

*From Resistance to Participation: Clanship and Urban Modernization in the Wuyi
Rural Market Towns during the Republican Era*

Tung-Yiu Stan Lai, Weijen Wang

the University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong

0183

The Asian Conference on Arts & Humanities 2013

Official Conference Proceedings 2013



iafor

iafor
The International Academic Forum
www.iafor.org

Introduction

Studies on urban modernization in early twentieth century South China usually attribute rural development to the government and some returned overseas Chinese only. In Wuyi, a region in South China, traditional clanship dominating the rural society is usually considered to be slowing down urban modernization during that period. However, most of the modernized rural markets were in fact developed by the local clan organizations (Zhang et al., 1998; Zhang, 2004, 2005). It seems that clanship influence on urban modernization in rural society has always been underestimated.

The Wuyi cultural region in central Guangdong Province is composed of the “five counties”: Enping, Heshan, Kaiping, Taishan, and Xinhui. They share common dialect and other cultural customs (Figures 1 and 2). This region has had high amount of emigrants to foreign countries since the nineteenth century. There has also been large amount of markets by the eighteenth century, which were mostly developed by one single clan or by cooperation of several clans.

This paper attempts to investigate the neglected role of the clan organizations in urban modernization during the Republic of China in Wuyi (Republican era, 1912-1949). It is a historical study that is mainly based on archival documents including government publications, share offer prospectuses for village and market establishments, etc. These documents show the gradual change of clan organizations’ attitude from resistance to acceptance, cooperation, and finally to active participation in urban modernization in their hometowns. They are further analyzed by referring to artefacts of townscape which show merger of traditional clanship and modernized practices in rural markets. As an illustration, the twin-market of Datong Shi and Tingjiang Xu in Duanfen Town of Taishan County is examined to show inter-clan competition under the modernized administrative system and design.



Figure 1. Location map of the Wuyi region




Figure 2. Location map of Duanfen Town in the Wuyi region. The region of “five counties” is composed of Enping, Heshan, Kaiping, Taishan, and Xinhui; Duanfen is a town in Taishan County.

Government and Urban Modernization: Indirect Governance in the Rural Area

In 1925, the Governor of Taishan County in Wuyi, Liu Zaifu’s request for autonomy was approved by Sun Yat-Sen’s Nationalist Government in Guangzhou. The county was financially autonomous with the power to raise fund, tax, and spend the tax income. These powers facilitated the county’s development in urban construction, education, transportation, etc. Especially the simplification of reporting system to the provincial and national governments could accelerate the capital-raising and execution of urban development (Zhu, 2004: 34-35).

Similar with Guangzhou, the County Government of Taishan established its Public Works Bureau (*gong wu ju* 工務局). *The Proposal for Material Constructions of Taishan* (*Taishan wu zhi jian she ji hua shu* 台山物質建設計劃書) was issued in 1929 setting the guidelines for public works in this autonomous county (Tan, 1929). It

was recorded that the master plan for renewal of the whole county was established by the Governor in 1923. Two renewal methods according to different degrees of governmental dominance were recorded:

- (1) High degree of governmental dominance:
The method of renewal was firstly establishing the Municipal Administration Offices (*shi zheng xiang ban chu* 市政勸辦處) in different market towns and appointing prestigious local squires for assistance. These offices were responsible for the affairs of capital-raising and administration, and then the Public Works Bureau planned and supervised the works. The appointment of squires was a strategic means of obtaining the trust of local residents in order to minimize the local obstacles and to accelerate the process. There were only thirteen markets on the upper central-place level renewed under high degree of governmental dominance.
- (2) Low degree of governmental dominance:
The majority of markets on the lower central-place level were renewed under low degree of governmental dominance. They were carried out by means of the Public Works Bureau's surveying of sites, site planning, and then indirectly supervising the merchants' administration and construction works.

Although the authority's governance in high-level cities like Guangzhou was stronger compared to that in the lower-level towns and rural areas, it does not mean that the government could execute easily urban modernization with the full support of the city dwellers. There were revolts against these policies by the residents in Guangzhou due to inconvenience and loss of properties (Yeung, 1999). On the other hand, in the lower-level Taishan County, where the power of clan organizations was much stronger, the difficulties in urban modernization can be easily imagined. Therefore, when the Taishan County Government initiated urban modernization in the lower-level towns and villages, it had no choice but to obtain the assistance of local squires. We can also see that the lower degree of governmental dominance in urban modernization existed in the lower-level markets in general.

Overseas Chinese and Urban Modernization: Mediator between the Government and the Clans

Other than the government, overseas Chinese also contributed to urban modernization in South China. The founder of Xinning Railway 新寧鐵路, Chin Gee Hee, was born in Liucun, Doushan, Taishan County of Wuyi, and immigrated to the West Coast of the U.S.A. He returned to Taishan in 1904, and established the only railway in Wuyi in history (Zheng and Cheng, 1991: 34-39). The capital raised for the first stage of construction was mainly from the overseas Chinese of Taishan-origin (Zheng and Cheng, 1991: 43). Other than individuals, some of the capital were in the name of *ju* 祖 or *tang* 堂 [clan organizations] and hometown associations overseas (Zheng and Cheng, 1991: 45).

Xinning Railway was a modern infrastructural work wholly initiated, planned, funded, and executed by civilians without any governmental participation. However, according to Zhang and Cheng (1991: 57), its development process exposed the

conflict between the “local feudal power” and the “emerging overseas Chinese’s capital power.” What Zhang and Cheng call “local feudal power” can be regarded as the clan organizations scattering over the rural areas of Wuyi. This can be reflected in the obstacles of local clans encountered in each phase of construction. During phase one, the originally planned line to Xinchang was resisted by the Zhen clansmen near Xinchang and was finally suspended. During phase two, resistance by the Kuang clansmen of Shagang Cun resulted in the shift of line in a more indirect way. These incidents of resistance were mainly due to blackmail or *feng shui* reason (Zheng and Cheng, 1991: 49-53).

However, the railway created a vital component for the economic bloom in Wuyi during the Republican period. Goods from places outside were rapidly imported to and circulated within Wuyi, and directly benefited the founding or renewal of market towns finally. Among these market towns, the founding of Gongyi Bu obviously indicated the contribution by overseas Chinese (Figure 3). One of the founders, Wu Yuzheng, born in Shachong Cun, Dajiang of Taishan County, returned from the U.S.A. in 1905 (Qing Dynasty), he mobilized overseas Chinese merchants, local squires of the Li , Yi , Wu clans, etc. near Gongyi to establish the Office of Port Affairs (*bu wu gong suo* 埠務公所) for development of the new port. Contrary to the Zhen clansmen near Xinchang resisting the setting of railway station in their territory, Wu negotiated with Chin Gee Hee and requested for setting a station at Gongyi. This showed the different vision of overseas Chinese from that of the traditional local squires about the merits of new infrastructure. Finally, Gongyi Bu was opened in 1908 with a railway station right next to it (Cai and Deng, 2006: 48).



Figure 3. Gongyi Bu, Taishan County

The strong local kinship tie is believed to be an important driving force for the overseas Chinese’s contribution to the public affairs in their rural hometowns. In fact, they replaced the government to import overseas knowledge and to carry out urban modernization for public interests. This could enhance their reputation in the clans. Moreover, their strong family concept made them send most of their savings from

foreign countries back home. A considerable amount of money was spent on building their own houses (in the form of individual luxurious residences, watch towers, or village houses in the kin-based grid-patterned villages), or invested in building shophouses in the markets for rent. By this means, they could safeguard the living standard of their families, relatives, and of themselves after returning hometowns in the future (Li, 1999: 164-172). As a result, these new property developments also constituted modernization of townscape in Wuyi.

The overseas Chinese's investment in their hometowns reflected a quasi-modern-capitalist practice influenced by their exposure to Western commercial system in foreign countries. For example, clear charter for shareholding and company-limited systems was issued by Chin Gee Hee during his capital-raising from overseas Chinese for Xinning Railway in 1905 (Zhang and Cheng, 1991: 38). Similar practices familiar to the overseas Chinese have also been widely adopted in different property development projects even in the Qing era before 1912 (Qionglin Li, 1908).

For instance, in Duanfen Town of Taishan County, a new market known as Tingjiang Xu was founded by the Mei and other clans in 1932. From the preserved *Tingjiang Xu Shareholding Booklet* 汀江墟股份簿 (Tingjiang Xu, 1933), we can discover very detailed written regulations about modernized systems of stock launch, capital-raising process, shareholding, organization, operation, property management, tenancy agreement, etc. The procedure of establishment of Tingjiang Xu as recorded in the "shareholding booklet" can be summarized as the following steps:

- (1) Formation of founders and preparatory committee
- (2) Surveying and planning
- (3) Application for government's endorsement
- (4) Stock launch for capital-raising from invited clans
- (5) Subscription of shares by the clans
- (6) Purchase of shares by individual clansmen via the clans
- (7) Lottery and allocation of shop lots
- (8) Purchase of farmlands for market site
- (9) Construction of common works by Common Developer
- (10) Construction of shophouses by individual shareholders
- (11) Renting of shophouses to businessmen
- (12) Operation of business for each shop

The seemingly modernized procedure for market establishment in fact still implied clanship dominance in commercial practice. Particularly in steps 4 to 6, the shares of the new market were only offered to limited clans rather than to the public. Even the shareholding of market, which corresponded to the ownership of shophouses, was not an absolutely free property. Assignment of shophouses required the endorsement by the Board of Directors of market, which was controlled by the founding clans only.

The contribution of overseas Chinese in Wuyi spanned over different levels of towns. Their capital penetrated into different types of urban projects such as infrastructures, markets, individual buildings, etc. Their knowledge in modern project administration, planning, and design from foreign countries was also introduced to their hometowns. In conclusion, they can be regarded as the intermediate class between the government

who dominated the large cities and the local squires and clan organizations who dominated the rural parts of Wuyi.

Clanship and Urban Modernization: From Resistance to Participation

Traditional clanship dominating the rural society of Wuyi is usually considered to be slowing down urban modernization during the Republican era (Zhang and Cheng, 1991: 5-7; TSXGWJ, 1929). In other words, we can assume that urban modernization in the rural part, being the lower-level market towns and villages, could never be achieved without the promotion or at least cooperation of the local squires and clan organizations.

During the Republican era and before, the sovereignty of government in these rural areas far from the county administrative seats had always been weak and indirect through the local squires and clan organizations. The news of inter-village, inter-clan, intra-clan, or clan-government conflicts were reported in *Sunning Magazine*¹ 新寧雜誌 at that time. These conflicts occurred so frequently that four pieces of such news in issue 25, 1922 of this magazine can be found, meaning that there were at least four such incidents in Taishan County in ten days (Table 1).

These conflicts, sometimes even with firearms, provided the “premodern” impression of the rural Wuyi. Each village was usually occupied by one or several branches of a clan, and a clan might branch out to different villages in a locality. Autonomous association (*zi zhi hui* 自治會) was one form of clan organizations uniting different branches and villages under the same clan. The conflicts related to clanship usually broke out of economic benefits, such as property boundaries.

These clan organizations were sometimes reluctant to changes of urban modernization for two reasons. Firstly, new construction works disturbed their traditional way of life. Secondly, the new developments were regarded as infringement of their original territories and benefits, such as properties. Other than the incidents of local squires’ resistance to the construction of Xinning Railway, there were many similar incidents against the construction of highways in rural areas. Four such incidents were recorded in *The Photo Album of the Construction Works of Taishan County* (*Taishan Xian jian she tu ying* 台山縣建設圖影) issued by Public Works Bureau, Taishan County 台山縣公務局 (TSXGWJ, 1929) (Table 2).

¹ *Sunning Magazine* was a countywide civilian magazine of Taishan founded in 1909 (Qing Dynasty). It was issued three times a month in the Republican era.

Table 1. News of inter-village, inter-clan, intra-clan, and clan-government conflicts reported in issue 25, 1922 of *Sunning Magazine*

1	Heading:	“房界之爭訟界” [Litigation for branch territories]
	Page no.:	14-15
	Location:	Chakeng 茶坑, Sijiu 四九
	Rival parties:	Among three branches (<i>fang</i> 房) in the Kuang 鄺 clan
	Background:	Three branches strove for the common occupation of pond behind village.
	Process:	One of the branches refused the request by the clan elders to return the pond to common use.
	Result:	Two other branches prepared to litigate.
2	Heading:	“爭訟界幾乎釀禍” [Struggle for territory almost resulting in battle]
	Page no.:	39
	Location:	Paobu 泡步, Shuinan 水南
	Rival parties:	The Zhu 朱 clan vs. The Chen 陳 clan
	Background:	The Zhu clan encroached several feet of the territory of the neighbouring Chen clan for construction work.
	Process:	An elder of Chen clan was assaulted when he negotiated with the Zhu clan.
3	Heading:	“抗警費被拘” [Arrested for resistance to police levy]
	Page no.:	50-51
	Location:	Paobu 泡步, Shuinan 水南
	Rival parties:	The Zhu 朱 and the Chen 陳 clans vs. Local police branch
	Background:	The Zhu and the Chen clans refused paying the local police levy.
	Process:	The two clans persistently refused to pay after several orders by Police Commander.
	Result:	The police arrested six Zhu clansmen and two Chen clansmen, and ordered payment for release of them.
4	Heading:	“冲龔伍定安村之不平敬告邑人家族父老書” [Declaration of complaint by Ding'an-Cun 定安村 branch of the Wu 伍 clan to clan elders]
	Page no.:	Nil
	Location:	Ding'an Cun 定安村, Chongliu 冲龔
	Rival parties:	Ding'an-Cun 定安村 branch of the Wu 伍 clan vs. Bajia-Cun 八家村 branch of the Wu clan.
	Background:	Two branches strove for territory.
	Process:	Bajia-Cun branch employed 300 gangsters to destroy Ding'an-Cun branch's crops and access road, set fire on 11 houses, and assault the women. However, the incident was distorted in the report in a magazine, of which the editor belonged to Bajia-Cun branch.
	Result:	Ding'an-Cun 定安村 branch requested the elders of the Wu Clan Autonomous Association (<i>Wu zu zi zhi hui</i> 伍族自治會) for mediation.

Table 2. Incidents of local squires' resistance to the construction of highways recorded in *The Photo Album of the Construction Works of Taishan County*

Highway	Village resisting	Request by villagers	Resolutions by government	Results
Tai-Di Highway 台荻公路	Yueshan Cun 月山村	Rerouting from the right-hand side to the left-hand side of village, due to blockage of water source and <i>feng shui</i> problem	Lobbying with villagers	Construction according to original route; traffic convenience; villagers' regret for resistance
Tai-Di Highway 台荻公路	Bajia Cun 八家村	Rerouting further away from village	Forceful suppression of garrison (external, and not under Taishan County Government) employed by villagers	Construction according to original route; traffic convenience; and villagers' regret for resistance
Tai-Di Highway 台荻公路	Dongkeng Cun 東坑村	Rerouting	Lobbying with villagers	Construction according to original route; traffic convenience; and villagers' regret for resistance
Tai-Hai Highway 台海公路	Zengkun Cun 繒困村	Rerouting to the back of village	Lobbying with villagers and construction of a roadside school	Construction according to original route; traffic convenience; improvement in education; and villagers' regret for resistance

From these incidents, we can understand that the power of Bajia Cun was so strong to employ external garrison to revolt against the Public Works Bureau of Taishan. Therefore, except the incident of Bajia Cun, in most cases the government could only persuade the villagers to accept the constructions. In the incident of Zengkun Cun, the government even offered help in the construction of a roadside school in order to please the villagers.

Kin-based settlements had spread widely in the villages and towns in Wuyi probably since the Ming Dynasty (fourteenth to seventeenth centuries) (Zhang et al, 1998: 25-26). The strong kinship bond has influenced the formation of grid-patterned villages with house blocks closely packed in regular layout usually deliberately set by a single clan organization. Such an intimate mode of living can only be adopted by dwellers with kinship bond and well-structured clan organization.

In 1908 (late Qing Dynasty), near the Mei-clan-dominated Tingjiang Xu, a new grid-pattern village known as Qionglin Li was founded by four branches² of the same clan. (Qionglin Li, 1908: 2-3) (Figure 4). From the preserved *Booklet of Shareholding Charter for Establishment of Qionglin Li* 創建瓊林里股份章程簿, although similar

² The four branches were in the name of *zu* 祖 [common ancestor], namely Yuanshao 元韶, Keda 可達, Delong 德隆, and Xichong 錫重.

shareholding system with Tingjiang Xu was adopted, we can find that some terms with clanship style were inserted in the regulations of establishment (Table 3).

Table 3. Terms with clanship style in *Booklet of Shareholding Charter for Establishment of Qionglin Li*

Article no.	Summary (translated from Chinese to English by the author)
5	Those do not want to build houses in the village shall sell the shares only to the shareholders of the village, and sale of shares to external buyers is prohibited.
17	In case of any village houses built close to the graves of other clan branches arousing conflict and litigation, the village association shall fund and help the house owners for protection of territories.
18	Any fee due to removal of stuff encroaching the common areas shall be charged to the violators. Those refuse to compensate the fee of removal shall be deprived of all the rights in the village.
22	The revenues from rent of common properties shall subsidize the educational expenditures of descendants for the glory of village.
24	The two administrators shall be composed of one from the branches of Yuanshao and Keda, and another from the branches of Delong, and Xichong.
25	The descendants of our four branches shall obey the instructions by the ancestors to live in harmony. In case of any quarrels, the elders of our village shall be called for mediation.
26	The kinsmen of the village shall love and respect each other. All villagers shall be responsible and well-behaved.
30	The remaining four shares are reserved for the branches of Yuanshao and Delong, for reward of their efforts in founding the village.
31	The strip of land behind the village school is reserved for villagers to construct their ancestral halls in the future.



Figure 4. Grid-patterned village, Qionglin Li, Duanfen, Taishan County

Compared to Tingjiang Xu (as a market), Qionglin Li (as a village) was more like a close-knitted and autonomous community, restricted to only the founding families from four branches of the Mei clan. This system was clearly enforced by article 5 that sale of shares, implying building and residence in the village, to other clans or even other branches of the Mei clan was prohibited. This “shareholding booklet” was more than a commercial document. The kinship bond of villagers was reinforced by rights of space, such as protection of territory (Article 17), use of common areas (article 18), and building of ancestral hall in village (Article 31); and finance, such as support for litigation (Article 17) and subsidy for educational expenditures (Article 22). Moreover, the villagers’ obligations in terms of both morality and behaviour were also regulated.

Regardless of the clanship domination hidden in these terms of Qionglin Li establishment as well as those of the shareholding of Tingjiang Xu, there was a general desire for modernization by clan organizations in the early twentieth century in this region. The purpose of founding Tingjiang Xu by different clans was declared in the “shareholding booklet” as reforming the “administrative organization dominated by a single clan in patriarchal style and lack of freedom for the other clans” in a neighbouring old market, Datong Shi (Tingjiang Xu, 1933: 17). The desire for modernization was also expressed in the “shareholding booklet” of Qionglin Li: “The world is getting more civilized. All organisms survive by competition. We should insist on long-term evolution.” (Qionglin Li, 1908: 2)

Therefore, we can conclude that in the rural area of Wuyi during the Republican period, on the one hand, the modernized capitalist practice introduced by the overseas Chinese was still fused with the residual of traditional kin-based commercial practice. On the other hand, the traditional clan organizations were undergoing modernization process through new commercial practice. Severe commercial competition between clans, also with the backup of overseas Chinese’s capital, acted as the important motivator for the development of many modernized rural markets in Wuyi during the Republican era (Mei Weiqiang, 1996, 2002; Zhang, 2004, 2005).

Twin-market: Datong Shi and Tingjiang Xu

The phenomenon of “twin-markets” exposed how the market developers, usually composed of one single clan or several clans, reacted with their competing counterparts in market development. Evidenced by various cases in South China, we can see that if the demand is sufficiently high in a locality, a new market would tend to be founded nearby to compete with the existing market. The generally longer distance between markets before the late Qing Dynasty (late nineteenth century) showed an “order of distance” maintained by clanship power in association with the local government. This practice was a social custom rather than a clear legal enforcement. An obvious case in Duanfen was the pair of the Chens’ Shangze Xu founded before 1545 (Ming Dynasty) and the Mei’s Shandi Xu founded in 1771 (early Qing Dynasty). Because of the Chens’ complaint, the Mei clan’s original intention to utilize the existing market network of Shangze Xu by establishing the new Jiangshan Xu next to it was disapproved by the local government.³ Finally, the

³ Wu Bingwang and Mei Chengji, interviewed by the author, 7 September 2006; and Mei Weiqiang, interviewed by the author, 17 August 2008.

Meis were forced to relocate to a new market which was subsequently renamed as Shandi Xu further away from the old one (Mei Youchun, 1983: 62; Mei Yimin, 1984: 71).

However, the order of distance has ceased working since a rather modernized marketing system was introduced later in the Republican period (Figure 5). Therefore, when this modernized condition combined with a high demand for marketing activities in a locality, a new market would be founded by another clan right next to the original market, giving rise to the so-called “twin-market.”




Figure 5. Selected cases on the distances between markets in South China

This section focuses on Tingjiang Xu of Duanfen Town together with its neighbouring Datong Shi, which combined to form a twin-market (Figures 6 to 13). Its process of market-form developments is discussed to illustrate how a new mode of rather modernized marketing system was introduced to a region of traditionally clanship-dominated economy.




Figure 6. Aerial photo indicating locations of market cases in Duanfen⁴

The major founder of Tingjiang Xu, the Mei clan, has been the most prominent clan in Duanfen since the fourteenth century (Ming Dynasty). Therefore, most of the villages and markets in this town, such as Qionglin Li, Shandi Xu, and Haikou Bu, were solely established by them. Another clan in Duanfen, the Yuans, had their original base at Tangtou Shi nearby. They also expanded their power and cooperated with the Mei and other local clans to found Datong Shi in October 1922 (DFZZ, 2009: 374; Mei Weiqiang, 2002: 42). Other than the proximity to the Yuan clan's villages, a reason for the site of Datong Shi was probably its strategic location of intersection point of the Datong River and a highway. The Datong Bridge for vehicular traffic across the river near the west side of market was also built by the Yuans in 1930 (DFZZ, 2009: 5). The market is generally in orthogonal form. The colonnade streets form the pattern of two main streets in northwest-southeast direction and four narrow cross streets in-between.

Although Datong Shi started from inter-clan cooperation, it was dominated by the Yuan clan instead of the most prominent clan of the region, the Meis (Mei Weiqiang, 2002: 42). This might be the reason for the later instability and disputes between the clans in the market. From *Tingjiang Xu Shareholding Booklet* written by the Mei and other clans, the “Yuans-dominated” Datong Shi was accused of its outdated layout,

⁴ Image produced from software “Google Earth.”

insufficient facilities, and administrative organization dominated by a single clan under patriarchal style and lack of freedom for other clans (Tingjiang Xu, 1933: 17). Combining these three aspects together with the existing market form, it is probable that the problems were related to the narrow streets without any central square for the free mobile commercial activities during the scheduled market days. Administration of mobile merchants was usually not clearly stipulated in the market regulations, but depended on the merchants' social relationship with the administrators. This easily led to inter-clan conflicts in a multi-clan market like Datong Shi.

No matter such an accusation was fair or not, there was surely a sense of discontent from the Meis and the other clans about the administration by the Yuans. Finally in 1932, the Meis led the other clans to withdraw from Datong Shi. Eleven Meis, two Qius, together with one from the Wu, Cao, Liang, and Jiang clans respectively initiated to found a new market on the other side of a narrow stream right next to the southeast of Datong Shi. Their aims were set as "fund-raising from multi-clan, building of public market, improvement of municipal administration, and freedom of business." The market site acquired was in total twenty-odd acres which housed sixty-five pieces of farmlands privately owned by the Meis. Despite no written limitation of clan, the domination of the Mei clan over the sixteen clans and some other unidentified organizations was reflected in the ratio of Initiators 發起人 (about 65%), Provisional Preparatory Administrators Elected 公推臨時籌辦員 (about 85%), Board of Directors 董事 (about 50%), and shareholders (about 50%) (Tingjiang Xu, 1933: 4-7, 10). Therefore, in the name of multi-clan cooperation, the new market was in fact dominated by the Mei clan without any Yuan clansman's participation.

Unlike the linear-street form of Datong Shi, the new Tingjiang Xu was planned in central-square form in rigid rectangular shape with all colonnade shophouses facing internally. On the one hand, the square provided a spacious marketplace especially for itinerant merchants during the scheduled market days (Tingjiang Xu, 1933: 14), so as to resolve the problem of insufficient space along the narrow streets for mobile hawkers in Datong Shi. On the other hand, the square form helped to perform strict management. For instance, there was a regulation restricting the businesses of kerosene, lime, livestock such as cattle, pigs, and sheep, etc. inside the market so as to avoid danger and hygiene problems (Tingjiang Xu, 1933: 14). Another regulation stipulated the employment of a team of guards in the watch tower for the security of the market (Tingjiang Xu, 1933: 16). Possibly this internal square form also helped to impose a clear demarcation from the adjoining Datong Shi and even easy restriction of the Yuan merchants' use of the new market.




Figure 7. Speculated transformation diagram of Datong Shi and Tingjiang Xu from the 1930s to the 2000s




Figure 8. Ground-floor building fabric diagram of Datong Shi and Tingjiang Xu

As the Datong Bridge was built by the Yuans and was geographically separated by Datong Shi, it was probably inconvenient for the clans of Tingjiang Xu to cross Datong River via this bridge. Therefore, Mei Jiangxing, a Managing Director of Tingjiang Xu, promoted the fund-raising from the clansmen in the region and overseas for construction of the Tingjiang Bridge at the southeast corner of the new market (Mei Jianxing, 1981: 49-50). Finally, the new vehicular bridge was built in 1936 as an alternative river-crossing route.

There were post-Republican extensions (after 1949) at the fringe areas of the two markets. The highway on the northwest side of Datong Shi is a later extended area filled with post-Republican (after 1949) shophouses and domestic houses on both sides. There were once four market gates at the corners of Datong Shi before the 1950s.⁵ The division of building lots developed before and after the 1950s is marked

⁵ The information of market gates and later development of buildings outside the west gate was provided by 1948-born local resident, Yuan Tingshen, interviewed by the author on 30 August 2009.

by a remnant of market gate demolished in that period in the western part of market. The attraction by the highway after the Republican era has resulted in the shift of commercial activities to the northwest outside the original colonnade square and streets. With the highway acting as a primary transportation route, the commercial activities in the two old markets have gradually declined. Since the late 1990s, the shops and market have all moved out of Tingjiang Xu, and there are only a few shops still operating along the southern colonnade main street of Datong Shi.

Other than the economic and urban changes, the social structure in the two markets has also been fundamentally altered. The clanship ideology has gradually been eliminated through the land-reform movement by the Government of the People's Republic of China after 1949 (Feng, 2005: 316-318). Eventually, many residents from the original clans have moved out, and new residents from the other clans, including the originally hostile clans, were allocated with their new homes in the markets by the government. With the small stream between the two markets filled up, the original market boundary implying the territories of the two big clans also vanished. Finally, the inter-clan competition for urban modernization during the Republican era had lost its motivation.




Figure 9. Diagram of layout and collaged building photos of the central square in Tingjiang Xu



Figure 10. Photos of Datong Shi and Tingjiang Xu: River and dyke




Figure 11. Photos of Datong Shi and Tingjiang Xu: Street and square



Figure 12. Photos of Datong Shi and Tingjiang Xu: Bridge



Figure 13. Photos of Datong Shi and Tingjiang Xu: Boundary

Conclusion: Clanship and Townscape

The main aim of this research is to unfold the underestimated relationship between clanship and townscape in the rural part of Wuyi during the Republican era. It is found that despite much contribution from the government and the overseas Chinese, the strong clanship was a crucial factor for urban modernization in the lower-level towns and villages. In fact, many local squires were also overseas Chinese and even participated in some positions in the government. When these people initiated or

supported the new public works, they could easily obtain trust from the local villagers in their own clans. Moreover, compared to the city dwellers usually posing their own private interests on top of the public ones, the rural dwellers were more willing to sacrifice for the general interests of the clans benefited by the new public works.

Therefore, after the beneficial effect of change had been fully understood, the rural dwellers and clan organizations in Wuyi generally changed their attitude from resistance to cooperation in urban modernization in their hometowns. Later, they even actively participated in the new market, shophouse, and infrastructure constructions as the means of inter-clan competition. Finally, twin-markets like Datong Shi and Tingjiang Xu in Duanfen, the upper and lower ports of Chikan in Kaiping, etc., were formulated as artefacts of the keen inter-clan competition for urban modernization and capitalist marketing activities.

The change from Republican to Communist rule in Wuyi in 1949 marked the end of the region's unique marketing activities, which merged traditional clanship and quasi-modern capitalism. Under the new government's suppressions of clanship, market economy, and overseas connection, the townscape modernization of rural markets in Wuyi finally halted.

Another paradigm shift arrived after the economic reform in 1978. Since then, market economy was restored, remittances from overseas returned, and clanship was not suppressed anymore. Market activities had also revived. Nowadays in the rural part of Wuyi, market economy has been reconstructed, overseas remittances have increased, and urban development has been prosperous. However, the crushed clan-based social communities once bearing the responsibility of local urban development before 1949 were gone forever.

Glossary of Places, People, and Clans in Chinese

Bajia Cun 八家村
Cao 曹
Chen 陳
Chikan 赤磡
Chin Gee Hee 陳宜禧
Dajiang 大江
Datong Shi 大同市
Doushan 斗山
Duanfen 端芬
Enping 恩平
Gongyi Bu 公益埠
Haikou Bu 海口埠
Heshan 鶴山
Jangshan Xu 象山墟
Jiang 江
Kaiping 開平
Kuang 鄺
Li 李
Liang 梁
Liu Zaifu 劉載甫
Liucun 六村
Mei Chengji 梅成基
Mei Jianxing 梅健行
Mei Weiqiang 梅偉強
Mei 梅
Qionglin Li 瓊林里
Qiu 丘
Shachong Cun 沙涌村
Shagang Cun 沙崗村
Shandi Xu 山底墟
Shangze Xu 上澤墟
Sun Yat-Sen 孫中山
Taicheng 台城
Taishan 台山
Tangtou Shi 塘頭市
Tingjiang Xu 汀江墟
Wu Bingwang 伍炳旺
Wu Yuzheng 伍于政
Wu 伍
Wuyi 五邑
Xinchang 新昌
Xinhui 新會
Yi 乙
Yuan Tingshen 阮庭深
Yuan 阮

iafor

Zengkun Cun 繒困村
Zhen 甄

References

- Cai Ling 蔡凌; and Deng Yi 鄧毅. 2006. Arcade expatiated in the postcolonialism context – Taking towns in the middle part of Guangdong Province for examples “騎樓”的後殖民語境解讀 – 以粵中地區為例. In *Study and preservation of Chinese modern architecture (5)* 中國近代建築研究與保護(五), ed. Zhang Fuhe 張复合, 46-53. Beijing: Tsinghua University Press.
- DFZZ (Taishan Shi Duanfen Zhen zhi bian zuan wei yuan hui) 台山市端芬鎮志編纂委員會 [Editorial Board of the Gazetteer of Duanfen Town, Taishan City], ed. 2009. *Duanfen Zhen zhi* 端芬鎮志 [Duanfen Town gazetteer]. Taishan: privately printed.
- Feng Erkang 冯尔康. 2005. *18 shi ji yi lai Zhongguo jia zu de xian dai zhuan xiang* 18 世纪以来中国家族的现代转向 [The modern shift of Chinese kinship since the eighteenth century]. Shanghai: Shanghai ren min chu ban she.
- Li Yang 李楊. 1999. Jiu Zhongguo Guangdong hua qiao tou zi te dian ji qi she hui wen hua xin tai 舊中國廣東華僑投資特點及其社會文化心態 [The characteristic and socio-cultural mind-set of Guangdong overseas Chinese investment before 1949]. In Vol. 1, *Guangdong qiao shi lun cong*, ed. Zhong Hanbo 钟汉波 and Zhang Yinglong 张应龙, 164-172. Hong Kong: Xianggang rong yu chu ban you xian gong si.
- Mei Jianxing 梅健行. 1981. Yangtingdu jian qiao shuan juan xu 洋汀渡建橋勸捐序 [Preface of fund raising for construction of bridge at Yangtingdu] (1935). *Yunan Zhi Hua* 汝南之花 [The Flower of Yunan] 3: 49-50.
- Mei Weiqiang 梅偉強. 1996. Hua qiao: Qiao xiang si hui jin ji yue ren hua fa zhang di qiang dai dong li 華僑：僑鄉社會經濟與文化發展的強大動力 [Overseas Chinese: Strong motivation of the economic and cultural developments in the society of overseas Chinese towns]. *Journal of Wuyi University* 五邑大學學報 10, no. 1: 70-76.
- _____. 2002. Hua shou “Mei Jia Da Yuan” 話說“梅家大院” [The story of “The Mei Clan’s Court”]. *Sunning Magazine* 新寧雜誌 3: 42-43.
- Mei Yimin 梅逸民. 1984. Xu shi zaji 墟史雜記 [The miscellaneous records of market history]. *Yunan Zhi Hua* 汝南之花 [The Flower of Yunan] 10 (Mar.): 71-74.
- Mei Youchun 梅友淳. 1983. Shandi Xu ji xu jiao cun luo zhi lai li 山底墟及墟郊村落之來歷 [The origin of Shandi Xu and its villages]. *Yunan Zhi Hua* 汝南之花 [The Flower of Yunan] 9 (Oct.): 61-63.
- Qionglin Li 瓊林里. 1908. *Chang jian Qionglin Li gu feng jiang qing bu* 創建瓊林里股份章程簿 [Booklet of shareholding charter for establishment of Qionglin Li]. Booklet (photocopy). Provided by Associate Professor Mei Weiqiang 梅偉強, Wuyi University on 15 August 2008.
- Tan Tiejian 譚鐵肩. 1929. *Tai shan wu zhi jian she ji hua shu* 台山物質建設計劃書 [The proposal for material constructions of Taishan]. Taishan: Public Works Bureau, Taishan County.
- Tingjiang Xu 汀江墟. 1933. *Tingjiang Xu gu feng bu* 汀江墟股份簿 [Tingjiang Xu

shareholding booklet]. Booklet (photocopy). Provided by Associate Professor Mei Weiqiang 梅偉強, Wuyi University on 15 August 2008.

TSXGWJ (Taishan Xian gong wu ju) 台山縣公務局 [Public Works Bureau of Taishan County]. 1929. *Taishan Xian jian she tu ying* 台山縣建設圖影 [The Photo album of the construction works of Taishan County]. Taishan: Public Works Bureau of Taishan County.

Yeung Wing Yu Hans. 1999. Guangzhou, 1800-1925: The urban evolution of a Chinese provincial capital. Ph.D. diss., University of Hong Kong.

Zhang Guoxiong 張國雄. 2004. *Chikan gu zhen* 赤坎古鎮 [Chikan old town]. Shijiazhuang: Hebei jiao yu chu ban she.

_____. 2005. *Lingnan Wuyi* 嶺南五邑 [Wuyi of Lingnan]. Beijing: San lian shu dian.

Zhang Guoxiong 張國雄, Liu Xingbang 劉興邦, Zhang Yunhua 張運華, and Ou Jilin 歐濟霖. 1998. *Wuyi wen hua tan yuan* 五邑文化探源 [Investigating the origin of Wuyi culture]. Hong Kong: Zhong liu chu ban she; Guangzhou: Guangdong gao deng jiao yu chu ban she.

Zheng Dehua 鄭德華, and Cheng Luxi 成露西. 1991. *Taishan qiao xiang yu Xinning Tie Lu* 台山僑鄉與新寧鐵路 [Taishan overseas Chinese hometowns and Xinning Railway]. Guangzhou: Zhongshan da xue chu ban she.

Zhu Xuxiang 朱栩翔. 2004. *Guangdong wu yi qiao xiang cheng zhen yan bian yan jiu* 广东五邑侨乡城镇演变研究 [A study on the transformation of the towns in Wuyi qiaoxiangs]. M.Phil. diss., South China University of Technology.

