the actual structure of the teaching model implemented within the Presentation Techniques course and its sequence of thematic modules that connect work in Adobe Photoshop, Adobe Illustrator, and Adobe InDesign with the development of conceptual architectural thinking. At the same time, this section clarifies the implementation of a SWOT analysis as the primary strategic tool for reflecting on the didactic effectiveness of the course.

In the Results and Discussion section, specific findings arising from the conducted analysis are presented and interpreted. Here, the text analyzes in detail the internal factors of the model, such as the development of student autonomy, as well as its weaknesses, namely high time demands and varying initial digital skills. The results are further discussed within the broader context of contemporary external challenges.

Based on these analytical findings, the Recommendations for Further Pedagogical Practice chapter formulates practical proposals for future pedagogical application. Attention is paid to optimizing student workload, balancing digital and analog techniques, and a conceptual proposal to extend the course to a full academic year. The entire study concludes with a concise overview in the Conclusion chapter, which synthesizes key insights and confirms the contribution of graphic design to the modernization of architectural education.

Teaching Model and Practical Example

The teaching model implemented within the Presentation Techniques course utilizes digital tools to foster creativity, technical competencies, and the professional growth of students in the fields of architecture and urbanism. Emphasis is placed not only on visual and critical thinking but also on communication and a sustainable approach to visual production. The curriculum aims to develop a comprehensive skill set, ranging from mastering graphic design software and understanding the principles of visual communication and composition to articulating architectural ideas through high-quality graphic outputs.

The pedagogy is centered around creatively guided, student-focused activities. Each student engages with assignments that progress from the initial brief and concept creation to the final visual execution in a selected graphic editor. Throughout this process, continuous and individualized evaluation plays a critical role. The anticipated outcomes encompass the acquisition and refinement of digital skills, the capacity for expressive visual articulation, and the enhancement of visual literacy.

Within the course, digital tools, specifically professional industry-standard software such as Adobe Photoshop, Adobe Illustrator, and Adobe InDesign, play a pivotal role. The objective extends beyond mere software proficiency; it focuses on comprehending the logic of visual communication and cultivating the ability to translate an architectural intention into a clear, aesthetic, and well-structured format. Each editor contributes uniquely to the learning process, forming an interconnected technological ecosystem. Within this framework, Adobe Photoshop is essential for raster image manipulation, including photo editing, visualization post-production, digital collages, and atmospheric layering. This environment enables students to effectively establish mood and work with material textures, lighting, and spatial context. Progressing from pixels to lines, Adobe Illustrator develops proficiency in vector graphics, thereby supporting clear, precise, and legible visual communication. The vector environment closely aligns with architectural thinking, as it emphasizes line work, structural patterns, composition, and information hierarchy, which students utilize to construct diagrams, analysis schemes, and custom iconography. Finally, Adobe InDesign is dedicated to layout design, page composition, and typography. Its inclusion in the curriculum helps students conceptualize an architectural project as a complex information system, where texts, technical descriptions, drawing scales, and visual elements must be rigorously structured. Ultimately, InDesign develops the vital ability to manage communication within multi-page formats, which is indispensable for creating professional architectural portfolios, competition panels, exhibition boards, and publications.

Together, these tools form a comprehensive system that enables students to plan, organize, and present their ideas in a manner consistent with the professional standards of contemporary architectural practice. By integrating technical graphic functions with spatial artistic thinking, students enhance their visual literacy, refine their capacity to structure complex information, and elevate their overall aesthetic expression.

The inclusion of graphic design software in architectural education is equally vital for the transferability of skills. From a didactic perspective, proficiency in these applications represents a cornerstone of professionalized teaching. It reflects contemporary market demands and the daily operations of architectural offices, where such tools are industry standards. Equipping students to effectively utilize graphic applications facilitates a smoother transition from higher education to professional practice, thereby enhancing their readiness for future employment. The teaching model is structured as a chronological sequence of thematic blocks that complement and build upon one another. Individual modules link digital execution in graphic software with the visual articulation of architectural concepts.

The curriculum design ensures that students systematically progress from raster image manipulation, through vector-based drafting languages, to the ultimate synthesis of multi-page documents and presentation materials. This journey initiates with the basics of bitmap graphics in Adobe Photoshop, a module focusing on understanding raster environments for handling pixel-based data. Here, students familiarize themselves with core principles such as resolution, color modes, layers, masking, retouching, and basic compositional operations, achieving a high degree of control and creativity when managing architectural visualizations [Adobe, n.d.-c]. They learn to synthesize diverse objects, photographs, and backgrounds, while tools for matching color, light, and shadow support the generation of visually coherent compositions. In an architectural context, managing photographic material is essential, as it frequently represents real-world project site contexts, teaching students to discern site atmosphere, interpret space, and reflect on visual clarity. Building directly upon these fundamentals, the photo editing and collage creation module steers layered image manipulation in a creative and conceptual direction. Digital collage-making serves as a traditional architectural methodology that supports rapid visual expression, spatial experimentation, and the shaping of a project's atmosphere. Students engage with perspective grids and advanced compositional arrangements to stylize visualizations so that they transcend mere object representation, becoming narrative vehicles for site atmosphere, urban or landscape relationships, material textures, and social interactions.

The subsequent stage of learning transitions into the vector environment through the basics of vector graphics in Adobe Illustrator, which naturally aligns with architectural thinking due to its high level of abstraction. Students are introduced to line drafting tools, geometric shapes, curves, and typography within the context of information design. Vector graphics facilitate the creation of diagrams, custom iconography, site plans, and analytical maps, which are formats universally employed in architecture to communicate logical and spatial relationships. This module develops an innate sense of graphic rhythm and composition, alongside the capacity to wield a concise, precise, and unambiguous visual language. Advancing further, the vector art design module maximizes the capabilities of Adobe Illustrator to create precise vector illustrations suitable for branding, iconography, presentation posters, and digital portfolios. Students master advanced tools such as the Pen tool for smooth line work and utilize live preview functions for immediate feedback on applied graphic effects. Precise alignment and distribution features enable the systematic organization of complex layout compositions. Furthermore, Illustrator allows students to digitize hand-drawn raster sketches, converting them into crisp, editable vector paths that scale infinitely without loss of quality [Adobe, n.d.-a]. This software refines the flexibility of the visual language crucial for information architecture, allowing students to navigate fluidly between abstract concept diagrams and highly descriptive construction details.

Having mastered both bitmap and vector languages, students advance to layout design and page composition in the basics of multi-page document design using Adobe InDesign. This module introduces fundamental typographic principles, structural grid systems, hierarchical information layout, pagination, and the visual interplay between text and image. Adobe InDesign provides the structural engine for creating and compiling sophisticated documents for both print and digital distribution, ensuring clear and aesthetically balanced content presentation. This path culminates in the brochure design module, an advanced layout segment that enables students to import and embed high-resolution graphics and vector assets from Photoshop and Illustrator, leveraging Creative Cloud libraries for efficient project management. Students utilize InDesign to compile comprehensive brochures, architectural competition panels, exhibition boards, and professional portfolios, managing the entire workflow from asset organization to optimization for diverse publishing formats [Adobe, n.d.-b]. Within architectural practice, this capacity to synthesize texts, technical descriptions, scales, and imagery into multi-page documents is paramount for successful client communication, competitive bids, and professional self-presentation.

The final phase of the educational model shifts toward output execution, starting with content preparation and production for the print environment. This module addresses the technical realities of physical production, including output formats, color profiles (CMYK vs. RGB), soft proofing, file exporting methods, and print pre-flight checks. Emphasis is strictly placed on technical precision and the prevention of production errors. Familiarity with print specifications reflects the professional realities of architects, who must regularly deliver flawless physical competition panels, exhibition drawings, and bound project documentation. Concurrently, the final module is dedicated to content preparation and production for the digital environment, focusing on digital-first presentation strategies. Students learn to optimize exports for high-resolution displays, converting their graphic collateral into responsive formats suitable for web platforms, PDF presentations, and interactive digital portfolios. Recognizing that the digital realm imposes distinct parameters regarding contrast ratios, typographic scaling, and asset sizing provides students with vital, future-proof experience for modern professional practice.

SWOT Analysis of the Teaching Model

Given the nature of graphic design instruction within architectural education, it is essential to evaluate the presented model not only in terms of its content structure but also within broader didactic, institutional, and professional contexts. For this purpose, a SWOT analysis was selected, enabling a structured description of internal factors (strengths and weaknesses) while simultaneously identifying external influences (opportunities and threats) that affect the Presentation Techniques course within the Architecture and Urbanism study program. The analysis serves as a diagnostic tool for the teaching model while establishing a framework for future pedagogical recommendations and strategic innovations.

A SWOT analysis is a valuable instrument for assessing the internal and external environments of an educational project. Originating from strategic planning, it helps define objectives, identify relevant variables, and determine which pedagogical aspects must be developed or protected. Strengths represent internal resources and competencies that support objective achievement, whereas weaknesses point to barriers or shortcomings that may hinder effective functioning. Opportunities relate to favorable external trends, while threats refer to environmental factors that complicate curricular implementation (Sabbaghi & Vaidyanathan, 2004).

The structural evaluation of the teaching model based on the SWOT matrix yielded comprehensive findings regarding its educational environment. In terms of internal pedagogical assets, the primary strengths of the model lie in its logical modular progression. This clear structure systematically guides students from raster image manipulation, through vector-based outputs, to the final synthesis of multi-page documents, supporting the progressive acquisition of complex digital skills. Furthermore, these acquired graphic competencies offer direct curricular application, allowing students to immediately implement their skills within parallel design studios. This integration of design and theory effectively merges digital software training with artistic and conceptual thinking, which substantially enhances overall student visual literacy. Additionally, the reliance on project-based learning, combined with individualized guidance, successfully fosters student autonomy, creativity, and self-reflection while realistically simulating real-world professional scenarios. On the other hand, the model exhibits internal curricular limitations and distinct weaknesses. The instruction is exceptionally time-intensive, creating high cognitive and time demands by requiring students to simultaneously master complex software interfaces, typography, visual communication principles, and compositional rules. These difficulties are compounded by temporal constraints, as the course is compressed exclusively into the winter semester, raising the risk of student cognitive overload. Moreover, significant disparities in baseline skills and initial digital competencies often lead to an uneven pace of learning progress within the classroom. Finally, the curriculum places severe pressure and high demands on the faculty, requiring advanced expertise in both professional graphic design and architectural thinking, which represents a highly rare combination of academic competencies.

Beyond these internal dynamics, the evaluation mapped external strategic development and environmental shifts. The analysis identified vital opportunities, particularly in the professionalization of deliverables. The growing industry importance of visual communication in architecture and urbanism continuously amplifies the market demand for professional-quality student portfolios and presentation panels. There is also great potential for the integration of innovative media, where convergence with contemporary digital media and interactive platforms offers substantial room for future course modernization. However, these prospects must be balanced against pressing external threats and environmental risks. Technological volatility represents a major challenge, as the rapid evolution of industry software and shifting technological standards require constant, demanding curriculum updates. This volatility is worsened by an institutional and financial burden, driven by the increasing commercialization and rising costs of software licenses. Socioeconomic imbalances also pose a threat, since unequal student access to high-performance hardware and technical equipment can disrupt instructional equity. Furthermore, AI and automation disruptions, specifically the rapid emergence of generative AI and automated tools, threaten to fundamentally transform traditional approaches to visual content generation. Ultimately, a persistent risk of technical reductionism exists, as the course faces the danger of being reduced by students or institutions to purely mechanical software training, which would critically undermine the essential critical and conceptual dimensions of architectural design.

Overall, the SWOT analysis indicates that the presented teaching model offers a robust methodological and professional foundation highly relevant to contemporary architectural practice. However, maximizing its potential requires careful pedagogical curation, a balanced student workload, and proactive strategic planning to leverage internal strengths while mitigating systemic risks.

Results and Discussion

The application of the SWOT methodology to the examined teaching model brought critical insights into its internal pedagogical dynamics, allowing the discussion to shift from what the model achieves to how it must adapt within contemporary higher education. Regarding the analysis of internal dynamics, a primary strength identified is the development of student autonomy and conceptual architectural thinking. Thanks to the integration of Adobe Photoshop, Adobe Illustrator, and Adobe InDesign into a coherent pedagogical sequence of eight thematic modules, students ceased to view software as a mere technical tool, utilizing it instead as a medium for critical reflection and the visual interpretation of spatial ideas. These results demonstrate that transitioning from bitmap graphics through vector layouts to multi-page print or digital documentation significantly increases students' visual literacy and capacity for clear visual communication. Conversely, a significant internal weakness revealed by the analysis is the high time demand imposed on both students and educators. The dual necessity of mastering complex software interfaces while simultaneously resolving advanced architectural and typographic compositions often leads to student overload. Furthermore, the findings highlight substantial differences in students' initial digital competencies, a variability that forces educators to balance basic technical instruction with advanced conceptual guidance across two computer labs and seven teaching groups of 20 students each, which occasionally hinders the overall pace of instruction.

When examining the external context and professional relevance, the teaching model opens vital opportunities for the professionalization of future architects. In contemporary architectural practice, professional success depends heavily on the presentation of portfolios, competition proposals, and urban studies. The curriculum directly responds to these market demands by equipping students with essential graphic skills. However, a synthesized view reveals a critical friction within the curriculum; the collision between high internal time demands and the pressing need for professional output suggests that the current course format is severely constrained by its limited timeframe.

This temporal limitation is further complicated by technological imperatives and the rapid rise of AI automation. The discussion regarding these technological threats underscores that graphic education must shift even further away from mechanical software operation toward deep critical and analytical thinking. While artificial intelligence can effortlessly generate visual outputs, it inherently lacks the capacity for genuine authorial intent and deep spatial understanding. Consequently, the ultimate strength of this educational model lies precisely in its ability to support critical reflection on the relationship between an idea and its representation, which remains its most valuable and unreplicable asset in the modern technological era.

Recommendations for Further Pedagogical Practice

Based on the structural evaluation of the graphic design teaching model within the Presentation Techniques course, several strategic recommendations can be formulated to optimize instructional effectiveness, support students' professional development, and ensure a balanced integration of technical and conceptual competencies.

The first key area focuses on the preservation of the modular course structure, where the logical progression from bitmap graphics, through vector outputs, to multi-page documents enables students to systematically build their skills; therefore, it is highly recommended to

preserve this foundational structure while continuously updating individual modules in response to software evolution and contemporary trends in visual communication.

Next, to address the enhancement of individualized student support, given the substantial disparities in students' baseline digital skills, introducing targeted consultation sessions is advisable, ensuring that less experienced students do not fall behind, while more advanced students are granted the pedagogical space for creative experimentation and the cultivation of a distinct personal style.

Furthermore, the active integration of reflection and critical thinking dictates that teaching strategies should actively promote not only technical proficiency but also the capacity to critically evaluate both personal and peer visual decisions, meaning that curricular adjustments should include peer-review assessments, structured discussions on aesthetics, and critical reflections on how visual presentation choices directly function in relation to an architectural concept.

Regarding balancing digital and analog pedagogies, to prevent visual thinking from being reduced solely to digital execution, it is recommended to incorporate short assignments utilizing traditional media, such as hand-drawn sketching, analog collage-making, or physical model building, as these exercises help sustain vital spatial and conceptual understanding.

Additionally, a strong focus on transferability and professional relevance ensures that the curriculum regularly emphasizes how acquired graphic skills apply directly to real-world architectural practice, which includes aligning assignments with the specific technical demands of producing competition panels, professional portfolios, exhibition boards, and interactive digital presentations.

Finally, the optimization of student workload and extension of course duration is essential to maintain educational quality and prevent student cognitive overload, meaning that demanding graphic tasks should be deconstructed into smaller, manageable steps supported by continuous assessment, allowing students to acquire and apply complex knowledge without excessive stress. Most crucially, it is recommended to extend the Presentation Techniques course to span the entire academic year rather than a single winter semester, as a year-long time allocation would provide the necessary duration for deeper mastery of digital tools, a comprehensive enhancement of visual literacy, and the organic integration of graphic workflows into core architectural thinking. Furthermore, extending the course would encourage experimentation, allow for thorough reflection on creative decisions, and offer opportunities to execute elaborate projects that more accurately simulate the realities of contemporary architectural practice.

Conclusion

Integrating graphic design into architecture education through the Presentation Techniques course is a key step to modernize teaching in Architecture and Urbanism. By connecting visual and critical thinking with digital tools, learning software becomes more than just a technical skill. It becomes a real way to communicate spatial and conceptual ideas clearly. Visual thinking helps students understand architectural visions and materials. At the same time, critical thinking encourages them to reflect on their design choices and justify both the aesthetic and practical sides of their projects.

The methodology used in this study, based on a SWOT analysis of the teaching model, showed that the step-by-step order of the modules works very well. The course guides students from editing raster images in Adobe Photoshop, to vector design in Adobe Illustrator, and finally to creating multi-page documents in Adobe InDesign. This structure directly copies the demands of real architectural practice. However, the results also showed some limits alongside strengths like student independence, artistic feeling, and professional readiness. The main challenges are that the course takes a lot of time, which can overload students when packed into a single semester, and that students start with very different digital skills. In today's digital era of automation and generative AI, the ability to critically think about the relationship between an idea and its visual form is the most valuable and irreplaceable part of the course.

Based on these findings, we created key recommendations for future teaching. To keep the quality of education high, it is important to break down difficult tasks into smaller steps. Most importantly, extending the Presentation Techniques course to a full academic year would give students enough time to learn digital processes deeply and connect them with their studio work. We also recommend offering individual help through consultations to balance the differences between students. Teachers should use peer-review to encourage group discussions and balance digital work with short, traditional art exercises like sketching or making collages. This complete approach ensures that students do not just learn how to use software mechanically. Instead, they gain a lasting understanding of visual culture and learn how to present their architectural thinking professionally.

In conclusion, the goal of the Presentation Techniques course should not be to teach architecture students how to control complex software. Instead, it should teach them how to think authentically using digital tools. Graphic design is not just about aesthetics or decorating final products. Students should see it as a tool to create their own original visual language for their architectural ideas. This course gives students a valuable ability to turn an abstract vision into a clear creative conversation.

Declaration of Generative AI and AI-Assisted Technologies in the Writing Process

The author declares that no AI or AI-assisted technologies have been used to generate, refine, or correct the content in the manuscript. The ideas, design, procedures, findings, analyses, and discussion are originally written and derived from careful and systematic conduct of the research.

References

- Adobe. (n.d.-a). *Adobe Illustrator*. Adobe Systems. <https://www.adobe.com/>
- Adobe. (n.d.-b). *Adobe InDesign*. Adobe Systems. <https://www.adobe.com/>
- Adobe. (n.d.-c). *Adobe Photoshop*. Adobe Systems. <https://www.adobe.com/>
- Gurl, E. (2017). SWOT analysis: A theoretical review. *Journal of International Social Research*, 10(51), 994–1006.
https://www.researchgate.net/publication/319367788_SWOT_Analysis_A_Theoretical_Review
- Huitt, W. (1998). *Critical thinking: An overview*. Educational Psychology Interactive. Valdosta State University.
<https://www.edpsycinteractive.org/topics/cognition/critthnk.html>
- Sabbaghi, A., & Vaidyanathan, G. (2004). SWOT analysis and theory of constraint in information technology projects. *Information Systems Education Journal*, 2(23), 1–19. <https://isedj.org/2/23/>
- Smith, E. (2011). Teaching critical reflection. *Teaching in Higher Education*, 16(2), 211–223.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/13562517.2010.515022>
- Spousta, V. (2001). Proč rozvíjet vizuální gramotnost? [Why develop visual literacy?]. *Pedagogická orientace*, 11(3), 86–93.

Contact email: marie.bajnarova@seznam.cz